VERIFIED TESTIMONY OF BURNETTA COLES
ILLUSTRATING OPPOSITION TO
ZONING COMMISSION CASE NO. 03.12 & 03.13

TO: ZONING COMMISSION

FROM: BURNETTA COLES,
RIGHTFUL SUBJECT OF
LEGISLATION IN THE
JURISDICTION OF THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SUBJECT: ARTHUR CAPPER/CARROLLSBURG

Good evening Commissioners of the Zoning Commission, for the record my name is Burnetta Coles, rightful subject of legislation in the District of Columbia residing in the jurisdiction of the District of Columbia at 67 O Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20024 in opposition to this undertaking in Zoning Case No. 03.12 & 03.13.

WHAT PURPOSES MAY THE LOTS BE USED, AND DOES THIS USE CONFORM TO LOCAL ZONING REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS?

The Office of Planning for the District of Columbia is required by law pursuant P.L. 736, 60 stat. 794, section 6 (c), August 2, 1946 is directed to confer with the Federal, and District of Columbia officials, boards, authorities, and agencies under whose administrative jurisdictions such uses respectively fall.

The language pursuant P.L. 736, 60 stat. 795, section 7 (a), section 12, and 20 (a), and (b) August 2, 1946 is clear, that modification to an approved project area redevelopment plan may be modified at any time or times, provided that any such modification as it may affect an area or part therof which has been sold or leased shall not become effective without the consent in writing of the purchaser or lessee thereof.

The Office of Planning knew that after the real property in the project area shall have been assembled by the Redevelopment Land Agency [Agency], that the approved project area redevelopment plan excluded public housing acquired by the National Capitol Housing Authority under the provisions of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

The Office of Planning and the District of Columbia Council knew that public housing assembled in the approved project area redevelopment plan could illustrate no departure without an approved Act of Congress, and that 40 USC 424 (b) be exercised in furtherance of the protection.

Thus this concludes my testimony before this board on July 28, 2003.

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
Case 03-12
Exhibit 48

ZONING COMMISSION
District of Columbia
CASE NO.03-12
EXHIBIT NO.48

Submitted by,

Burnetta Coles