

Holland & Knight

800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100 | Washington, DC 20006 | T 202.955.3000 | F 202.955.5564
Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

Leila Batties
202.419.2583
leila.batties@hklaw.com

Christopher S. Cohen
202.469.5127
christopher.cohen@hklaw.com

September 1, 2026

VIA IZIS

Zoning Commission
for the District of Columbia
441 4th Street, NW, Ste. 210-S
Washington, DC 20001

**Re: Z.C. Order No. 23-28
Hill East (HE) Approved Design Review for Parcel B-1 and Parcel B-2
Request for Modification Without Hearing**

Dear Members of the Commission:

On behalf of Blue Sky Construction LLC d/b/a Blue Sky Construction and Development, DC (the “**Applicant**”), we hereby submit this application for a Modification Without Hearing to the Design Review approved with Z.C. Order No. 23-28 (the “**Order**”), effective November 29, 2024, for the property known as Parcel B-1 and Parcel B-2 within the Hill East campus (Square 1112-E, Lots 809 and 815) (collectively, the “**Property**”).

A. BACKGROUND AND FILING REQUIREMENTS

Pursuant to the Order, the Commission approved the redevelopment of the Property with two new buildings. On Parcel B-1, the Commission approved a residential apartment building containing approximately 350 dwelling units (“**Building B1**”). On Parcel B-2, the Commission approved a mixed-use residential building containing approximately 144 dwelling units and ground-floor retail space (“**Building B2**”). The approved development also includes affordable housing, structured parking, bicycle parking, public open space, and related streetscape and transportation improvements (collectively, the “**Project**”).

The Applicant proposes a limited series of design modifications to Building B2 and a reconfiguration of the Project's affordable housing program. As part of the proposed modifications, affordable dwelling units (“**ADUs**”) will be redistributed between Building B1 and Building B2 and among the applicable affordability tiers, resulting in Building B2 being comprised entirely of affordable dwelling units. These changes will accommodate a development program that is better aligned with current market conditions, improve financing opportunities for the Project, and

enhance the overall marketability of the Project. Specifically, the proposed modifications to Building B2 include:

- a reduction in the building height and massing;
- replacement of the approved ground-floor retail space with residential and resident-serving uses;
- elimination of the second level below-grade parking;
- revisions to the residential unit count and mix, including an increase in family-sized units and the conversion of Building B2 to an entirely affordable residential building; and
- refinements to the building's façade and materials.

As discussed herein, these modifications maintain the fundamental character, design intent, and development program approved by the Zoning Commission (the “**Commission**”).

This application may be properly considered as a Modification Without Hearing pursuant to Subtitle Z § 703.6 because the proposed modifications can be fully evaluated without witness testimony. Enclosed herewith are: (i) a copy of the Order; (ii) a letter from the Applicant authorizing Holland & Knight LLP to file and process this application; and (iii) the Application Signature Page (Form 100). The Form 105 (Modification Without Hearing) will be filed concurrently via IZIS. In accordance with Subtitle Z § 1600.8, the Applicant will remit the required filing fee of \$10,429.38 by check payable to the D.C. Treasurer under separate cover.¹

Pursuant to Subtitle Z § 703.13, a request for a Modification Without Hearing must be filed with the Office of Zoning at least thirty-five (35) days prior to the public meeting at which the request will be considered. **Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that this application be placed on the Commission’s October 8, 2026, public meeting agenda, which is 37 days from the date of this filing.**²

B. SUBJECT PROPERTY AND SURROUNDING AREA

The Property consists of two parcels within the Hill East campus, Parcel B-1 and Parcel B-2, known as Lots 809 and 815 in Square 1112-E and totals approximately 2.6 acres (~113,755 square feet). It is bounded by Independence Avenue, SE to the north, Burke Street, SE to the south, 20th Street, S.E. to the west, and 21st Street, SE to the east. A portion of the Property is used as a parking lot for the St. Coletta of Greater Washington charter school. The remaining portions are undeveloped.

The Property is part of a larger site envisioned for mixed-use redevelopment under the *Master Plan for Reservation 13: Hill East Waterfront* approved by the D.C. Council in February 2003. Two of the first developments to implement the Master Plan, known as Park Kennedy and

¹ Pursuant to Subtitle Z § 1600.8, the filing fee for a modification to an approved required or voluntary design review is twenty-six percent (26%) of the original filing fee or \$1,300, whichever is greater. The original filing fee for Z.C. Case No. 23-28 was \$40,113.00 (see [Ex. 4](#)). Therefore, the applicable filing fee for this application is \$10,429.38.

