Holland+Knight

Tel 202 955 3000 Fax 202 955 5564 Holland & Knight LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20006-6801 www.hklaw.com

Mary Carolyn Brown 202 862 5990 carolyn.brown@hklaw.com

March 20, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia 441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 210S Washington, D.C. 20001

Re:

Zoning Commission Case No. 07-26

O Street Market PUD and Map Amendment

Dear Members of the Commission:

On behalf of the Applicant, O Street Roadside, LLC, we submit one original and twenty copies of the Applicant's proposed order. As discussed at the public hearing on this application, prior to final action, the Applicant will submit a memorandum outlining how the proposed amenities will be administered.

Thank you for your attention to this matter, and we look forward to the Zoning Commission's favorable consideration of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

Bv:

Mary anslyn Brown / Screen

Mary Carolyn Brown

cc:

Jennifer Steingasser, OP (w/attach. by hand) Karen Thomas, OP (w/attach. by hand)

ANC 2C (w/attach. by mail)

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

CASENO

EXHIBIT NO.

ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ZONING COMMISSION ORDER NO. 07-26
Case No. 07-26

Case No. 07-26

Consolidated Planned Unit Development and Zoning Map Amendment— O Street
Roadside LLC -- Square 398, Lots 829 & 830 Roadside LLC -- Square 398, Lots 829 & 830

[Date of Final Action]

Pursuant to notice, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia (the "Zoning Commission") held a public hearing on March 6, 2008, to consider an application from O Street Roadside LLC, owner of Lots 829 and 830 in Square 398, for consolidated review and one-step approval of a planned unit development ("PUD") and a related map amendment from the C-2-A District to the CR or C-3-C District to construct a mixed-use development on the property. The Commission considered the application pursuant to Chapters 24 and 30 of the District of Columbia Zoning Regulations, Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations ("DCMR"). The public hearing was conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR § 3022. For the reasons stated below, the Zoning Commission hereby approves the applications.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Applications, Parties, and Hearings

- 1. On September 17, 2007, O Street Roadside LLC (the "Applicant"), as owner of Lots 829 and 830 in Square 398 (the "Subject Property"), filed applications with the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia ("Zoning Commission") requesting consolidated review and one-step approval of a planned unit development (PUD) and a related map amendment from the C-2-A District to the CR or C-3-C District for the Subject Property. At the public hearing, the Commission only considered rezoning to the C-3-C District.
- 2. The Subject Property has a combined land area of approximately 149,600 square feet and is currently zoned C-2-A. Square 398 is located in the northwest quadrant of the District, and is bounded by P Street on the north, 7th Street on the east, O Street on the south, and 9th Street on the west. The Subject Property is bisected by the former 8th Street, N.W. right-of-way, which was legally closed in 1977.
- 3. The Applicant proposes to construct a mixed-use development consisting of marketrate housing, senior and affordable housing, a potential hotel, a grocery store and other retail uses on the Subject Property. The new development will contain approximately 770,780 square feet of gross floor area, with an overall floor area ratio ("FAR") of 5.15 and a maximum building height of 95 feet. Approximately 545,775 square feet will be devoted to residential uses, approximately 115,115 square feet will be devoted to hotel and/or residential use, and approximately 69,000 square feet will be devoted to retail uses. The project includes 500 parking spaces in underground and first floor garages, as well 80 additional spaces in yault space, but the Applicant requested the flexibility to

CONING COMMISSION District of Columbia

HARE NO.	
EXHIBIT NO.	

- provide approximately 225 parking spaces for the retail grocery store, instead of the 250 spaces originally allocated, which represents a 10% reduction.
- 4. On November 9, 2007, the Applicant filed a supplemental statement marked as Exhibits 15 and 16 of the record of this case, in support of the application, which included additional information regarding the planning and design of the project, as well as numerous letters in support of the project.
- 5. On December 5, 2007, the Applicant submitted a letter marked as Exhibits 18 and 19 of the record of this case, with revised plans indicating that the project's height has been reduced with various setbacks and step downs. The overall FAR of the project was also reduced to 5.15, which is significantly less than the 8.0 FAR permitted under the PUD guidelines. The plans also included photo-renderings of the 9th Street elevation illustrating the transition from the west elevation of the PUD to the 30 to 40-foot height structures across 9th Street.
- 6. At its public meeting held on December 10, 2007, the Zoning Commission voted to schedule a public hearing on the applications.
- 7. On December 27, 2007, the Applicant submitted a Prehearing Statement, along with revised architectural drawings, marked as Exhibits 22 and 23 of the record in this case.
- 8. On February 15, 2008, the Applicant submitted additional Supplemental Hearing Materials, marked as Exhibits 29 and 29A of the record in this case, which included revised architectural drawings, information regarding the proposed public benefits and amenities, sustainable design features information, and expert witness resumes. The materials also reflected a five-foot increase in the height of the project for a portion of the eastern residential building.
- 9. After proper notice, the Zoning Commission held a public hearing on the applications on March 6, 2008. The parties to the case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 2C, the ANC within which the Subject Property is located.
- 10. The Applicant presented four witnesses at the Zoning Commission's hearing of March 6, 2008, including Armond Spikell, principal of Roadside Development and accepted by the Zoning Commission as an expert in urban mixed use development, Robert Sponseller, an architect with Shalom Baranes Associates and accepted by the Zoning Commission as an expert in architecture, Christopher Gay, of Vanasse, Hangen & Brustlin and accepted by the Zoning Commission as an expert in transportations, and Steven E. Sher, Director of Zoning and Land Use Services, Holland & Knight, accepted by the Zoning Commission as an expert in land use and zoning. Also available to testify was Jeff Lee, on behalf of Lee + Papa and Associates, as a landscape architect.
- 11. Tim Mack, of Shaw Main Street; Cary Silverman, of Mount Vernon Square Neighborhood Civic Association; Leroy Thorpe, President of East Central Civic

Association; and Alexander Padro, Single Member District Commissioner for ANC 2C-01 all testified in support of the project at the hearing.

