

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**



**BZA Application No. 20952-A  
Peter A. Akinsanya and Julianah K. Akinsanya  
727 Irving Street, N.W. (Square 2891, Lot 78)**

|                                     |                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>HEARING DATE (20952):</b>        | October 4, 2023  |
| <b>DECISION DATE (20952):</b>       | October 4, 2023  |
| <b>ORDER ISSUANCE DATE (20952):</b> | October 31, 2023 |
| <b>DECISION DATE (20952-A):</b>     | January 21, 2026 |

**SUMMARY ORDER ON REQUEST FOR  
TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION.** In Application No. 20952, the Board of Zoning Adjustment (“**Board**” or “**BZA**”) approved the request by Peter A. Akinsanya and Julianah K. Akinsanya (the “**Applicant**”) for a special exception under the rear wall extension requirements of Subtitle E § 207.5, pursuant to Subtitle X § 901.2 in order to construct a third story, basement, and three-story rear addition, and convert to a flat, an existing, attached, two story, principal dwelling unit in the RF-1 zone. The Board issued Order No. 20952 on October 31, 2023 (the “**Order**,” Exhibit 2). Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.11, the Order became effective ten days after issuance. Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 702.1, the Order was valid for two years from the time it became final.

**REQUEST FOR TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION.** On October 27, 2025, the Applicant submitted a request that the Board grant a two-year extension<sup>1</sup> of Order No. 20952. (Exhibits 1-6.)

**NOTICE OF THE REQUEST.** Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 705.2(a), the Applicant provided proper and timely notice of the request for time extension to the parties to the underlying case. (Exhibit 4.)

**PARTIES.** The parties to this case were the Applicant and Advisory Neighborhood Commission (“ANC”) 1E.

**ANC REPORT.** ANC 1E did not submit a report to the record for this time extension request.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Applicant requested a one-year time extension in Exhibit 1 and a two-year time extension in Exhibit 4. The Board decided to grant a two-year time extension at the Public Meeting.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 20952-A**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

**OFFICE OF PLANNING (“OP”) REPORT.** OP submitted a report recommending approval of the time extension. (Exhibit 6.)

**DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (“DDOT”) REPORT.** DDOT did not submit a report to the record for this time extension request.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This request for extension is pursuant to Subtitle Y § 705 of the Zoning Regulations, which permits the Board to extend the time periods in Subtitle Y § 702.1 for good cause shown upon the filing of a written request by the applicant before the expiration of the approval.

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 705.2(a), the Applicant shall serve on all parties to the application and all parties shall be allowed 30 days to respond. Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 705.2(b), the Applicant shall demonstrate that there is no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Board based its original approval of the application. Finally, under Subtitle Y § 705.2(c), good cause for the extension must be demonstrated with substantial evidence of one or more of the following criteria: (1) An inability to obtain sufficient project financing due to economic and market conditions beyond the applicant’s reasonable control; (2) an inability to secure all required governmental agency approvals by the expiration date of the Board’s order because of delays that are beyond the applicant’s reasonable control; or (3) the existence of pending litigation or such other condition, circumstance, or factor beyond the applicant’s reasonable control.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the appropriate recommendations and reports filed in this case, the Board finds that the Applicant has met the criteria of Subtitle Y § 705.2 to extend the validity of the underlying order.

Pursuant to Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form where granting an application when there was no party in opposition. As a summary order, it does not constitute binding legal precedent on the Board and shall not be considered by the Board in evaluating future applications.

**DECISION**

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the request for a two-year time extension to the validity of the Board’s approval in Order No. 20952 is hereby **GRANTED**, and the Order shall be valid until **OCTOBER 31, 2027**.

**VOTE: 3-0-2** (Carl H. Blake, Brittney Drakeford, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE;  
two Board seats vacant)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
**Director, Office of Zoning**

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** January 27, 2026

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.