² The Applicant has also filed a related time extension application in Z.C. Case No. [23-28A](#), which is currently scheduled to be considered by the Commission at the same October 8, 2026, public meeting.

The Ethel, respectively, were constructed to the southeast on Parcels F-1 and G-1. Immediate surroundings include the St. Coletta school to the west and other undeveloped parcels within Hill East. The planned site for the Commanders stadium and entertainment district is located across Independence Avenue, SE to the north. Further west and north of the Property is the Hill East/Capitol Hill neighborhood, predominantly composed of two- and three-story rowhouses. The D.C. General Hospital campus and the D.C. Correctional Facility are located to the south.

Regarding public transit, the Stadium-Armory Metro station is located just north of the Property, with an entrance at 19th Street and Burke Street, SE. The Orange, Silver, and Blue lines serve the station, as well as multiple bus routes.

C. HILL EAST (HE) ZONING AND APPROVED DESIGN REVIEW

The Property is zoned Hill East (HE)-2, one of four Hill East zones intended, among other things, to connect and integrate Reservation 13 with adjacent neighborhoods and the Anacostia River waterfront, extend the existing pattern of local streets to and through the site, and accommodate a range of public needs including retail, recreation, and housing. *See* Subtitle K § 400.1. Pursuant to Subtitle K § 409.1, the Commission must review the design of all new buildings and additions for consistency with the Hill East design guidelines set forth in Subtitle K §§ 419 and 420. As noted above, the Order authorizes the Project, summarized as follows:

- Building B1 on Parcel B-1, a residential apartment building with approximately 373,157 square feet of gross floor area and approximately 350 dwelling units; and
- Building B2 on Parcel B-2, a mixed-use building with approximately 172,867 square feet of gross floor area, including approximately 14,044 square feet of ground-floor retail space and approximately 144 dwelling units.
- Both Building B1 and Building B2 are approved with a maximum density of approximately 4.8 FAR and a height of 80 feet, comprising seven stories plus a penthouse. To facilitate the Project as proposed, the Commission also granted relief from certain Hill East design requirements and approved related design flexibility. *See* Order, Decision at 55–58.

The Project is subject to affordable housing requirements under the National Capital Revitalization Corporation and Anacostia Waterfront Corporation Reorganization Act of 2008 (D.C. Official Code § 2-1226.01 *et seq.*) (the “**AWI Act**”) and D.C. Official Code § 10-801 *et seq.* Under the AWI Act, at least 30% of the total housing units developed must be affordable, with 15% reserved for households earning up to 30% MFI and 15% reserved for households earning up to 60% MFI. In addition, because the Property will be developed with 10 or more multifamily units and is located within one-half mile of the Stadium-Armory Metrorail station, D.C. Code § 10-801 *et seq.* requires that at least 30% of the dwelling units be dedicated as affordable housing, including a minimum of 25% of the ADUs reserved for households earning up to 30% MFI, with the remaining ADUs reserved for households earning more than 30% and up to 50% MFI.

Of note, under the Order, the Commission approved two waivers pursuant to Subtitle K § 409.2 from design standards applicable to Building B2. The first waiver provided flexibility from

the minimum fourteen-foot (14 ft.) ground-floor clear-height requirement for preferred uses under Subtitle K § 418.1(c). The second waiver provided flexibility from the street-frontage building-line requirements of Subtitle K § 419.7 related to façade articulation.

D. PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO BUILDING B2

As shown on the plans attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "**Modification Plans**"), the Applicant proposes a series of design- and programming-related modifications to the approved plans for Building B2. These changes refine the building massing, ground-floor program, parking configuration, and residential unit mix in response to current market conditions, while also updating its architectural expression. The proposed modifications also maintain the fundamental character, design intent, and overall development program approved by the Commission. The Modification Plans include the sheets approved as part of the original Project approval that are relevant to Building B2, followed by revised sheets illustrating the proposed modifications. No design changes are proposed to Building B1, only a shift in the income levels for the ADUs, as discussed below.