- 12. The record includes the following letters in support of the applications: ANC 2C; East Central Civic Association of Shaw; French Street Neighborhood Association; The Blagden Alley Association; Ralph Brabham; a petition signed by numerous property owners; Councilmembers Vincent C. Gray and Jack Evans; Neil Albert, the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development; the Community Preservation and Development Corporation; and 1330 Seventh Street Limited Partnership (See Exhibits 15, 17, 20, 31 and 32).
- 13. ANC 2C submitted a letter in support of the applications. ANC 2C indicated that it is fully supportive of the project's FAR, proposed rezoning to C-3-C, and building heights. ANC 2C also indicated that it has fully supported the redevelopment of the Subject Property and its Comprehensive Plan designation to allow high density and medium density commercial so that this specific project, which is of extreme value and importance to the community could move forward. The ANC also requested that the Zoning Commission approve the applications as expeditiously as possible.
- 14. On March 13, 2008, the Applicant submitted a Post-Hearing Submission, marked as Exhibit ____ of the record in the case, which included updated architectural drawing sheets and a response to the District of Columbia's Department of Transportation ("DDOT") report dated March 5, 2008.
- 15. At its special public meeting on March 24, 2008, the Zoning Commission took proposed action by a vote of ______ to approve with conditions the applications and plans that were submitted to the record. The Zoning Commission also voted to rezone the Subject Property from C-2-A to C-3-C.
- 16. The proposed action of the Commission was referred to the National Capital Planning Commission ("NCPC") under the terms of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act. NCPC, by action dated ______, found that the proposed PUD would not affect the federal establishment or other federal interests in the National Capital, nor be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital.
- 17. The Zoning Commission took final action to approve the application on ______ by a vote of _____.

The PUD Project

18. The proposed project will have an overall floor area ratio of 5.15 and a total gross floor area of approximately 770,780 square feet dedicated to a mixed-use development that includes affordable residential units for rent to senior citizens, homeownership and rental residential units, and a hotel with 210 rooms (with the option to convert to additional residential units). The proposed project also includes retail and services uses including a 65,000 square foot grocery store, 10,000 square feet of additional retail

- space, and an 8,000 square foot restaurant. The existing historic O Street Market will be rehabilitated and incorporated into the new grocery store.
- 19. The proposed residential density of the project is 3.65 FAR, the hotel's density is 0.77 FAR, the retail density is 0.46 FAR, and the retail parking on the ground floor of the west building accounts for 0.27 FAR in the project.
- 20. The portion of the eastern residential building located above the grocery store will be constructed to a height of 95 feet; the remainder of the building will be constructed to a height of 90 feet. The increase in height to 95 feet was necessitated by the 15-foot finished floor-to-ceiling height of the proposed grocery store on the eastern portion of the project site. The proposed eight floors of residential units could not provide acceptable floor-to-ceiling heights without the additional five feet of building height. Without the increase, the units would have a finished ceiling height of 7'-1" in the kitchen and bathroom areas, and 8'-1" in the living areas. With the five-foot increase in building height, the ceiling heights would increase to 7'-8" to 8'-8", respectively. The Commission finds the additional five feet in overall building height for the portion of the east building located above the grocery store to be acceptable and necessary to the successful functioning of the project and the residential units, in particular. It is consistent with the provisions of several overlay districts, such as the H Street Overlay, which permits an increase in overall building height to encourage and compensate for greater than average floor-to-ceiling heights for ground floor retail.
- 21. The western residential building will be constructed to a height of 90 feet facing 8th Street, and step down to 72 feet and then 62 feet at the property line facing 9th Street. The proposed hotel will be constructed to a height of 90 feet. The retail uses at the ground level along 8th Street will have a floor-to-ceiling height of 15 feet. The grocery store will have a ceiling height of 16 feet.
- 22. The proposed project includes 500 parking spaces in underground and first floor garages, as well 80 additional spaces in vault space. The project includes four loading berths at 55 feet deep, three berths at 30 feet deep, and five service/delivery loading spaces at 20 feet deep. All loading and service bays for the project are located on the B-2 level of the garage and are accessed from a single driveway on 9th Street. All maneuvering is accomplished on-site and below grade.

Matter of Right Development under Existing Zoning

23. The Subject Property is currently zoned C-2-A. The C-2-A District is designed to provide facilities for shopping and business needs, housing, and mixed uses for large segments of the District of Columbia outside of the central core. 11 DCMR § 720.2. Moreover, the C-2-A District are generally located in low and medium density residential areas with access to main highways or rapid transit stops, and include office employment centers, shopping centers and medium-bulk mixed use centers. 11 DCMR § 720.3.

- 24. The maximum permitted matter-of-right height in the C-2-A District is 50 feet, with no limit on the number of stories. 11 DCMR § 770.1. The C-2-A District permits a maximum floor area ratio ("FAR") of 2.5, all of which may be residential, but of which not more than 1.5 may be used for other, non-residential permitted purposes. 11 DCMR § 771.2. Pursuant to Section 772.1 of the Zoning Regulations, no building or portion of a building in the C-2-A District devoted to a residential use, may occupy more than 60% of the lot upon which the structure is located. 11 DCMR § 771.2. There is no lot occupancy limitation for commercial uses in the C-2-A District.
- 25. Development under the PUD guidelines for the C-2-A District would allow a maximum building height of 65 feet, and a maximum FAR of 3.0, of which not more than 2.0 may be devoted to commercial use, including hotels. 11 DCMR §§ 2405.1 and 2405.2.

