CHEVY CHASE DEFENSE COMMITTEE

3750 Kanawha St NW Washington DC 20015 January 2, 1988

District of Columbia Zoning Commission 1350 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington DC 20001

To the Zoning Commission:

My neighborhood organization, the Chevy Chase Defense Committee, has a strong interest in a matter that is pending before the Commission, namely, the downzoning of the west side of Connecticut Avenue between Chevy Chase Parkway NW and Military Road NW. The Defense Committee represents over 100 households in the neighborhood comprising the stretch of Connecticut Avenue in question and the blocks immediately to its west. We would therefore appreciate the opportunity to testify before the Commission when this matter is considered on January 21, 1988.

An outline of our proposed testimony is enclosed. At present I expect to deliver the testimony myself. Should my travel schedule make this impossible, however, the Committee will be represented by another member.

Thank you very much.

Frederick Ribe

Sincerely,

Frederick Ribe Chairman

> ZONING COMMISSION District of Columbia

CaseNING COMMISSION District of Columbia
Exhibitase Notes

1 PH IZ:

ZONING SECRETARIA!

ZONING SECRETARIA!

RISTREST OF COLUMBIA

Outline of the testimony of the Chevy Chase Defense Committee before the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia on the downzoning of a portion of Connecticut Ave NW.

Jan. 2, 1988

- 1. The section of Connecticut Avenue in question, bordered by Chevy Chase Parkway NW on the south and Military Road NW on the north, is bound by a restrictive covenant banning the construction of apartment buildings or other commercial developments.
 - a. The covenant has been enforced twice in recent years by court decisions, the most recent of which was in June, 1986.
 - b. The neighborhood will continue enforcing the covenant in court if necessary.
- 2. Preservation of the relatively low density along Connecticut through the restrictive covenant is costly to many parties, and should be done more efficiently through a zoning change.
 - a. The residents paid over \$30,000 for legal representation in the most recent case, and a concomitant amount in the previous legal defense in 1969.
 - b.Developers have borne high costs too: in the recent court case a developer drew up complete plans for a project in violation of the covenant and had them approved by the District government, only to have them thrown out in court. The developer also incurred legal costs in the litigation, presumably even larger than those incurred by the residents.
 - c.The District government also wasted staff time in evaluating the developer's plans, which were subsequently thrown out in court.

The proposed downzoning would be broadly consistent with Ear relatively sparse development of the section of Connecticut Avenue opposite the portion in question (ie the east side of Connecticut between Chevy Chase Parkway and Military Road, and also the section just south or Chevy Chase Parkway) which is not covered by a restrictive covenant.

- a. There are several relatively old three-story townhouse developments along the east side of Connecticut in this section, at least one of which has recently been renovated.
- b. One block on the east side, just south of Military Road,