The modifications to Building B2 proposed with this application are highlighted below:

1. Reduction in Building Height and Massing

Because the approved ground-floor retail space has been removed from the base of the building, the height of Building B2 will be reduced from 80 feet to 78 feet, as measured from the building height measuring point on 20th Street, SE. The building height measuring point has also been revised to reflect the regrading of 20th Street associated with the nearby RFK redevelopment. The modified massing establishes a more balanced relationship among the building's base, middle, and upper portions while reducing the overall scale and perceived bulk of the building. The reduction in building height is reflected on Sheet A1.12 of the Modification Plans.

2. Revised Ground Floor Program Along Burke Street, SE

The Applicant proposes to remove the approved retail space along Burke Street because the market does not support retail in this location. The Park Kennedy, constructed on Parcel F-1 in the Hill East campus, currently has nearly 7,000 square feet of retail space that has been vacant for almost six years; and The Ethel, constructed on Parcel G-1, has approximately 11,000 square feet of vacant retail space.

The retail space proposed for Building B2 will be replaced with residential units, resident amenity space, and leasing offices. Storefront glazing will be retained at the amenity and leasing areas to maintain an active, pedestrian-oriented streetscape. At residential frontages, window configurations will be modified to reflect the residential use while maintaining a consistent architectural character. The modification to the ground floor is reflected on Sheet A1.03 of the Modification Plans.

3. Elimination of the Second Level Below Grade Parking

The previously approved below grade parking at the second level will be eliminated because it is no longer necessary to satisfy applicable parking requirements. Following the conversion of the approved ground-floor retail space to residential and resident-serving uses, the Project will continue to satisfy all applicable parking requirements (80 spaces required for residential use; 122 spaces proposed).³ The elimination of the second level of parking is reflected on Sheet A1.01 of the Modification Plans.

4. Revised Residential Unit Count and Mix

The Applicant proposes to reduce the total number of residential units in Building B2 from 144 units to 136 units while substantially increasing the number of family-sized units. As shown on Sheet A0.10A of the Modification Plans, Building B2 will now be comprised entirely of ADUs, including 12 studio units, 61 one-bedroom units, 43 two-bedroom units, and 20 three-bedroom units. Under the originally approved plans, Building B2 included only 24 two-bedroom ADUs and no three-bedroom ADUs. These changes provide a broader range of housing opportunities and accommodate a wider variety of household sizes. The revised unit mix for Building B2 is reflected in the table shown on Sheet A0.10A of the Modification Plans.

5. Refined Façade Articulation and Materials

Selected portions of the façade will incorporate bottom transoms at window openings to strengthen the building's vertical expression and provide additional architectural articulation. In addition, the previously approved cementitious material at the uppermost level will be replaced with brick veneer, enhancing material continuity and reinforcing the overall cohesiveness of the building's exterior design.

E. REDISTRIBUTION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING UNITS BETWEEN BUILDING B1 AND BUILDING B2

The Applicant proposes to redistribute ADUs among the applicable affordability levels between Building B1 and Building B2 to expand financing opportunities for the Project.

As reflected on Sheet A0.10A of the Modification Plans, the proposed redistribution does not materially change the Project's affordable housing program. Although the total number of ADUs will decrease slightly from approximately 329 units to approximately 324 units, that change is solely attributable to the corresponding reduction in the Project's overall residential unit count. The proposed modifications do not reduce the percentage of affordable housing provided by the Project or otherwise diminish the Project's affordable housing commitments.

With the proposed modifications, the Project will provide approximately 324 ADUs, consisting of 23 studio units, 213 one-bedroom units, 68 two-bedroom units, and 20 three-bedroom units. Of these affordable units, 162 units will be reserved for households earning up to 50% of median family income (“MFI”), including 81 units reserved for households earning up to 30%

³ The 122 parking spaces exclude the number of spaces required to be allocated for St. Coletta of Greater Washington. *See* Z.C. Order No. 23-28, Decision No. A.4.

MFI and 81 units reserved for households earning between 30% and 50% MFI. The remaining 162 affordable units will be reserved for households earning between 60% and 120% MFI.

The Project therefore continues to comply with the affordable housing requirements approved by the Commission and required under the AWI Act and D.C. Code § 10-801 *et seq.* As modified, the Project will contain approximately 486 residential units, of which 324 units, or approximately 67%, will be affordable. This substantially exceeds the requirement that at least 30% of the Project's residential units be reserved as affordable housing.

