

April 2022

MEMORANDUM TO: dcoz@dc.gov  
Frederick L. Hill, Chairman  
Board of Zoning Adjustment  
441 4<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 210S  
Washington, DC 20001

**RE: BZA Application No. 20594; Variance Application of Nezahat and Paul Harrison**


Dear Chairman Hill and Members of the Board:


I am a resident of the Forest Hills neighborhood and am submitting this letter to the BZA to express my opposition to the request for a special exception from the zoning regulations in my neighborhood at 3007 Albemarle St NW. This project at 3007 Albemarle is a matter that affects not only adjacent neighbors but the entire Forest Hills Community as a bad precedent for infill development proposals which have little to no regard for residents who are impacted by buildout to their backyards.

The special exception proposal is not different than the previous variance request except to offer the applicants an easier path to create a new infill development, on a pipestem lot which is currently prohibited under our zoning regulations. Unfortunately, the Applicant has not been forthcoming in working with affected nearby property owners to address their legitimate concerns. Privacy, among other things, is an expected and reasonable concern with infill development in an established neighborhood setting.

Granting the proposed special exception or variance will set an unfortunate precedent that will erode the protections afforded by low density zoning that current residents reasonably rely on, paving the way for similar requests from other large lot owners, ultimately removing the protections that zoning regulations were intended to provide.

In conclusion, we stand with the adjacent neighbors and request that the Board of Zoning Adjustment deny this special exception or variance until the Applicant adequately addresses the concerns of the adjacent property owners and members of the Forest Hills community.

  
Nina Mason

  
Max Hirshfeld

3100 Brandywine Street NW  
Washington, DC 20008

Board of Zoning Adjustment  
District of Columbia  
CASE NO.20594  
EXHIBIT NO.94