

---

## Issues of concern in Office of Planning Supplemental Report

---

From Robert Glass <casaglass123@yahoo.com>

Date Thu 3/26/2026 4:38 PM

To DCOZ - ZC Submissions (DCOZ) <DCOZ-ZCSubmissions@dc.gov>

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the DC Government. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. If you believe that this email is suspicious, please forward to [phishing@dc.gov](mailto:phishing@dc.gov) for additional analysis by OCTO Security Operations Center (SOC).

Some people who received this message don't often get email from casaglass123@yahoo.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Chairman Hood and members of the DC Zoning Commission,

I have lived in Cleveland Park for 34 years. I appreciate the Zoning Commission's (ZC) decision to reopen Case 25-09 given the many questions asked during the December 1 hearing. This letter is in response to the Office of Planning's (OP) Supplemental Report to the case, addressing just a few of the issues that concern me.

At the hearing that led to the ZC reopening the case, the ZC asked why front setbacks are not included and suggested that OP add language to indicate that additions and new construction are subject to review by the Historic Preservation Review Board (HPRB). In its Supplemental Report, OP declared this "inappropriate." How can that be?! The ZC uses setbacks to ensure that new buildings do not overwhelm adjacent structures. The HPRB employs setbacks to modify designs to enhance their compatibility as an addition to an existing structure and to ensure the new construction is secondary to the existing structure.

The ZC should act to ensure development standards acknowledge the need for transitions from the low to moderate density and memorialize this in writing.

I also believe there are other zones more appropriate for Cleveland Park's commercial corridor that are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. The ZC should consider the neighborhood's contributing structures, the history of the commercial strip, and how extreme height differentials would permanently change Cleveland Park's character. Please, employ smart preservation.

Finally, I see nothing in any document OP has provided that ensures that affordable housing will truly come to Cleveland Park—which I support. Increased density should be accompanied by an increase in the affordable housing requirement.

Sincerely,  
Mary Jane Glass  
3110 34th St NW