In addition, the AWI Act requires that at least 15% of the total residential units be reserved for households earning up to 30% MFI and at least 15% be reserved for households earning up to 60% MFI. The Project will continue to satisfy those requirements by providing 81 units, or approximately 16.7% of the total units, for households earning up to 30% MFI and an additional 81 units for households earning between 30% and 50% MFI. The Project also continues to comply with D.C. Code § 10-801 *et seq.*, which requires that at least 25% of the ADUs be reserved for households earning up to 30% MFI. Of the Project's 324 affordable units, 81 units, or 25%, will be reserved for households earning up to 30% MFI.

Accordingly, the proposed modifications merely redistribute affordable units between Buildings B1 and B2 and among the applicable affordability levels and do not affect the Project's continued compliance with the affordable housing requirements governing the Property.

F. CONSISTENCY WITH HILL EAST DESIGN REVIEW STANDARDS

As discussed above, the Commission must review the design of any proposed development within the HE zones for consistency with the design guidelines set forth in Subtitle K §§ 419 and 420 and with the purposes as stated in Subtitle K § 400. *See* 11-K DCMR § 409.1. As discussed below, the proposed modifications to Building B2 remain consistent with those purposes and the applicable design conditions.

Because the Modification Plans convert the previously approved ground-floor retail use to residential and resident-serving uses, the preferred-use design standards set forth in Subtitle K § 418 are no longer applicable to Building B2. In addition, while preferred uses in the HE zones, including retail, are *permitted* along Burke Street pursuant to Subtitle K § 417.2(b), they are not required. Accordingly, the Modification Plans remain consistent with the ground-floor use requirements of Subtitle K § 417. *See* 11-K DCMR §§ 411.1, 417.2(b), and 418.1.

1. Purposes of the HE Zone District

The purposes of the HE zones (HE-1 through HE-4) are set forth in Subtitle K §§ 400.1(a)-(i). In Conclusion of Law No. 7 of the Order, the Commission concluded that the Project was consistent with those purposes because, among other things, it would connect and integrate Reservation 13 with adjacent neighborhoods, extend the existing pattern of local streets, and provide appropriately scaled development. *See* Order at 43 (citing Findings of Fact Nos. 25 and 67). As discussed below, the proposed modifications to Building B2 do not alter those findings and remain consistent with the purposes of the HE zones.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(a)** – *Connect and integrate Reservation 13 with adjacent neighborhoods, and the new waterfront park along the Anacostia River;*

The proposed modifications do not alter the Project's overall site plan, street network, pedestrian connections, or relationship to surrounding neighborhoods and the future Anacostia waterfront. Building B2 will continue to activate the Property with new residential development and remain consistent with the *Master Plan for Reservation 13: Hill East Waterfront*. Accordingly, the modifications do not affect the Commission's prior findings regarding consistency with Subtitle K § 400.1(a).

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(b)** – *Utilize the site to meet a diversity of public needs, including health care, education, employment, government services and administration, retail, recreation, and housing;*

Despite the removal of the ground-floor retail, Building B2 will support the District's housing needs by providing significant affordable housing and expanding the availability of family-sized housing. Under the Modification Plans, Building B2 will be comprised entirely of affordable housing, including 43 two-bedroom ADUs and 20 three-bedroom ADUs. The Modification Plans increase the number of three-bedroom units from zero to 20, further advancing the Project's ability to serve a diverse range of household types.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(c)** – *Extend the existing pattern of local streets to and through the site to create simple, well-organized city blocks and appropriately scaled development;*

The proposed modifications do not alter the approved street network, block pattern, or public infrastructure improvements associated with the Project, including the extension of 20th Street, 21st Street, and Burke Street. Building B2 remains appropriately scaled for its location within the Hill East campus, and the proposed reduction in height and massing further reinforces that consistency.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(d)** – *Maintain a human-scale of building heights that match existing neighborhood buildings and increase in height as the site slopes downward to the Anacostia waterfront;*

The proposed modifications remain consistent with Subtitle K § 400.1(d). The revised design slightly reduces the height and overall massing of Building B2 yet enhances façade articulation and material continuity. These changes reinforce the building's human-scale character and relationship to the surrounding context.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(e)** – *Connect the Hill East neighborhood and the city at large to the waterfront via tree-lined public streets, recreational trails, and increased access to waterfront parklands;*

The proposed modifications are limited to Building B2 and do not affect the approved streetscape, landscaping, sidewalk network, planting strips, or other public realm improvements associated with the Project. Accordingly, the Commission's prior findings regarding consistency with Subtitle K § 400.1(e) remain unchanged.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(f)** – *Demonstrate environmental stewardship through environmentally-sensitive design, ample open spaces, and a waterfront park that serve as public amenities and benefit the neighborhood and the city;*

