

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of Zoning



MEMORANDUM

To: Office of Documents and Administrative Issuance (via-email)
From: Sharon S. Schellin
Secretary to the Zoning Commission
Date: June 22, 2009 *SS*
Re: Publication for the Office of Zoning

Please publish the following in the *D.C. Register* on June 26, 2009:

1. Z.C. Order No. 09-07A (Case No. 09-07A).

Attachment

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 09-07A
EXHIBIT NO. 11

ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 09-07A
Z.C. Case No. 09-07A
(Scott and Kristen Franklin – Special Exception @ 2269 Cathedral Avenue, N.W.)
June 18, 2009

Application No. 09-07A of Scott and Kristen Franklin, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, for a special exception to allow an addition to an existing single-family dwelling under section 223, not meeting the lot occupancy (section 403) requirements in the R-4 District at premises 2269 Cathedral Avenue, N.W. (Square 2210, Lot 803).

HEARING DATE: June 18, 2009

DECISION DATE: July 18, 2009 (Bench Decision)

SUMMARY ORDER

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2.

The Zoning Commission provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register*, and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 3C and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 3C, which is automatically a party to this application. ANC 3C did not submit a report in regard to the application. The Office of Planning (“O”P) submitted a report in support of the application.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Commission has required the Applicants to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for special exception under § 223. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Commission to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Commission and having given great weight to the OP report, the Commission concludes that the Applicants have met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 223, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Commission further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3101.6, the Commission has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Commission be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that this application be **GRANTED**.

VOTE: 4-0-1 (Anthony J. Hood, Peter G. May, Konrad Schlater, and Michael Turnbull to approve; William Keating not present, not voting).

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. ZONING COMMISSION

Each concurring member approved the issuance of this Order.

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: June 26, 2009

UNDER 11 DCMR 3125.9, "NO DECISION OR ORDER OF THE COMMISSION SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN DAYS AFTER HAVING BECOME FINAL PURSUANT TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT."

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125 APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, UNLESS THE COMMISSION ORDERS OTHERWISE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION.

D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ., (ACT) THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION. THE FAILURE OR REFUSAL OF THE APPLICANT TO COMPLY SHALL FURNISH GROUNDS FOR THE DENIAL OR, IF ISSUED, REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMITS OR CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT