



401 9th Street, NW
North Lobby, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20004
Tel 202 482-7200
Fax 202 482-7272
www.ncpc.gov

Commission Members

Presidential Appointees

John V. Cogbill, III, Chairman
Herbert F. Ames
Jose L. Galvez, III

Mayoral Appointees

Arrington Dixon
Stacie S. Turner

Ex Officio Members

Secretary of Defense
The Honorable Robert M. Gates

Secretary of the Interior
The Honorable Dirk Kempthorne

Administrator
General Services Administration
The Honorable Lurita Alexis Doan

Chairman
Committee on Homeland Security
and Governmental Affairs
United States Senate
The Honorable Joseph I. Lieberman

Chairman
Committee on Oversight
and Government Reform
U S. House of Representatives
The Honorable Henry A. Waxman

Mayor
District of Columbia
The Honorable Adrian M. Fenty

Chairman
Council of the District of Columbia
The Honorable Vincent C. Gray

Acting Executive Director

Marcel C. Acosta

IN REPLY REFER TO:
NCPC File No. Z.C. 07-24

DEC 1 2 2007

Zoning Commission for the
District of Columbia
2nd Floor, Suite 210 South
441 4th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001

Members of the Commission:

Pursuant to delegations of authority adopted by the Commission on August 6, 1999, I reviewed the proposed text amendment to eliminate the certificate of occupancy requirement for community based residential facilities housing six or fewer persons with disabilities, and found that the modification would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital, nor would it have an adverse impact on any federal interests. A copy of the Delegated Action of the Executive Director is enclosed.

Sincerely,

Marcel C. Acosta
Acting Executive Director

Enclosure

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

FILE NO. 07-24

EXHIBIT NO. 12

**TEXT AMENDMENT TO ELIMINATE THE CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY
REQUIREMENT FOR COMMUNITY BASED RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES HOUSING
SIX OR FEWER PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES**
Washington, D.C.

Delegated Action of the Executive Director

NOV 29 2007

Pursuant to delegations of authority adopted by the Commission on August 6, 1999, I find that the proposed text amendment to eliminate the certificate of occupancy requirement for community based residential facilities (CBRFs) housing six or fewer persons with disabilities would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital nor adversely affect any other identified federal interests.

* * *

The Zoning Commission has referred to NCPC for review and comment a proposed action to eliminate the certificate of occupancy requirement for (Community Based Residential Facilities (CBRFs) that house six or fewer persons with disabilities. The U.S. Department of Justice filed a lawsuit claiming that the District of Columbia has violated the Fair Housing Act by imposing a precondition to the occupancy of a residential dwelling that applies only to persons with disabilities. In response to this lawsuit, the Office of Planning proposes a text amendment that would eliminate the certificate of occupancy (C of O) requirement for CBRFs intended to house six or fewer unrelated persons with disabilities.

At present, the Zoning regulations require C of Os for all uses except one-family dwellings. A "one-family dwelling" is defined as a "dwelling used exclusively as a residence for one family." A family is defined to include one or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption or six or fewer unrelated persons. Thus, six unrelated persons constitute a "family" and may occupy a dwelling without obtaining a C of O. However, if such individuals require one or more persons to live with them to provide "treatment, rehabilitation, assistance, or supervision in their daily living," their dwelling would meet the definition of a community based residential facility and a C of O must be obtained. Thus, whether or not six unrelated persons must obtain a C of O for a dwelling depends solely upon whether the group needs an additional resident to provide the assistance needed for them to live in a non-institutional setting. This situation will be remedied by the proposed action.



Marcel C. Acosta
Acting Executive Director

RECEIVED

D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING

2007 DEC 10 PM 5:08

NCPC
NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

NCPC File No. ZC 07-24

**TEXT AMENDMENT TO ELIMINATE THE CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY
REQUIREMENT FOR COMMUNITY BASED RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES HOUSING
SIX OR FEWER PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES**

Washington, D.C.

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

Delegated Action of the Executive Director

CASE NO. 07-24
EXHIBIT NO. 12 (fax)

NOV 29 2007

Pursuant to delegations of authority adopted by the Commission on August 6, 1999, I find that the proposed text amendment to eliminate the certificate of occupancy requirement for community based residential facilities (CBRFs) housing six or fewer persons with disabilities would not be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital nor adversely affect any other identified federal interests.

* * *

The Zoning Commission has referred to NCPC for review and comment a proposed action to eliminate the certificate of occupancy requirement for (Community Based Residential Facilities (CBRFs) that house six or fewer persons with disabilities. The U.S. Department of Justice filed a lawsuit claiming that the District of Columbia has violated the Fair Housing Act by imposing a precondition to the occupancy of a residential dwelling that applies only to persons with disabilities. In response to this lawsuit, the Office of Planning proposes a text amendment that would eliminate the certificate of occupancy (C of O) requirement for CBRFs intended to house six or fewer unrelated persons with disabilities.

At present, the Zoning regulations require C of Os for all uses except one-family dwellings. A "one-family dwelling" is defined as a "dwelling used exclusively as a residence for one family." A family is defined to include one or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption or six or fewer unrelated persons. Thus, six unrelated persons constitute a "family" and may occupy a dwelling without obtaining a C of O. However, if such individuals require one or more persons to live with them to provide "treatment, rehabilitation, assistance, or supervision in their daily living," their dwelling would meet the definition of a community based residential facility and a C of O must be obtained. Thus, whether or not six unrelated persons must obtain a C of O for a dwelling depends solely upon whether the group needs an additional resident to provide the assistance needed for them to live in a non-institutional setting. This situation will be remedied by the proposed action.



Marcel C. Acosta
Acting Executive Director