

J.C OFFICE OF ZONING

c wald <cwald4517@gmail.com>

Zoning Proporsal

2015 JAN 15 PM 2: 32

4 messages

Donna Washington <dray100752@verizon net> To cwald4517@gmail.com Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 4 26 PM

Dear Ms Waldeck,

I was born and raised in Washington, DC and I have resided in the city for over 60 years. My grandfather and aunt bought my property in 1955 and at that time, there were neighborhood block captains and companson of flowers and landscaping. Fruit trees lined the back yards and it was one of the cities most beautiful places to live. Everyone cared about their property and its appearance. You couldn't change your property without the agreement of your neighbors and if your house was unkept you were notified what needed to be corrected. Neighbors actually assisted each other for the betterment of the overall look of each property.

I have driven through this city and been disgusted at the way that houses have stuck out like sore thumbs, because the owners made major changes to the appearance of their property. I find this new pop-up idea as one of the absolute worst things that has happened in the city. It reminds me of the Mac Mansion problem that plagued MD for a couple of years before their property regulations were changed to stop the practice.

The city has very little parking and as it is there are at least three cars per house and they want to add three whole families to a house. The district regulations don't even allow us to create a closed in structure like a garage, in back of your house and yet these new comers want to buy up the properties and build four level properties next door. This new carpet bagger practice is fueled by greed and a total lack of respect for aesthetics and neighborhood flavor.

If you want to expand your house you can build onto the back, so their argument that you cannot expand if your family grows is false. There is no regulation that prevents expansion of your house. However, building a whole new modern level on top of a victorian style house is so distracting and plain ugly. I have only seen one pop up that was designed to carry on the tradition of the actual house. Every other one I have seen has been extremely obvious and overwhelming. Furthermore, it has to impact their immediate neighbors because the structure looming over their house blocks the sun, traps the wind over their house, etc. All of which is a negative.

Consequently, I not only find this new top over growth and degradation of the properties not only in Ward 4 but in DC as a whole, I fully support the zoning amendment outlined in Case No 14-11

NO MORE POP UPS in DCI

Respectfully submitted,

DONNA R WASHINGTON Owner 1417 Webster St, NW

PS I intend to send a letter to the Commission also

Cecelia Waldeck < cwald4517@gmail com>

To Donna Washington <dray100752@venzon net>

Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 5 04 PM

Thank you for your thoughtful letter I plan to testify at the hearing and would love to quote some parts of your letter if that is OK with you

ZONING COMMISSION

Cecelia waldeck 4517 15th st nv [Gustec extinated] District of Columbia

CASE NO. 14-1(

EXHIBITINGS COMPSION

District of Columbia

Cecelia Waldeck < cwald4517@gmail com>
To Donna Washington < dray100752@verizon net>

Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 5 04 PM

[Quoted extinuden]

Donna Washington <dray100752@ver.zor.net> wrote

Dear Ms Waldeck,

I was born and raised in Washington, DC and I have resided in the city for over 60 years. My grandfather and aunt bought my property in 1955 and at that time, there were neighborhood block captains and comparison of flowers and landscaping. Fruit trees lined the back yards and it was one of the cities most beautiful places to live. Everyone cared about their property and its appearance. You couldn't change your property without the agreement of your neighbors and if your house was unkept you were notified what needed to be corrected. Neighbors actually assisted each other for the betterment of the overall look of each property.

I have driven through this city and been disgusted at the way that houses have stuck out like sore thumbs, because the owners made major changes to the appearance of their property. I find this new pop-up idea as one of the absolute worst things that has happened in the city. It reminds me of the Mac Mansion problem that plagued MD for a couple of years before their property regulations were changed to stop the practice.

The city has very little parking and as it is there are at least three cars per house and they want to add three whole families to a house. The district regulations don't even allow us to create a closed in structure like a garage, in back of your house and yet these new comers want to buy up the properties and build four level properties next door. This new carpet bagger practice is fueled by greed and a total lack of respect for aesthetics and neighborhood flavor.

If you want to expand your house you can build onto the back, so their argument that you cannot expand if your family grows is false. There is no regulation that prevents expansion of your house. However, building a whole new modern level on top of a victorian style house is so distracting and plain ugly. I have only seen one pop up that was designed to carry on the tradition of the actual house. Every other one I have seen has been extremely obvious and overwhelming. Furthermore, it has to impact their immediate neighbors because the structure looming over their house blocks the sun, traps the wind over their house, etc. All of which is a negative.

Consequently, I not only find this new top over growth and degradation of the properties not only in Ward 4 but in DC as a whole, I fully support the zoning amendment outlined in Case No 14-11

NO MORE POP UPS in DCI

Respectfully submitted,

DONNA R WASHINGTON Owner 1417 Webster St, NW

P.S. I intend to send a letter to the Commission also

Donna Washington <dray100752@verizon net>
To Cecelia Waldeck <cwald4517@gmail.com>

Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 10 11 PM

Absolutely! You can use anything you wish! [Quoteo *ext rinde t]