District of Columbia Court of Appeals
500 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

GARLAND F. PINKSTON
CLERK OF COURT

Telephone: 879-2700
Area Code 202

March 31, 2004

NO. 04-AA-296 Frederic Schwartz vs. DC Zoning Commission

District of Columbia Zoning commission
Jerrily Kress, Director
441 - 4th Street, N.W.
Suite 210 S
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Sir/Madam:

Pursuant to the rules of this Court I am transmitting, herewith, a copy of the petition for review filed on March 30, 2004, in the above-entitled case.

Yours very truly,

Garland F. Pinkston
Clerk of Court

By: _____
Information Center Supervisor

cc: Edward Schwab, Esq.

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
Case 03-16
Exhibit 153

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
CASE NO.03-16
EXHIBIT NO.153

Parties appearing in this matter:

Monument Residential, LLC
c/o Norman Glasgow, Jr., Esq.
Suite 100
2099 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Advisory Neighborhood Commission 2-A
c/o Dorothy Miller
Apt. D 206
2440 Virginia Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20037

Watergate East
c/o Jack Ollender, Esq.
2500 Virginia Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20037

Committee of Concerned Owners
c/o William Wolf, Jr.
Apt. 702/703 N
2500 Virginia Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20037

Watergate West, Inc.
c/o Richard Aguglia
Hunton & Williams
Suite 1200
1900 K St., NW
Washington, DC 20005

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HOOD: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So we have a consensus. We've granted party status to the Committee of Concerned Owners in Watergate East and we've granted party status thus far to Watergate Committee Against Hotel Conversion to Co-op Apartments and we will deny the request for Watergate East to participate as a party in opposition.

I'll take up Watergate West next. We have a request to appear as a party in opposition from Watergate West. Is there any objection from the Commission?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Then we have a request from Frederick and Joel Schwartz who are residents of Watergate West to appear basically as individuals who are a party as proponents with reservations. I would say that if we started taking up the individual requests for party status from individual residents within these buildings, that they would erode their opportunity to be viewed as having a unique relationship to the PUD property and therefore I would recommend that we not grant the request of the Schwartz's.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Totally agree.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We have a consensus there? And then for the same reason I would say that we not grant the request of the Wolfs to appear as parties in support because they are represented, clearly represented by the Committee of Concerned Owners in Watergate East.

COMMISSIONER HOOD: I would agree.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Madam Chair, may I be heard?

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, sir.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Off microphone.)

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No, sir. Not on this matter.

All right. Then we have as parties participating in this case in support, Committee of Concerned Owners in Watergate East; as parties in opposition we have Watergate West, Watergate East Committee Against Hotel Conversion to Co-op Apartments.

All right. The next matter is we have a motion from Watergate East Committee Against Hotel Conversion to Co-op Apartments to postpone.

You can briefly address your motion.

MR. OLENDER: I am Jack Olender on behalf