

ANC 7D Great Weight

Testimony Case Opposition in Case ~~05-28E~~, 05-28B and 05-28C

Since, this may conclude my testimony on behalf of ANC 7D in providing "great weight", please allow me to share my thoughts.

According to the applicant testimony the project amenity and public benefit its functionality does not fit in the footprint of Parkside. Its design is arctic in nature and does not provide aesthetic features of the proposed development, it does not add to the attractiveness, comfort, ease, beauty, openness, and clam in this project for proposed occupants and immediate neighbors. Commissioner you have stated "maybe the whole project should be reevaluated". We the people who will remain behind and live with the decision you make in this case will have an adverse affect for decades to come. For example my constituent Mr. Moore, who is supporting, this dysfunctional modifications is willing to deal with several concerns, lack of functionality, vision, scale, urban spacing, height, shading, a warehouse look, stress from additional traffic, RPP on all neighboring streets, cave and tunnel vision from the poor architectural design. On the other hand my constituents Mr. Rhett, President of EGCA has address the hoc Pod of Social Services and lack of Market Rate Home that was approved in the First-Stage PUD. Ms. Singletary, President of Historic Mayfair has concerns about the traffic, building height and most of all the quality of life for life long residents of Mayfair.

We urge LANO, LLC to return to the vision led out in the First Stage PUD testimony on June 16 2008 as Mr. Lopaino, said "we heard repeatedly from residents that they want market rate housing. "The neighborhood currently is dominated by affordable housing with mostly public housing

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia

CASE NO. 05-28B

ZONING COMMISSION
EXHIBIT NO. 56

District of Columbia
CASE NO. 05-28B
EXHIBIT NO. 56

and assisted housing Mayfair Mansions, Paradise, Kenliworth Parkside Public Housing, even the newest Lotus Square. "So this community wants and needs more workforce and market housing". "The project is designed to deliver that".

When has the residents vision changes? It has not the greed of LANO, LLC to transfer land has cloudied the process from the onsite of its vision to proposed an amendment to modify parcel 12 from residential to institutional.

We believe this applicant will alter the Possibilities of home sales and the market will decline further from the "baby new york" or baby "atlantic city" they present to you in the three cases 05-28E, 05-28B, and 05-28C.

The 7D Commission given "great weight" firmly believe that rezoning would have a domino effect in our community, while in the next breath as compared to downtown Deanwood located at Minnesota and Benning the new government Center our Department of Employment Services is only 5 stories in height and in a CR zoned district.

I applaud the efforts of the residents, who testified today to protect the tranquility and residential fiber of their quality of life. We should never have to fight for a parking space and add additional stress of our everyday lives regarding, any proposed development. I urge you to evaluate all areas of there presentation and protect the historic preservation and long-life community we know and love.

Yes, we want development that is respectful to our needs, desire, and that will add quality to our neighborhoods. The 1st stage PUD was approved unanimously by many of the

communities here tonight because it gave a sense of inclusion, added market rate homes, minimal office space and would attract retail that this community desires. When ask why the proposed height from 90 to 110 and 110 to 130 was not approved in 2005 Mr. Chris Lopiano said "because it was too tall". That statement stands true today.

I appreciate the District of Columbia Zoning Commission for your wisdom and foresight.

"Great Weight" on behalf of 7D Commission Constituents,

M.B. Willette Seaward
Commissioner Willette Seaward
Chairperson ANC 7D

5/5/2011