The proposed modifications do not affect the approved sustainability measures, stormwater management facilities, open-space program, or other environmental features associated with the Project.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(g)** – *Promote the use of mass transit by introducing new uses near Metro stations, and create an environment where the pedestrian, bicycle, and auto are all welcome, complementary, and unobtrusive, reducing the impact of traffic on adjacent neighborhood streets;*

The proposed modifications do not affect the Property's proximity to the Stadium-Armory Metrorail station or the approved pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular circulation systems associated with the Project. Moreover, the elimination of the second level of structured parking reflects the reduced parking demand associated with the revised ground-floor program while maintaining compliance with applicable parking requirements. Accordingly, the proposed modifications remain consistent with Subtitle K § 400.1(g).

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(h)** – *Limit the Central Detention Facility and the Correction Treatment Facility to areas south of Massachusetts Avenue; and*

The Project does not involve the Central Detention Facility or the Correction Treatment Facility, which are to the south of Massachusetts Avenue.

- **Subtitle K § 400.1(i)** – *Create attractive “places” of unique and complementary character including:*
 - 1) *A new, vital neighborhood center around the Metro station at C and 19th Streets that serves the unmet neighborhood commercial needs of the community and extends to the waterfront with a new residential district;*
 - 2) *Massachusetts Avenue as a grand Washington ‘boulevard’ in the tradition of the L’Enfant plan;*
 - 3) *A district for city-wide uses and services, such as health care, education, and recreation along Independence Avenue; and*
 - 4) *A grand public waterfront park incorporating monumental places and quiet natural retreats accessed by a meandering park drive set back from the Anacostia River.*

The proposed modifications to Building B2 do not alter the Project's role within the overall Hill East campus and its potential to create an attractive place of complementary character. Importantly, no modifications are proposed to Building B1, which will continue to provide the linear park along Independence Avenue and other placemaking features that contributed to the Commission's original findings under Subtitle K § 400.1(i).

2. Applicable HE Design Conditions (Subtitle K § 419)

Subtitle K § 419 sets forth the design requirements applicable to buildings and structures within the HE zones, regardless of whether they contain preferred ground-floor uses (*see* Subtitle K § 418) or are located on primary streets (*see* Subtitle K § 420). In Conclusion of Law No. 10 of the Order, the Commission found that the Project satisfied the HE Zone design standards, except for the approved waivers from Subtitle K § 419.2 for Building B1 and Subtitle K § 419.7 for Buildings B1 and B2. *See* Order at 44 (citing Findings of Fact Nos. 29 and 103).

The proposed modifications do not affect Building B2's compliance with the applicable design conditions of Subtitle K § 419, and Building B2 continues to rely on the previously approved waiver from Subtitle K § 419.7. Accordingly, the Commission's prior findings regarding consistency with Subtitle K § 419 remain unchanged.

G. CONSISTENCY WITH MANDATORY DESIGN REVIEW STANDARDS

The Commission must also consider the Mandatory Design Review criteria of Subtitle X § 604.⁴ In the Order, the Commission concluded that the Project was not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted public policies and active programs related to the Property, satisfied the general special exception standards, and met the applicable urban design criteria in a manner superior to any matter-of-right development. *See* Order at 46-52, Conclusions of Law Nos. 19-33.

The proposed modifications to Building B2 do not alter the bases upon which the Commission concluded that the Project satisfied Subtitle X §§ 604.5 and 604.6. *See* Order at 46-51, Conclusions of Law Nos. 19-31. As discussed above, the Modification Plans remain consistent with the purposes of the HE zones and Building B2 will provide significant affordable housing in accordance with the AWI Act and related District requirements. The Modification Plans also increase the number of family-sized units. Ultimately, the proposed design changes do not materially affect or eliminate aspects of the Project that supported the Commission's findings regarding consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, the Hill East Small Area Plan, and other adopted public policies.

Likewise, the Modification Plans do not alter the Commission's prior racial equity findings, including those related to affordable housing, housing opportunity, and the absence of direct residential displacement on the Property. *See* Order at 49-50, Conclusions of Law Nos. 24-26.

