

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
OFFICE OF PLANNING



Office of the Director

**Memorandum**

**TO:** District of Columbia Zoning Commission

**FROM:** *LS for*  
Ellen McCarthy, Director  
Office of Planning

**DATE:** November 3, 2006

**SUBJECT:** Setdown Report for BNA Washington (CESC 1229-1231 TRS Inc & CESC 1127 LLC)  
ZC #06-35  
Consolidated Planned Unit Development and Map Amendment

**APPLICATION**

CESC 1229-1231 TRS Inc & CESC 1127 LLC filed an application for a Consolidated Planned Unit Development (PUD) to expand three existing office buildings and convert two to residential use. The site is Square 24, Lots 109 & 883.

**SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION**

The Office of Planning recommends that the application be set down for public hearing.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA**

The site is within the West End neighborhood. The project is located on the east side of 25<sup>th</sup> Street N.W. midway between M and N Street. The site currently contains two six-story and one seven-story office buildings. On either side of the site on 25<sup>th</sup> Street are office buildings. There are existing residential units on top of the northern building. To the west and north is the Rock Creek Park. The properties are visible from Georgetown on the west side of the park. To the south and east are medium to high density office and residential uses. The subject square and squares to the east are zoned CR. The south side of M Street is mostly zoned C-2-C with a small R-5-B section. Francis Junior High School lies approximately one block from the site along N Street.

ZONING COMMISSION  
District of Columbia

CASE NO. 06-35

EXHIBIT NO. 13



Figure 1: Aerial View

## PROPOSAL

The proposal is to add on to all three existing buildings to a total height of 110' for each. The two northern buildings are proposed to be combined into one U-shaped residential structure. The southern building would remain office use. The residential building would contain between 190-240 residential units, including 7,667 square feet of affordable housing. This affordable housing represents the IZ program requirements for the new residential square footage on the top floors. The proposed office building will total approximately 143,000 square feet of office use. Total FAR for the three structures would be approximately 6.1 and the lot occupancy is proposed at 70%.

A total of 334 underground parking spaces would be accessed from 25<sup>th</sup> Street. 222 spaces are for the residential and 112 spaces are for the office building. Loading would be accessed from the existing private alley in the center of the square. The roof of the residential building would contain both recreation space and green roof elements.






Figure 2: Site Area

## ZONING

The site lies in the CR zone. The applicant is requesting height and FAR flexibility within the permitted PUD guidelines for this zone. In addition, the applicant is seeking several other areas of relief from the CR district requirements that result from the conversion of and addition to these buildings.

### **Zoning Tabulation**

	<b>Existing</b>	<b>CR PUD</b>	<b>Proposed</b>
Minimum lot area	75,317	15,000	75,317
FAR	290,455 sf	602,536 sf	459,163 sf total 315,830 sf residential 143,333 sf commercial
Height	68'-85'	110'	110'
Lot Occupancy	70%	70%	75% residential
Rear Yard	14.5'	27.5'	28.5'
Parking	334 commercial	80 residential 79 commercial	222 residential 112 commercial
Recreation Space	N/A	47,375 sf	15,792 sf
Loading	Office: 2-30 ft berth 2-100 sf platform 1-20 ft space  Residential: N/A	Office: 2-30 ft berth 2-100 sf platform 1-20 ft space  Residential: 1-55 ft berth 1-20 ft space 1-200 sf platform	Office: 2-30 ft berth 2-100 sf platform 1-20 ft space  Residential: 1-45 ft berth 1-200 sf platform

### **FLEXIBILITY**

#### Height

The applicants are seeking height up to the maximum allowed under the CR PUD guidelines. All three existing buildings are proposed to be extended to 110'. OP has discussed with the applicant the extension of the trellis from the penthouse across portions of the roof and explained concerns discussed by the Commission over the Height Act and general design repetition of this architectural element.

#### FAR

The matter of right FAR for the CR district is 6.0. Through the PUD process, the CR district allows FAR of 8.0, of which 4.0 may be non-residential uses. The proposal is for a 6.1 total FAR with approximately 1.9 FAR of non-residential uses.

#### Recreation Space

The CR district has a requirement for private recreation space for the residents of the building equal to 15% of the gross floor area dedicated to residential purposes. The project proposes recreation space at 5% of the residential area.

#### Court Requirements

The closed court on the north side of the residential building is nonconforming due to the closure of the public alleys on this square. The increased height will extend this non-conformity and require additional relief.

### Roof Structures

The applicant has requested minor relief from the roof structure requirements, specifically the requirements for a single roof structure and a one-to-one setback from exterior walls. The building has multiple roof structures and varying setbacks in an attempt to maximize space on the roof for recreation and green elements.

### Parking Requirements

Due to the existing column structure in the building, the drive aisles will narrow at points to less than the required 20 feet in width. In addition, certain parking spaces between columns will be less than the required nine feet in width.

### Loading

The applicant is seeking relief from the loading requirements for the residential building. The required 55' loading berth is proposed at 45' and the applicant requests relief from providing the 20' loading space.

## **PUD EVALUATION STANDARDS**

The purpose and standards for Planned Unit Developments are outlined in 11 DCMR, Chapter 24. Section 2400.1 states that a PUD is "designed to encourage high quality developments that provide public benefits." The proposed development will be constructed in a neighborhood that is currently anticipating the development of more housing. In order to maximize the use of the site consistent with the zoning regulations and be compatible with the surrounding community, the applicant is requesting that the proposal be reviewed as a consolidated PUD. This will allow the utilization of the flexibility stated in Section 2400.2.

