RECEIVED
D C OFFICE OF ZONING
2005 MAY -5 AM 7:40

1642 Thirty-fifth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20007

May 4, 2005

Ms. Carol Mitten, Chairman
Zoning Commission
441 Fourth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20001

Madam Chairman:

Re: Case 05-06

CAG will seek to clarify the procedures that are to be followed at this juncture, and to establish which precedents hold and which have been voided. We believe neighborhood conditions are and have been objectionable for some time, and we will offer fresh documentation of the continuing difficulties despite the construct of various GU plans and systems.

At this junction, we believe that the prior conditions that were not specifically struck by the Court of Appeals should reasonably be considered in this second-stage review. In addition, while DCCA inquired about the rationale for maintaining the enrollment cap, the court rejected Georgetown's argument that only significant increases in the objectionable conditions in the neighborhoods could be considered when determining enrollment levels. It did not strike down the enrollment cap.

Thus, the following substantive conditions (the majority of which GU had no objection to) should still be considered:

1. The duration of the plan to 12/21/10.

2. The enrollment cap and this Commission's rejection of averaging as a means of determining the enrollment census.

3. Maintenance of the off-campus student affairs program, with most of the sub-items.

4. Operations at the Performing Arts Center and Harbin Field; since the new facilities are not yet completed, this is moot for this second-stage review.

5. Use of the helipad only for medical emergencies. (This was intended to preclude shock trauma cases being brought to GU from other MedStar facilities, and to prevent the helicopters from being used for more general transportation.)

6. Maintenance of the parking cap.

7. Enhanced transportation management plan.

8. A schedule of information to be provided with all future second-stage applications, including actual enrollment and calculations, effectiveness of the off-campus student affairs program, off-street parking inventory.

ZONING COMMISSION
CASE No. 05-06
EXHIBIT No. 25

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
CASE NO.05-06
EXHIBIT NO.25

9. Substantial compliance with conditions of the campus plan must be found before second-stage approvals can be given.

We anticipate very little cross examination, inasmuch as we have no objection to the operation of the cyberknife facility itself.

Sincerely,

Barbara Zartman, Chairman
Historic Preservation, Planning, and Zoning
Citizens Association of Georgetown