Matter of Right Development Under C-3-C Requirements

- 26. Under the proposed PUD, the zoning of the Subject Property would become C-3-C. The the Zoning Commission determined to rezone the Subject Property from C-2-A to C-3-C.
- 27. The C-3-C District permits medium to high density development, including office, retail, housing and mixed-use development. 11 DCMR § 740.8. The C-3-C District also permits a maximum height of 90 feet, and a maximum FAR of 6.5 for all uses. 11 DCMR §§ 770.1 and 771.2. The C-3-C District permits 100% lot occupancy. upon which the structure is located. 11 DCMR § 772.1.
- 28. Pursuant to Section 774.1 the Zoning Regulations, a minimum 12 foot rear yard must be provided for each structure in the C-3-C District. Side yards are generally not required for any building or structure located in the C-3-C District. 11 DCMR § 775.5. However, if a side yard is provided, its minimum width must be two inches wide per foot of building height, but not less than six feet. 11 DCMR § 775.5.
- 29. With respect to off-street parking, an apartment house or multiple dwelling in the C-3-C District requires one parking space for each four dwelling units; a hotel requires one parking space for each four rooms useable for sleeping, plus one parking space for each 300 square feet of floor area in either the largest function room or the largest exhibit space (whichever is greater); and a retail establishment in excess of 3,000 square feet requires one additional parking space for each additional 750 square feet of gross floor area and cellar floor area. 11 DCMR §2101.1.
- 30. Under the PUD guidelines for the C-3-C District, the maximum permissible building height on this site is 110 feet and the maximum FAR is 8.0 for all uses. 11 DCMR §2405.1, 2405.2.

Development Incentives and Flexibility

- 31. The Applicant requested the following areas of flexibility from the Zoning Regulations:
 - a. Flexibility to Permit Multiple Principal Buildings on a Single Record Lot The Zoning Regulations provide that "[w]hen [two portions of a structure are] separated from the ground up or from the lowest floor up, each portion shall be deemed a separate building." 11 DCMR § 199.1 (definition of "building") In order to visually re-instate the former historic 8th Street vista, relief from this provision is necessary, because there will be no meaningful above-grade communication between all of the proposed building. Therefore, the Applicant is seeking flexibility to permit multiple principal buildings on a single record lot. Section 2516 of the Zoning Regulations authorizes the placement of two or more principal buildings on a single record lot. 11 DCMR § 2516.1. The requested flexibility will allow the development of a project that will have a substantial benefit for the community, since the project includes, among other things, the rehabilitation and adaptive reuse of the O Street Market and the provision of significant new housing, much of which will be set aside for senior citizens.
 - b. <u>Flexibility from Roof Structure Requirements</u> The Applicant requests flexibility from the roof structure requirements of the Zoning Regulations because the project includes multiple roof structures (§411.3) and a number of the structures cannot be setback from all exterior walls a distance equal to their height above the roof (§411.2). Each roof structure is a necessary feature and the structures have to be separated due to the different construction schedules for each component of the development, as well as the desire to break up massing on the roof. In addition, the Applicant is providing the greatest setbacks possible given the size of the roofs and the internal configuration of the proposed buildings. The requested roof structure design will not adversely impact the light and air of adjacent buildings since each element has been located to minimize their visibility. Therefore, the intent and purposes of the Zoning Regulations will not be materially impaired and the light and air of adjacent buildings will not be adversely affected.
 - c. <u>Flexibility from Court Width Requirements</u> Courts in the C-3-C zone are required to have a minimum width of court of 4 inches per foot of height but not less than 15 feet. This yields a requirement of 27 feet for Court 5 shown on the plans. However, Court 5, which is located between the hotel and a wing of the west residential building, has a width of 17 feet, 2 inches. Thus, the Applicant is seeking a reduction of 9 feet, 10 inches in the required court width.
 - d. <u>Additional Areas of Flexibility</u> The Applicant also requests flexibility in the following areas:
 - a. To be able to provide a range in the number of residential units, senior housing units, hotel rooms and the ability to convert the hotel to residential units.

- b. To vary the location and design of all interior components, including partitions, structural slabs, doors, hallways, columns, stairways, and mechanical rooms, provided that the variations do not change the exterior configuration of the building.
- c. To vary the number, location and arrangement of parking spaces, provided that the total is not reduced below the minimum level required under the zoning regulations.
- d. To vary the final selection of the exterior materials within the color ranges and material types as proposed, based on availability at the time of construction without reducing the quality of the materials; and to make minor refinements to exterior details and dimensions, including curtainwall mullions and spandrels, window frames, glass types, belt courses, sills, bases, cornices, railings and trim, or any other changes to comply with the District of Columbia Building Code or that are otherwise necessary to obtain a final building permit.
- e. Reduce the size of the hotel and expand the residential component of the western building with an overall reduction of 15,000 square feet of gross floor area.

