

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**



**BZA Application No. 21424
Mestawet Dejene
1703 Bunker Hill Road, NE (Square 4163, Lot 3)**

HEARING DATE: June 10, 2026¹
DECISION DATE: June 10, 2026

SUMMARY ORDER

RELIEF REQUESTED. The application requests the following relief in order to expand an existing child development home from 9 to 12 children, in a detached, two-story plus basement, principal dwelling unit with an outdoor play area in the R-1B zone:

- Special Exception under the expanded child development home requirements of Subtitle U § 251.1(b)(3), pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2
- Special Exception under Subtitle U § 251.6, pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2, for modification of Subtitle U § 251.3(f) to allow conduct of operations related to a home occupation outside a structure.

The application was accompanied by a memorandum from the Zoning Administrator, certifying the required relief. (Exhibit 11.)

PARTIES. The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 5B, the "affected ANC" pursuant to Subtitle Y §§ 101.8 and 403.5(b) of the Zoning Regulations (Title 11 of the DCMR, Zoning Regulations of 2016, to which all references are made unless otherwise specified).

NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING. The Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "Board") referred the application to the appropriate agencies and provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing in accordance with Subtitle Y § 402.1.

ANC REPORT. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on February 18, 2026, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted to support the application. (Exhibit 20.) The ANC report raised no issues or concerns.

OFFICE OF PLANNING ("OP") REPORT. OP submitted a report recommending approval of the application. (Exhibit 22.)

¹ The Application was administratively rescheduled twice from the original March 18, 2026 public hearing date.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (“DDOT”) REPORT. DDOT did not submit a report to the record.

OFFICE OF THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION (“OSSE”) REPORT. OSSE submitted a report recommending approval of the application. (Exhibit 19.)

PERSONS IN SUPPORT. The Board received one letter from a neighbor in support of the application. (Exhibit 27.)

At the public hearing, the Board heard testimony in support from Lori Moller, Leslie Andrews, Muluwork Kenea, Cynthia Davis, and Limiat Abdulwahid.

CONCLUSIONS

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the appropriate reports and recommendations filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof that the requested special exception relief can be granted because:

- It is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map;
- It will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property; and
- Pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2(c), the relief satisfies the specified conditions for special exception relief.

DECISION

Based on the case record and the testimony at the hearing, the Board concludes that the applicant has satisfied the burden of proof for the requested relief:

- Special Exception under the expanded child development home requirements of Subtitle U § 251.1(b)(3), pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2
- Special Exception under Subtitle U § 251.6, pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2, for modification of Subtitle U § 251.3(f) to allow conduct of operations related to a home occupation outside a structure.


Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** consistent with the plans shown in Exhibit 7 of the record, as required under Subtitle Y §§ 604.9 and 604.10,

VOTE: 4-0-1 (Paul Goldstein, Gwen M. Wright, Michelle Pourciau, and Melissa Lindsjo to APPROVE; one Board seat vacant)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:



SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: June 16, 2026

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.2, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS, UNLESS THE USE APPROVED IN THIS ORDER IS ESTABLISHED WITHIN SUCH SIX-MONTH PERIOD.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.