GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

BZA Application No. 21475
Barry Rodgers
1226 F Street, NE (Square 1006, Lot 26)

HEARING DATE: September 16, 2026
DECISION DATE: October 21, 2026

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to construct a third story addition to an existing, semi-detached, two-story, 4-unit apartment house (no increase in units) in the RF-1 zone:

- Special Exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle E § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (*20 feet minimum required; 1 foot existing/proposed*)
- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (*60% maximum permitted; 82% existing; 70% proposed (third floor only)*)

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified. (Exhibit 57C (Final Revised.))[1]

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("**ANC**") 6A, the "affected ANC" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "**Board**") referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. The ANC's reports indicated that at regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meetings on September 10 and October 8, 2026, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted to withhold support for the application. (Exhibits 51, 59.) The ANC reports acknowledged the opposition from neighbors.

Rachael Loper, Chair of ANC 6A Economic Development and Zoning Committee, testified regarding the ANC's position on the application at the public hearing.

[1] An updated self-certification was submitted to reference the correct building height.

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 21475
EXHIBIT NO. 62

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted a report recommending approval of the application. (Exhibit 41.)

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("DDOT") REPORT. DDOT submitted a report indicating that it had no objection to the application because it concluded that the relief would not result in any adverse impacts to the District's transportation network. (Exhibit 41.)

PERSONS IN SUPPORT. The Board received a letter in support of the application from the Capitol Hill Restoration Society. (Exhibit 34.)

PERSONS IN OPPOSITION. The Board received 20 letters from neighbors in opposition to the application. (Exhibits 19-21, 23, 24, 26-31, 33, 35, 40, 43-48.)

Michelle Grifka and Blair Pitcairn testified in opposition to the application at the public hearing.[2]

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special Exception from the rear yard requirements of Subtitle E § 207.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (*20 feet minimum required; 1 foot existing/proposed*)
- Special Exception from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E § 210.1, pursuant to Subtitle E § 5201 and Subtitle X § 901.2 (*60% maximum permitted; 82% existing; 70% proposed (third floor only)*)

[2] At the October 21 public meeting, the Board denied a request to reopen the record filed by Michelle Grifka and Blair Pitcairn.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the plans shown in Exhibits 57A1 and 57A2 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10.

VOTE: **5-0-0** (Paul Goldstein, Robyn Jackson Wells, Michelle Pourciau, Melissa Lindsjo, and Christine Bruins to APPROVE)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: Kelara Mehlert On behalf of
SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: October 27, 2026

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS, UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, AN APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE ERECTION OR ALTERATION APPROVED IS FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 IS FILED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.10, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT

DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.