Public Benefits and Amenities

- 32. The Commission finds that the following benefits and amenities will be created as a result of the PUD:
 - a. Housing and Affordable Housing. The single greatest benefit to the area, and the city as a whole, is the creation of new housing consistent with the goals of the Zoning Regulations, the Comprehensive Plan and the Mayor's housing initiative. The proposed PUD will contain approximately 602,775 square feet of gross floor area dedicated to residential uses, including approximately 80 affordable residential units for rent to seniors (at 60% of AMI), and 527 market rate units.
 - b. Environmental Benefits. The site plan is designed to be highly efficient in land utilization. The Applicant has committed to attempting to achieve a minimum of 26 LEED points depending on construction costs at the time the project moves forward.
 - c. Urban Design, Architecture, Landscaping and Open Space. The project's design will further the goals of urban design and enhance the streetscape and surrounding neighborhood. The design concept for the site is guided by the idea of expressing the multiple individual buildings and uses which make up the development program, and the expression of the various buildings reinforces the high quality of buildings on the site and allows the buildings to relate to both the similarly scaled structures in the surrounding context and importantly the historic O Street Market building. Moreover, the retail pavilions to be located along the former 8th Street

right-of-way provide a visual base to these buildings, by scaling them to the pedestrian and activating the former street through multiple retail tenants, which form a two-sided retail pavilion. In addition, the architectural treatment of the project is sensitive to the scale and stature of the existing market building. With respect to massing, the project has been designed to place the taller buildings and penthouses along the wider former 8th street frontage, and tapers the buildings to the eastern and western sides of the site. The use of a rich material palette and the proposed street level murals are additional enhanced features of the project. In addition, all loading and service bays for the project are located on the B-2 level of the garage and are accessed from a single driveway on 9th Street. All maneuvering is accomplished on-site and below grade.

- d. Uses of Special Value to Neighborhood. The project includes a significant amount of area dedicated to new retail uses, which the community has expressed as a Specifically, the project includes a reconstructed and expanded grocery store, additional retail spaces along O Street and the former 8th Street axis, and restoration of O Street Market and its return to active retail use as part of grocery store. The Applicant has also committed to work with the District's Department of Parks and Recreation to make repairs and contribute funds for uniforms at the Kennedy Recreation Center; assist in the development of a girl's softball field at Bundy Recreation Center; provide a community shuttle service to the Tivoli/Brentwood Giant grocery store while the O Street Giant is being renovated; and contribute funds for computer labs or other amenities at neighboring buildings, and scholarships for Shaw residents, among other important contributions. These additional commitments further emphasize the significant value of this project to the surrounding community and the city as a whole.
- e. Employment and Training Opportunities. Expanding employment opportunities for residents and local businesses is a priority of the Applicants. Therefore, the Applicants have entered into a First Source Employment Agreement with the Department of Employment Services. The Applicants have also executed a Local, Small, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (LSDBE) Memorandum of Understanding with the District's Office of Local Business Development.

Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan - The District Elements - The Comprehensive Plan Amendment Act of 2006 (D.C. Law 16-300, March 8, 2007)

33. The Comprehensive Plan designates the Subject Property as being located in the a mixed-use, medium-density commercial and high-density residential category. The medium density commercial designation is used to define shopping and service areas that are somewhat more intense in scale and character than the moderate-density commercial areas. Retail, office, and service businesses are the predominant uses. Areas with this designation generally draw from a citywide market area. Buildings are generally larger and/or taller than those in moderate density commercial areas but generally do not exceed eight stories in height. The corresponding Zone districts are generally C-2-B, C-2-C, C-3-A, and C-3-B, although other districts may apply.

- (¶224.13) The high density residential designation is used to define neighborhoods and corridors where high-rise (eight stories or more) apartment buildings are the predominant use. Pockets of less dense housing may exist within these areas. The corresponding zone districts are generally R-5-D and R-5-E, although other zones may apply. (¶224.9)
- 34. The Generalized Policy Map includes the Subject Property in a Neighborhood Enhancement Area. The guiding philosophy in Neighborhood Enhancement Areas is to ensure that new development "fits-in" and responds to the existing character, natural features, and existing/planned infrastructure capacity. New housing should be encouraged to improve the neighborhood and must be consistent with the land use designation on the Future Land Use Map. (¶223.7) New development in these areas should improve the real estate market, reduce crime and blight, and attract complementary new uses and services that better serve the needs of existing and future residents. (¶223.8)
- 35. The Applicant's proposal to construct housing, retail and a hotel on the Subject Property is consistent with the Future Land Use Map and the Generalized Policy Map designation of the Subject Property. The Applicant is proposing to rezone the Subject Property from C-2-A to C-3-C in connection with this application. The C-3-C Districts permit medium to high density development, including office, retail, housing and mixed-use development, which is equally consistent with the designation of the Subject Property.
- 36. The proposed project is also consistent with many guiding principles in the Comprehensive Plan for managing growth and change, creating successful neighborhoods, and building green and healthy communities, as follows:
 - a. Managing Growth and Change. In order to manage growth and change in the District, the Comprehensive Plan encourages, among other factors, the growth of both residential and non-residential uses, particularly since non-residential growth benefits residents by creating jobs and opportunities for less affluent households to increase their income. (¶ 2.3, ¶ 217.4). The Comprehensive Plan also states that redevelopment and infill opportunities along corridors is an important part of reinvigorating and enhancing neighborhoods. (§ 2.3, ¶ 217.6). The proposed PUD is fully consistent with each of these goals. Redeveloping the Subject Property into a into a mixed-use development that will include a grocery store and other retail uses, apartment buildings, a hotel, and an affordable senior housing facility will further the revitalization of the neighborhood and will generate significant tax revenue for the District.
 - b. Creating Successful Neighborhoods. One of the guiding principles for creating successful neighborhoods is the recognition that many neighborhoods include commercial uses that contribute to the neighborhood's character and make communities more livable. (§ 2.3, ¶218.2). Another guiding principle for creating successful neighborhoods is getting public input in decisions about land

use and development, from development of the Comprehensive Plan to implementation of the plan's elements. (§ 2.3, ¶218.8). The proposed PUD further these goals with the construction of a grocery store and other retail uses, apartment buildings, a hotel, and an affordable senior housing facility, the creation of additional employment opportunities, and generate significant tax revenues for the District. In addition, as part of the PUD process, the Applicant will continue to work with the Advisory Neighborhood Commission, local community groups and HPRB to assure that the development provides a positive impact to the immediate neighborhood.

