

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**



**BZA Application No. 21450
Francisco Correa and Rosilene Ribeiro
6511 Piney Branch Road, N.W. (Square 2973, Lot 101)**

HEARING DATE: July 1, 2026
DECISION DATE: July 22, 2026¹

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to permit a child development center in the basement, of an existing, detached, two-story plus basement, principal dwelling unit in the R-1B zone:

- Special exception under the daytime care uses of Subtitle U § 203.1(h), pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified. (Exhibit 11.)

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 4B, the "affected ANC" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "Board") referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on April 27, 2026, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted to support the application. (Exhibit 28.) The ANC report raised no issues or concerns.

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted two reports to the record:

- The original OP report, dated June 22, 2026, recommended approval, subject to the Applicant providing to the record a) trash storage and pick-up times and frequency; and b) a Pick-up/Drop-off plan with DDOT's concurrence. (Exhibit 30.)

¹ The decision was administratively rescheduled from July 15, 2026 to the public meeting of July 22, 2026.

- The supplemental OP report, dated June 30, 2026, contained an assessment of the impacts of having more than one child development center in the same square and within 1,000 feet. OP continued to recommend approval with the conditions as stated in its original report. (Exhibit 33.)

The Board did not adopt the conditions as part of its approval.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (“DDOT”) REPORT. DDOT submitted two reports to the record:

- The first DDOT report, dated June 18, 2026, indicated that it had no objection to the application with the condition that the applicant develop a pick-up/Drop-off plan with DDOT’s concurrence. (Exhibit 29.)
- The supplemental DDOT report, dated July 9, 2026, indicated that after meeting with the Applicants, DDOT determined that the PUDO is no longer needed. DDOT withdrew the proposed condition and recommended approval of the application. (Exhibit 42.)

PERSONS IN SUPPORT. The Board received 14 letters from residents in support of the application. (Exhibits 15-24; 34-37.)

Kim Ha, Loren Scribner, Rory Skaggs, Mara Vandenbold, and Bryan Gerhart testified at the public hearing in support of the application.

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special exception under the daytime care uses of Subtitle U § 203.1(h), pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2

BZA APPLICATION NO. 21450
PAGE NO. 3

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the revised plans shown in Exhibits 8A1, 8A2, and 8A3 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10.

VOTE: 3-0-2 (Paul Goldstein, Tammy M. Stidham, and Michelle Pourciau to APPROVE; Melissa Lindsjo not participating; one Board seat vacant)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:


SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: July 28, 2026

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS, UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, AN APPLICATION FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE ERECTION OR ALTERATION APPROVED IS FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 IS FILED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.10, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.