

JAN 29 2015
RECEIVED
D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING
2015 JAN 29 PM 2:14

FROM:

OPPOSE CASE 14-11

CURTIS JACKSON
1119 CLIFTON ST NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20009
202-403-4565

I AM OPPOSED TO DOWN ZONING NEIGHBORHOODS UNDER CASE 14-11.

- * Many have voiced an opinion that down zoning is the only, or one of, remedy to maintain the character of a neighborhood is to use legal means to ban "pop-ups." Some pop-ups are indeed ugly (e.g. 1000 block of V ST NW) but you can't legislate good taste. Aluminum awnings are also ugly but provide some home owners benefits. Pop-ups have been in neighborhoods with character for 100+ years. (e.g. 1100 block S St NW)
- * Down zoning provides me no benefits. One component of my retirement financial plan is to convert my row house into multiple units and retire in place. The house will be too much to maintain in my retirement years. I like my location but will be priced out of it to move to a small condo
- * Some argue row houses are needed for large families. But it should not be at my expense and shouldered as my responsibility. I am from a family of 5 who grew up in a small house in Mississippi. My row house in DC is three times the size of the house in which I grew up. An argument that rowhouses are the only or major means for families is bogus

Again, I am opposed to down zoning neighborhoods under case 14-11

Sincerely,

Curtis Jackson

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

CASE NO. 14-11

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
EXHIBIT NO. 174

CASE NO. 14-11
EXHIBIT NO. 174