- c. Increasing access to education and employment. An economically strong and viable District of Columbia is essential to the economic health and well being of the region. Thus, a broad spectrum of private and public growth (with an appropriate level of supporting infrastructure) should be encouraged. The District's economic development strategies must capitalize on the city's location at the center of the region's transportation and communication systems. (§2.3, ¶219.2) The project is fully consistent with these goals. The proposed development includes a significant amount of retail space that will help to create new jobs for residents.
- d. Building green and healthy communities. The Comprehensive Plan provides that as the nation's capital, the District should be a role model for environmental sustainability and new developments should minimize the use of non-renewable resources, promote energy and water conservation, reduce harmful effects on the natural environment, and improve the quality of surface and groundwater. (§2.3, ¶¶221.3 and 221.4). As discussed in the Environmental Benefits section of this Order, the proposed PUD includes a significant number of low impact development measures designed to promote environmental sustainability and mitigate development impacts on the environment. A full-service supermarket provides the community with healthy diet options.

10

^{37.} The Commission finds that the proposed PUD furthers the objectives and policies of many of the Comprehensive Plan's major elements as follows:

- Land Use Element. Major goals of the Land Use Element include ensuring the a. efficient use of land resources to meet long-term neighborhood, city-wide, and regional needs; protecting the health, safety, and welfare of District residents and businesses; sustaining, restoring, or improving the character and stability of neighborhoods in all parts of the city; and effectively balancing the competing demands for land to support the many activities that take place within District boundaries. (¶302.1). This element also recommends better utilization of land around transit stations and along transit corridors as a means to accommodate the growth of the city and minimize the number and length of auto trips generated by households. (¶306.3). The development of diverse housing types, including both market-rate and affordable units and housing for seniors and others with mobility impairments, as well as attractive, pedestrian-friendly design are also encouraged. The proposed development responds to these goals with the (9306.4).construction of a high-quality project that includes residential, potential hotel, and retail uses. The proposed project is also fully-consistent with and implements the following policies:
 - 1) Policy LU-1.1.5: Urban Mixed Use Neighborhoods The proposed development encourages new central city mixed used neighborhoods combining high-density residential, office, retail, cultural, and open spaces uses. Housing, including affordable housing, is particularly encouraged and should be a vital component of the future land use mix.
 - Policy LU-1.4.1: Infill Development The project is consistent with the goal of encouraging infill development on vacant land within the city, particularly in areas where there are vacant lots that create "gaps" in the urban fabric and detract from the character of a commercial or residential street. The development complements the established character of the area and does not create sharp changes in the physical development pattern.
 - 3) <u>Policy LU-2.1.1: Variety of Neighborhood Types</u> Consistent with this policy element, the development adds to the variety of residential neighborhood types in the District, ranging from low-density, single family neighborhoods to high-density, multi-family mixed use neighborhoods.
 - 4) <u>Policy LU-2.2.4: Neighborhood Beautification</u> This policy encourages projects to improve the visual quality of the District's neighborhoods. The development of the site will be an improvement to the current neighborhood condition and will help to revitalize the area.
 - 5) Policy LU-2.4.10: Use of Public Space within Commercial Centers This policy encourages the development of outdoor sidewalks cafes, flower stands, and similar uses which "animate" the street. As shown on the Architectural Plans and Elevations, the project includes the visual

reestablishment of the 8th Street right-of-way for use as plaza retail, and access area is ideally situated to engage the public throughout the day and is designed to become part of the urban streetscape.

- b. Transportation Element. The overall goal of the Transportation Element is to create a safe, sustainable efficient multi-modal transportation system that meets the access and mobility needs of District residents, the regional workforce, and visitors; supports local and regional economic prosperity; and enhances the quality of life for District residents. (¶401.1) The proposed PUD is consistent with a number of the policies and actions included in this element, including:
 - 1) Policy T-1.1.4: Transit-Oriented Development Encourages support for transit-oriented development by investing in pedestrian-oriented transportation improvements at or around transit stations, major corridors, and transfer points. The subject property has frontage on 7th Street, which provides convenient access to numerous locations on the District. Moreover, the subject property is within walking distance of the Mount Vernon Metrorail station.
 - 2) <u>Action T-2.3-A: Bicycle Facilities</u> This element encourages new developments to include bicycle facilities. The Applicant proposes to include secure bicycle parking and bike racks as amenities within the development that accommodate and encourage bicycle use.
- c. Housing Element. The policies and actions for the Housing Element state that expanding the housing supply is a key part of the District's vision to create successful neighborhoods, and housing production is essential to the future of the District's neighborhoods and key to improving the city's fiscal health. (§H-1.1, ¶503.1) Ensuring the affordability of housing is also a critical objective of the housing element. (§H-1.2, ¶504) The PUD supports these initiatives by increasing the housing supply with the construction of a significant number of new units, as well as the construction of affordable senior housing.
- d. Economic Development Element. The Economic Development Element of the Comprehensive Plan recommends expanding the retail sector and pursuing a retail strategy that will allow the District to fully capitalize on the spending power of residents, workers and visitors, and that will meet the retail needs of underserved areas. Policy ED-2.2.1. Policy ED-2.2.6 specifically highlights the need to develop new grocery stores and supermarkets, particularly in neighborhoods where residents currently have to travel long distances for food and other shopping services. The economic development element also recommends the continued support and growth of the hospitality industry, which is a core industry in the District. Indeed, Policy ED-2.3.1, "Growing the Hospitality Industry," provides that the District should develop an increasingly robust tourism industry and strive to increase the number of visitors staying in the District (rather than in suburban hotels). The Applicant's proposal to construct a mixed-use development