*The overall goal is to permit flexibility of development and other incentives, such as increased building height and density; provided, that the project offers a commendable number of quality public benefits and that it protects and advances the public health, safety, welfare, and convenience."*

The proposed development brings with it a number of qualities that will benefit this neighborhood. The development will add over 200 new residential units through the conversion of existing office space. It will enliven this area near the park and on a square containing mainly office uses that is currently under used after dark. In addition, the buildings will provide parking beyond the zoning requirements at above a one to one ratio to prevent additional parking impacts on the surrounding neighborhood.

Section 2403 further outlines the standards under which the application is evaluated.

*2403.3 The impact of the project on the surrounding area and the operation of city services and facilities shall not be found to be unacceptable, but shall instead be found to be either favorable, capable of being mitigated, or acceptable given the quality of public benefits in the project.*

The development of the site will have a positive impact on the continued improvement of the area. City services such as water and sewer are currently available on the site. OP will confirm with WASA prior to the public hearing that there is sufficient capacity for the proposed development.

## **PUBLIC BENEFITS AND AMENITIES**

The applicant has had discussions with OP and the community regarding the project amenities, but has not yet filed a complete amenity package. The community benefits offered by the applicant will need to be generally commensurate with the additional FAR and other flexibility requested. At this time, they have not yet achieved this standard.

*2403.9 Public benefits and project amenities of the proposed PUD may be exhibited and documented in any of the following or additional categories:*

- (a) Urban design, architecture, landscaping, or creation or preservation of open spaces;*
- (b) Site planning, and efficient and economical land utilization;*
- (c) Effective and safe vehicular and pedestrian access, transportation management measures, connections to public transit service, and other measures to mitigate adverse traffic impacts;*
- (d) Employment and training opportunities;*
- (f) Housing and affordable housing;*
- (h) Environmental benefits, such as stormwater runoff controls and preservation of open space or trees;*
- (i) Uses of special value to the neighborhood or the District of Columbia as a whole;*

The applicant has offered a list of suggested amenities:

1. Contribution to Francis Junior High School or associated organizations (i.e. the PTA or ‘Friends of Francis’).
2. Contribution to the West End Library.
3. Contribution to the West End BID.
4. Streetscape Improvements along 25<sup>th</sup> Street, including landscaping, lighting, and screening on the west side of 25<sup>th</sup> Street adjacent to the park.
5. Conversion of the 1229 and 1231 buildings to residential use.
6. Green building practices.

OP recognizes both the conversion of office to housing and the provision of housing beyond 3.0 FAR in the CR zone as benefits to the city. Prior to the public hearing the amenity package needs to be significantly defined and reviewed by OP and the community. Contributions should be for specific projects or items and have a set dollar amount. The applicant should continue to work with the community and OP prior to the public hearing to solidify the amenity package.

## **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND PUBLIC POLICIES**

The Generalized Land Use Map recommends the subject site for a mix of high density residential and medium density commercial uses. The proposed development would be consistent with the use and density of both categories. The proposed development would further the goal of strengthening residential neighborhoods and remain consistent with land use and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

The PUD is also consistent with other sections of the Comprehensive Plan including the following Major Themes of the Plan:

- Section 102 - Stabilizing and Improving District Neighborhoods,
- Section 106 – Respecting and Improving the Physical Character of the District
- Section 107 - Preserving and Ensuring Community Input

The Housing Element of the Comprehensive Plan outlines objectives to support residential development and the provision of affordable housing. Policies established in support of housing objective include:

- 202.2 (a) Encourage the private sector to provide new housing to meet the needs of present and future District residents at locations consistent with District land-use policies and objectives;*

The proposal will provide significant investment in the area. Over 200 new residential units are being provided through the redevelopment with approximately 3% of those as affordable units.

Sections of the Ward 2 Plan offer objectives that are furthered by the proposed development. Section 1327 proposes actions in support of residential land use, while Section 1329 encourages the development of office buildings. The proposal offers support of both of these goals by first converting two underused sites to residential use, providing support and enhancement of the existing West End neighborhood, and second improving the quality of office use in the remaining building on the site.

Physically, the proposed development will complement the character of the area. The property is located where existing city infrastructure is already in place and is easily accessible.

## **AGENCY REFERRALS AND COMMENTS**

OP and the applicant will work with DDOT to review the site design, loading, and parking. If this application is set down for a public hearing, it will be referred to the following District government agencies for review and comment:

1. Metropolitan Police Department
2. Fire and Emergency Medical Services Department
3. Department of Public Works
4. Water and Sewer Authority
5. Department of Housing and Community Development

**COMMUNITY COMMENTS**

The applicant has met with community representatives to begin discussion of the amenity package. The applicant is expected to present the PUD to ANC 2A in January of 2007. The applicant should continue working with the community and OP to define and expand the amenity package for this project.

**RECOMMENDATION**

OP believes that the proposed PUD is not inconsistent with the elements of the Comprehensive Plan and recommends that the application be set down for public hearing. OP will continue to work with the applicant towards a more detailed amenities package and design refinements prior to the public hearing.