The proposed modifications also do not change the Commission's prior findings under Subtitle X §§ 604.7 and 604.8. *See* Order at 51-52, Conclusions of Law Nos. 32-33. Although the Modification Plans replace the previously approved ground-floor retail use with residential and

⁴ Subtitle X § 604.5 requires the Commission to find that a proposed design review development is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted public policies and active programs related to the site. Subtitle X § 604.6 requires the Commission to find that the development will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property and satisfies the general special exception criteria of Subtitle X, Chapter 9. Subtitle X § 604.7 requires the Commission to evaluate the urban design of the site and building, including street frontages, open space, architecture, landscaping, and connectivity. Subtitle X § 604.8 requires the Commission to find that those urban design criteria are satisfied in a manner superior to any matter-of-right development that could be constructed on the site.

resident-serving uses, Building B2 will continue to provide an active and pedestrian-oriented streetscape along Burke Street through resident amenity space. The Modification Plans also reduce the scale of Building B2 and incorporate design refinements that improve its human-scale. Accordingly, the Commission's prior conclusions regarding the Mandatory Design Review criteria remain unchanged.

H. APPLICATION PROPERLY FILED AS MODIFICATION WITHOUT HEARING

The term “Modification Without Hearing” is defined as a modification “in which impact may be understood without witness testimony, including, but not limited to a proposed change to a condition in the final order, a change in position on an issue discussed by the Commission that affected its decision, or a redesign or relocation of architectural elements and open spaces from the final design approved by the Commission.” 11-Z DCMR § 703.6. The Zoning Regulations further provide that the determination of whether a modification may be approved without witness testimony is within the Commission's discretion. *Id.*

As discussed above, the Applicant proposes deliberate changes to Building B2 intended to improve its design and development program. The modifications will not alter the fundamental character or core aspects of the Project, and their impacts can be fully evaluated based on the Modification Plans and supporting materials submitted with this application. Accordingly, the request may be approved as a Modification Without Hearing pursuant to Subtitle Z § 703.6.

Pursuant to Subtitle Z § 703.10, a request for a Modification Without Hearing must be served on all parties to the original proceeding and the Office of Planning (“OP”) at the time it is filed with the Office of Zoning. The parties to the original proceeding were Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 7F and ANC 7D. *See* Order, Findings of Fact Nos. 1-4 at 2. As reflected in the Certificate of Service submitted herewith, the Applicant is contemporaneously serving copies of this application on ANC 7F, ANC 7D, and OP.

I. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The Property is located within the boundaries of ANC 7F. ANC 7D is also an “affected ANC” pursuant to Subtitle Z § 101.8 because its jurisdictional boundary runs along Independence Avenue, SE, immediately north of the Property. The Applicant presented the proposed modifications to ANC 7F at its duly scheduled public meeting on April 21, 2026, and to ANC 7D at its duly scheduled public meeting on June 9, 2026. Both ANCs voted to support the proposed modifications, and no significant issues or concerns were raised.

J. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Commission approve this application for a Modification Without Hearing. The proposed modifications to Building B2 and the redistribution of ADUs between Building B1 and Building B2 are limited in scope, preserve the fundamental residential and affordable housing character of the Project, and remain consistent with all applicable design review standards and the Commission's findings in the Order.

Very truly yours,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

By: 
Leila M. Jackson Batties


Christopher S. Cohen

Enclosures
Exhibit

cc: Certificate of Service

Certificate of Service

We hereby certify that on September 1, 2026, a copy of the foregoing application for a Modification Without Hearing to Z.C. Order No. 23-28 was served by electronic mail on the following at the addresses listed below:

D.C. Office of Planning

Radhika Mohan

radhika.mohan@dc.gov

Maxine Brown-Roberts

maxine.brownroberts@dc.gov

Advisory Neighborhood Commission 7F

7F@anc.dc.gov

Commissioner Tyrell M. Holcomb

ANC 7F Chair

7F01@anc.dc.gov

Commissioner Shirley Thompson-Wright

Single-Member District 7F-07

7F07@anc.dc.gov

Advisory Neighborhood Commission 7D

7D@anc.dc.gov

**affected ANC pursuant to Subtitle Z § 101.8*

Commissioner Brian Alcorn

ANC 7D Chair

7D08@anc.dc.gov

Commissioner Ebony Payne

Single-Member District 7D-05

7D05@anc.dc.gov

By: 

Leila M. Jackson Batties

Christopher S. Cohen

Holland & Knight LLP

Counsel for Applicant