- on the property that includes a grocery store, other retail uses, and a hotel is consistent with, and implements, each of these goals.
- e. *Urban Design Element*. The proposed project is also consistent with a number of the polices included in the Urban Design Element of the Comprehensive Plan. For example, as shown on the Architectural Plans and Elevations, the project includes an attractive, visually-interesting and well-designed building façade that eschews monolithic or box-like forms, or long blank walls which detract from the human quality of the street. *See* Policy UD-2.2.5. The project is also consistent with the improved streetscape design goals.
- f. Historic Presentation Element. The Historic Preservation Element calls for the promotion of appropriate preservation of historic buildings, the application of design guidelines without stifling creativity or having an adverse impact on the balance between restoration and adaptation of historic buildings, permitting compatible new infill development, and using historic preservation as a tool for economic and community development and revitalization. <u>See</u> Policy HP-2.4.1, 2.4.3, 3.2.1 and 3.2.3.
 - The Historic Preservation Review Board has recommended approval of the overall site plan and architectural plans in concept. In addition, the Mayor's Agent has found that the scope of the preservation program contemplated by the proposed project represents a significant public benefit to the District and that the project was approved as one of "special merit." Moreover, the Mayor's Agent found that the sensitive treatment of the historically significant portions of the O Street Market, and the integration of that historic structure into the new development, is precisely the type of adaptive reuse encouraged by the city and will provide a significant public benefit to the city. Thus, the project is fully consistent with the historic preservation element of the Comprehensive Plan.
- g. Near Northwest Area Element. The proposed project is consistent with a number of the policies of the Near Northwest Area Element. For example, Policy NNW-1.1.4 calls for improving the neighborhood shopping areas along 7th and 9th Streets, which the proposed development will certainly help to improve. Moreover, the Shaw/Convention Center Area element also recommends protecting existing affordable housing within the Shaw/Convention Center area, producing new affordable housing and market rate housing on underutilized sites, and supporting development of the O Street market site as a mixed use project that becomes the focal point for the 7th and 9th Street retail corridors (Policy NNW-2.1.1 and Policy NNW-2.1-F). The proposed project is fully consistent with, and helps to implement, each of these policy objectives.

Convention Center Area Strategic Development Small Area Action Plan

38. The Convention Center Area Strategic Development Small Area Action Plan, adopted by the D.C. Council pursuant to Resolution No. 16-759 on June 20, 2006, establishes a vision whereby the Subject Property would serve as a neighborhood center and midcity commercial node between downtown and U Street., and the proposed development is fully-consistent with the plan. Specifically, the O Street Market is the focal point for the retail corridor, connecting the commercial sections of 7th and 9th Streets. Both the plan and the Applicant's proposed development allows for more intensive, mixed-use development consisting of mixed income residential on 9th and P Streets, ground floor retail on 7th and O Streets, parking below grade, and new loading facilities for Giant within the building envelope. In addition, both the plan and proposed project includes restoration of the vista of the former 8th Street right-of-way as an important community amenity.

Office of Planning Report

- 39. By reports dated October 4, 2007 and November 9, 2007, the Office of Planning ("OP") recommended that the Commission schedule a public hearing on the applications. (See Exhibit 13 and Exhibit 14).
- 40. By report dated February 25, 2008, OP recommended final approval of the application. (See Exhibit 30). OP indicated that the application will further a number of the Guiding Principles of the Comprehensive Plan and is not inconsistent with the Plan's policies and land use maps, and OP supported all of the requested zoning flexibility necessary to create the traditional layout of the community. OP also indicated that the Applicant's amenity package is adequate in magnitude and appropriate to the neighborhood.

Other Government Agency Reports

- 41. The D.C. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department submitted a letter dated February 5, 2008 indicating it has no objections to the proposed project provided the construction is in compliance with the International Fire Code and all applicable D.C. laws.
- 42. The D.C. Water and Sewer Authority ("DCWASA") submitted a memorandum dated February 20, 2008 indicating that the existing sewer infrastructure is adequate to accommodate the proposed construction. DCWASA further stated that it would coordinate with the Applicant to determine if, and how best, to connect to existing water mains for service. DCWASA also noted that this application would need to conform to District standards for stormwater runoff and erosion control during the building permitting process.
- 43. The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a memorandum dated March 5, 2008 indicating that DDOT has no objections to the project. DDOT indicated that the project will have negligible vehicular trip impacts. Nonetheless, DDOT recommended that the Applicant reduce the number of retail and residential parking

