

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

Applicant's Statement in Support of Area Variance Relief

1247 E Street, S.E.; Square 1019, Lot 43

I. Introduction.

This Statement is submitted on behalf of 1247 ESE, LLC (the “Applicant”), owner of the property and improvements located at 1247 E Street, S.E., Square 1019, Lot 43 (the “Property”), in the R-4 zone district.

The building on the Property (the “Building”), built in the 1890’s, was originally built as a mixed-use building with a commercial use on the north part of first floor and residential use on the south part of the first floor and the upper floors. The Building also has a large cellar space to accommodate the need for storage for the commercial use.

The residential use has continued since then, as represented by an existing certificate of occupancy for four (4) units. The non-residential uses on the first floor have varied for the Building’s life. It has been used as a grocery store (its original intended use), a candy store, a rugby club, and most recently office space. The Applicant, in a 2014 decision, was granted variance relief to operate a restaurant in the first floor and cellar space, subject to certain conditions.¹

A portion of the lower level is already used for storage space for the four (4) existing residential units, and another portion is allocated for the non-residential use on the first floor. Still, a large portion of this lower level remains vacant and has apparently not been meaningfully utilized for many years, if ever. The Owner has completely renovated the other four (4) residential units and the proposed restaurant space, and now requests area variance relief from 11 DCMR § 401.11 in order to provide a 691 square foot residential unit in the existing, unutilized lower level space.

¹ See BZA Order No. 18701. The Building’s first floor and cellar space had lost its legally nonconforming commercial status after the first floor was used for a private club, then a permitted use in the R-4 Zone.

Pursuant to § 401.11, an existing apartment house may not be expanded so as to increase the number of units unless there are nine hundred square feet (900 sq ft.) of lot area for each dwelling unit, both existing and new. As the property has 3,514 square feet of lot area, area variance relief is required in order to provide a fifth (5th) residential unit. Such fifth (5th) residential unit will have existing code compliant means of access and egress. No other changes are contemplated other than the interior renovation of the subject cellar space.

II. Description of the Property, the Surrounding Area, and the Proposed Dwelling.

The Property is located at 1247 E Street, SE (Square 1019, Lot 43) and is zoned R-4. The lot is an L-shaped site located on the southwest corner of the intersection of 13th and E Streets, S.E.; one block north of Pennsylvania Avenue. The Property is a single lot of record with 3,514 square feet of land area. It is improved with a large brick two-story row structure and a basement (the "Building"). The Building was built around 1898, according to available records, and was originally constructed as a mixed-use building, with commercial space on parts of the first floor and basement, and residential space on part of the first floor and the entire second floor. It has been used continuously in this manner since the original construction, with several different nonresidential uses in the first floor commercial space (the "Commercial Space"). A copy of the Zoning Map for the Property is included with the Application.

On February 4, 2014, the Applicant was granted relief pursuant to 11 DCMR §3103.2, for a variance from the use provisions to operate a restaurant on a portion of the first floor of the Building, under §330.5. The Applicant has fully renovated the entire Building, although a tenant has not yet been secured for the first floor space. A part of the large basement space is used for storage, however due to its large size, 1,934 square feet of area, a majority of the basement space sits idle.

The Applicant proposes to convert the idle basement space into a residential unit with approximately 691 square feet of area (See Architectural Plans submitted with this Statement).

III. Variance Relief and the Burden of Proof.

The burden of proof for an area variance is well established. The Applicant must demonstrate three elements: (1) unique physical aspect or other extraordinary or exceptional situation or condition of the property; (2) practical difficulty from strict application of the Zoning Regulations; and (3) no substantial detriment to the public good or the zone plan. *Gilmartin v. D.C. Board of Zoning Adjustment*, 579 A.2d 1164, 1167 (D.C. 1990). As the D.C. Court of Appeals has noted, the variance procedure “is designed to provide relief from the strict letter of the regulations, protect zoning legislation from constitutional attack, alleviate an otherwise unjust invasion of property rights and prevent usable land from remaining idle.” *Palmer v. D.C. Board of Zoning Adjustment*, 287 A.2d 535, 541 (D.C. 1972).

As set forth below, the Applicant meets the three-part test for the requested variance from minimum lot area.

A. The Property is Uniquely Affected by an Exceptional Situation/Condition.

A building's configuration as a reflection of its historical uses on the ground floor can create an exceptional condition. *See BZA Application No. 18122 of Arthur G. Carr*. In that case, the BZA found that, along with its large size and configuration, the historical usage of nonresidential uses on the ground floor and flats on the upper two floors established an exceptional condition. In this case, the Property has been continuously used as a mixed-use building with four (4) residential units and commercial space on the first floor and basement floor.

Prior to the Applicant's purchase in 2013, the most recent use of the Commercial Space was a non-profit office, which operated by virtue of a use variance granted in BZA Order 15694 in 1992. The non-profit office utilized the first floor and part of the now idle basement space as office space. Other previous uses in the Commercial Space included a private rugby club, a confectionary store, and a grocery store.

A portion of the basement is being used for residential tenant storage purposes, but the majority of that space will remain unutilized without variance relief. Although the previous uses of the Building required such a large space, the current commercial use and residential storage do not fill the basement, resulting in an idle, wasted space.²

B. Strict Application of the Zoning Regulations Would Result in a Practical Difficulty to the Owner.

A strict application of the minimum lot area requirement in this case would result in a practical difficulty to the Applicant. Absent zoning relief, this large basement space will remain vacant or underutilized.

C. No Substantial Detriment to the Public Good Nor Substantial Impairment to the Intent, Purpose and Integrity of the Zone Plan.

Granting an area variance from the strict requirements of 401.3 would result in no substantial detriment to the public good nor substantial impairment to the intent, purpose and integrity of the Zone Plan. Recent approval has been granted for the development of 34 residential units on 1325 D Street, S.E., originally known as the Buchanan School and the previous site of the International Graduate University of Washington DC. The development will span the block of 13th

² As noted above, the variance procedure "is designed to provide relief from the strict letter or regulations, protect zoning legislation from constitutional attack, alleviate an unjust invasion of property rights and prevent usable land from remaining idle." *Palmer v. D.C. Board of Zoning Adjustment*, 287 A.2d 535, 541, (D.C. 1972)(emphasis added).

street that sits between D and E streets and the new dwellings will sit catty-corner to the Property. The Proposed Addition will require no changes to the exterior of the building, as the fifth unit already has an existing means of access and egress.

As the Building was originally built as a mixed-use apartment house, the Building was never used as a one or two – unit dwelling, and this is therefore not considered a conversion. The request is for area relief only and amounts to an addition of just one unit in a four-unit mixed-use space.

IV. Conclusion.

For the reasons stated above, this application meets the requirements for area variance relief, and the Applicant respectfully requests that the Board grant the requested relief.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin P. Sullivan, Esq.
Sullivan & Barros, LLP

Date: November 11, 2015