- spaces, develop a transportation management plan, and coordinate with DDOT to determine whether 8th Street can be dedicated as public roadway instead of as a private road with a public easement.
- 44. The Applicant responded to DDOT's recommendations in its post-hearing submission dated March 13, 2008. The Applicant committed to implement a number of transportation management measures, including reserving bike parking spaces. providing two car-sharing spaces, providing a Smart Trip card of \$20 for each residential unit at the time of initial move-in, and providing website hot links to godcgo.com and commuterconnections.com. The Applicant disagreed with DDOT's recommendation to reduce the number of retail and residential parking spaces, given the extreme parking shortage in the immediate vicinity caused by, among other things, the lack of public parking in the nearby Convention Center, the agreement with Giant and its necessary cooperation for this project to proceed, and the Applicant's dialogue with the neighboring community as to the amount of parking to be provided. DDOT did not supply any studies or evidence that there would be excess parking for the residential or retail uses. With respect to DDOT's recommendations regarding the former 8th Street right-of-way, the only evidence of record indicates that the Applicant is restoring the vista and, as shown on the plans submitted to the Zoning Commission. will be improving the area with plantings, street trees and other improvements. The Applicant never stated it would be dedicated as a public street. Furthermore, the area of the former 8th Street right-of-way is part of the "protected area" under the terms of the Giant lease and is therefore unavailable for dedication.
- 45. The Zoning Commission agrees with the Applicant and finds that reducing the number of parking spaces to the degree requested by DDOT would be below market and inconsistent with the Applicant's understanding with the community, as highlighted in testimony at the March 6th public hearing. A reduction in the number of parking spaces would create a significant shortage with major negative ramifications to the community and the proposed users of the development. With respect to DDOT's recommendations regarding the former 8th Street right-of-way, the Zoning Commission agrees that the Applicant never proffered to maintain the former 8th Street right-of way area as either a private street with a public easement or a public street. Accordingly, the Zoning Commission rejects DDOT's recommendation and finds that the Applicant's proposal to restore the former 8th Street vista is a significant project amenity.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Pursuant to the Zoning Regulations, the PUD process is designed to encourage high-quality development that provides public benefits. 11 DCMR § 2400.1. The overall goal of the PUD process is to permit flexibility of development and other incentives, provided that the PUD project "offers a commendable number or quality of public benefits, and that it protects and advances the public health, safety, welfare, and convenience." 11 DCMR § 2400.2.

- 2. Under the PUD process of the Zoning Regulations, the Commission has the authority to consider this application as a consolidated PUD. The Commission may impose development conditions, guidelines, and standards which may exceed or be less than the matter-of-right standards identified for height, FAR, lot occupancy, parking and loading, or for yards and courts. The Commission may also approve uses that are permitted as special exceptions and would otherwise require approval by the Board of Zoning Adjustment.
- 3. Development of the property included in this application carries out the purposes of Chapter 24 of the Zoning Regulations to encourage the development of well-planned developments which will offer a variety of building types with more attractive and efficient overall planning and design, not achievable under matter-of-right development.
- 4. The PUD meets the minimum area requirements of § 2401.1 of the Zoning Regulations.
- 5. The PUD, as approved by the Commission, complies with the applicable height, bulk and density standards of the Zoning Regulations. The residential uses for this project are appropriate for the PUD Site. The impact of the project on the surrounding area is not unacceptable. Accordingly, the project should be approved.
- 6. The application can be approved with conditions to ensure that any potential adverse effects on the surrounding area from the development will be mitigated.
- 7. The Applicant's request for flexibility from the Zoning Regulations is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Moreover, the project benefits and amenities are reasonable tradeoffs for the requested development flexibility.
- 8. Approval of this PUD is appropriate because the proposed development is consistent with the present character of the area, and is not inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan. In addition, the proposed development will promote the orderly development of the site in conformity with the entirety of the District of Columbia zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map of the District of Columbia.
- 9. The Zoning Commission is required under D.C. Code Ann. § 1-309.10(d)(3)(A) (2001) to give great weight to the affected ANC's recommendation. In this case, ANC 2C voted to support the project and recommended that the Zoning Commission approve the applications as expeditiously as possible. (See Exhibit 15). The Zoning Commission has given ANC 2C's recommendation great weight in approving this application.
- 10. The application for a PUD is subject to compliance with D.C. Law 2-38, the Human Rights Act of 1977.

DECISION

In consideration of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order, the Zoning Commission for the District of Columbia orders APPROVAL of the Application for

consolidated review and approval of a planned unit development ("PUD") and an application to amend the Zoning Map from the C-2-A to the C-3-C District, subject to the following guidelines, conditions and standards:

- 1. The PUD shall be developed in accordance with the plans prepared by Shalom Baranes Associates, dated February 15, 2008, and as amended or supplemented by drawings dated March 6, 2008, marked as Exhibits __ and __, respectively, in the record, and as further modified by the guidelines, conditions, and standards herein.
- 2. The project shall be a mixed-use commercial and residential development consisting of approximately 770,780 square feet of gross floor area. The PUD project shall not exceed an overall density of 5.15 FAR and a maximum height of 90 feet for the west building and 95 feet for the east building, with setbacks as shown on the plans. Approximately 545,775 square feet of gross floor area shall be devoted to residential uses; approximately 115,115 square feet of gross floor area shall be devoted to hotel uses; approximately 69,000 square feet of gross floor area shall be devoted to commercial retail uses, and approximately 40,890 square feet of gross floor area on the ground floor shall be designated for parking. The project shall provide approximately 500 parking spaces; an additional 80 parking spaces will be located in vault space.
- 3. The project shall provide approximately 580 to 680 residential units, of which approximately 80 units shall be designated affordable to senior citizens earning no more than 60% of AMI for Washington, D.C. The Applicant shall offer the affordable units to eligible households pursuant the eligibility, selection and enforcement provisions to be established under the Inclusionary Zoning ("IZ") process. Where there is any conflict between this PUD order and the IZ provisions, this PUD order shall govern. In the event the IZ provisions on eligibility, selection and enforcement for affordable units are not in effect at the time the units in this PUD are offered for purchase or sale, the Applicant and OP shall develop alternative provisions and shall file a copy of the alternative plan with the Office of Zoning and the Zoning Administrator.
- 4. The Applicant shall demonstrate the ability to achieve a minimum of 26 LEED points.
- 5. Landscaping and improvements to private open spaces and public space along the street elevations of the building shall be in accordance with the plans submitted to the record.
- 6. The Applicant shall implement a transportation management plan that will include bike parking spaces, two car-sharing spaces, one \$20 Smart Trip card per unit to residents upon initial move-in, provide website hot links to godcgo.com and commuterconnections.com, and request the hotel operator to promote transit use on its website and distribute transportation information and brochures at its reception desk.
- 7. The Applicant shall have flexibility with the design of the PUD in the following areas:
 - a. To vary the location and design of all interior components, partitions, structural slabs, doors, hallways, columns, stairways, atrium and mechanical rooms, elevators,

- escalators, and toilet rooms, provided that the variations do not materially change the exterior configuration of the building;
- b. To vary the sustainable design features of the building so long as the total number of LEED points achievable for the project does not decrease below 26 points.
- c. To make refinements to exterior materials, details and dimensions, including belt courses, sills, bases, cornices, railings, roof, skylights, architectural embellishments and trim, or any other minor changes to comply with the District of Columbia Code or that are otherwise necessary to obtain a final building permit or any other applicable approvals; and
- d. To make refinements to the garage configuration, including layout, number of parking spaces, and/or other elements, as long as the number of parking spaces does not exceed the 475 zoning compliant spaces and 80 vault spaces specified.
- 8. At the time the existing grocery store on the project site is closed to allow construction to proceed on the PUD project until the new grocery store is opened, the Applicant shall provide a minibus shuttle service from the PUD site or adjacent apartment buildings to the Tivoli and/or Brentwood Giant Food Stores, twice a week for two years, based on usage. During construction of the new grocery store and until it is open, the Applicant shall provide free "Peapod" delivery service in partnership with Emmaus Services for the Aging in the Shaw neighborhood.
- 9. Prior to issuance of any Certificate of Occupancy for the PUD project, the Applicant shall:
 - a. contribute a total of \$75,000 to the Green Team, in annual increments of \$25,000 during construction of the PUD;
 - b. contribute \$15,000 for commissioned artwork for the new Watha T. Daniel Library;
 - c. contribute \$2,500 to each of the following residential building or tenant associations (a total of \$15,000 for all five residential buildings/associations), for computer labs or other community services: Asbury Dwellings, Gibson Plaza Tenants Association, Lincoln Westmoreland, and Foster House; and \$5,000 to the 1330 Tenants/Owners Association.
 - d. contribute \$35,000 to the D.C. Department of Parks and Recreation for the benefit of Kennedy Recreation Center, of which \$10,000 will be directed to the repair and refinish gym floors two times between 2008 and 2011, and \$25,000 directed to the purchase of uniforms and equipment;
 - e. contribute \$5,000 to Shaw Together to sponsor special community events;

- f. provide a total of \$10,000 to fund scholarships to four residents of the Shaw neighborhood (\$2,500 each) to attend the University of the District of Columbia Certified Addition Counselor Program. Scholarship recipients must meet all of the UDC program requirements and will be selected by the East Central Civic Association.
- 10. Prior to issuance of the building permit for the PUD project, the Applicant shall enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with the D.C. Department of Small and Local Business Development in order to achieve, at a minimum, the goal of thirty-five percent participation by local, small, and disadvantaged businesses in the contracted development costs in connection with the design, development, construction, maintenance and security for the project to be created as a result of the PUD project.
- 11. Prior to issuance of the building permit for the PUD project, the Applicant shall enter into a First Source Employment Agreement with the Department of Employment Services (DOES) in order to achieve the goal of utilizing District of Columbia residents for at least fifty-one percent of the jobs created by the PUD project.
- 12. No building permit shall be issued for this PUD until the Applicants have recorded a covenant in the land records of the District of Columbia, between the owners and the District of Columbia, that is satisfactory to the Office of the Attorney General and DCRA. Such covenant shall bind the Applicants and all successors in title to construct on and use this property in accordance with this Order or amendment thereof by the Zoning Commission.
- 13. The Office of Zoning shall not release the record of this case to the Zoning Division of DCRA until the Applicants have filed a copy of the covenant with the records of the Zoning Commission.
- 14. The PUD approved by the Zoning Commission shall be valid for a period of two years from the effective date of this Order. Within such time, an application must be filed for a building permit as specified in 11 DCMR § 2409.1. Construction shall begin within three years of the effective date of this Order.
- 15. The Applicant is required to comply fully with the provisions of the Human Rights Act of 1977, D.C. Law 2-38, as amended, and this order is conditioned upon full compliance with those provisions. In accordance with the D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977, as amended, D.C. Official Code § 2-1401.01 et seq., ("Act") the District of Columbia does not discriminate on the basis of actual or perceived: race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, personal appearance, sexual orientation, familial status, family responsibilities, matriculation, political affiliation, disability, source of income, or place of residence or business. Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination that is also prohibited by the Act. In addition, harassment based on any of the above protected categories is also prohibited by the Act. Discrimination in violation of the Act will not be tolerated. Violators will be subject to disciplinary action. The failure or refusal of the

Applicants to comply shall furnish grounds for the denial or, if issued, revocation of any building permits or certificates of occupancy issued pursuant to this Order.

3028, this order shall become final and on
at its public meeting on, by a).
proved the application by a vote of

5204884_v2