DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA **BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT** 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Appeal by Michael D. Hayes Appeal by DuPont East Civic Action Assoc. BZA Appeal No. 20452 BZA Appeal No. 20453

D.C. DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS'S **OPPOSITION TO APPELLANTS'** DUPONT EAST CIVIC ACTION ASSOCIATION'S AND MICHAEL D. HAYES'S JOINT MOTION TO REVISE SUBMISSION SCHEDULE

NOW COMES, D.C. Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs ("DCRA") for its Opposition to Appellants' Dupont East Civic Action Association's and Michael D. Hays's Joint Motion to Revise Submission Schedule, states as follows:

Appellants Dupont East Civic Action Association ("DECCA") and Michael D. Hays ("Mr. Hayes") (collectively the "Appellants") filed two separate appeals challenging the Zoning Administrator's approval of a lot subdivision (Sq. 192, Lot 108). The appeals were docketed in January 2021 (BZA Appeal 20453 on or about January 19, 2021; BZA Appeal 20452 on or about January 18, 2011). The Appellants have filed a Joint Motion to Revise Submission Schedule (the "Joint Motion") requesting a change in the schedule for filing responses by parties. See, Joint Motion, pp. 4-5. The Appellants argue that the current schedule "does not provide adequate time for each Appellant to prepare the submission of the opposing parties." See, Joint Motion, p. 4. However, the Joint Motion is overly vague and premature as no submissions have yet been filed by any opposing party in this case. Thus, it is unclear as to the precise basis of Appellants' need for the additional time.

¹ BZA Appeal 20453 – Exhibit 2 Appellant's Statement in Support; BZA Appeal 20452 – Exhibit 6 Statement of Appeal.

It is axiomatic that the party filing the appeal has the burden of proof. *See*, BZA 16947 *Appeal No. of Kuri Brothers, Inc.* ("the person alleging "that there is an error in any ... decision", D.C. Official Code § 6-641.07 (g)(1), must prove the error alleged. . .). Here, the Appellants have filed voluminous pages of material and tendered two (2) reports by their purported expert witnesses.² Further, these appeals have been pending since January 2021. Appellants must therefore be precluded from seeking additional time to "prepare their submissions" as they were required to provide complete submissions as required by Subtitle Y § 302.12. Moreover, pursuant to Subtitle Y § 302.13, an appeal may not be amended to add issues not identified in a statement of appeal. In this case, the Appellants' request for further time to supplement their respective appeals is misplaced and impermissible under Subtitle Y § 302.13. Furthermore, the Appellants have already filed over 200 pages of material and their Joint Motion is bereft of any reason why they failed to file their supplemental material as required under Subtitle Y § 303.12(a)-(k).

More importantly, the Appellants' Joint Motion, rather than granting further time to DCRA, actually shortens DCRA's time to respond, without justification. Under Subtitle Y § 302.17, DCRA may file its responsive brief(s) to the appeals (7) days before the public hearing. *See*, Subtitle Y § 302.17. In this case, DCRA is permitted to file on or before May 5, 2021 as the BZA Public Hearing on these matters is set for May 12, 2021. The Appellants demand that DCRA file a response on April 30, 2021, several days earlier than required under the rules. Although the Appellants claim, without any basis, that the amended schedule is in the interests of justice and efficiency—it unfairly burdens DCRA in responding to multiple submissions by the Appellants earlier than required under the regulations. Therefore, DCRA requests that the Board deny the

² BZA Appeal 20453 – Exhibit 2-12; BZA Appeal 20452 – Exhibits 5 and 6.

Appellant's Joint Motion and allow the DCRA to respond in the time permitted as currently provided for in the regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Esther Yong McGraw

ESTHER YOUNG MCGRAW

General Counsel

Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs

/s/ Melanie Konstantopoulos

MELANIE KONSTANTOPOULOS

Deputy General Counsel

Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs

Date: 3/17/21

/s/ Hugh J. Green

HUGH J. GREEN (DC Bar #1032201)

Assistant General Counsel

Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs

Office of the General Counsel

1100 4th Street, S.W., 5th

Floor

Washington, D.C. 20024

(202) 442-8640 (office)

(202) 442-9447 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this March 17, 2021, a copy of the foregoing was served to:

Via Electronic E-mail

Edward Hanlon Dupont East Civic Action Association 1523 Swann Street N.W. Washington D.C. 20009 Ed.hanlon.3@gmail.com Appellant

Michael Hays 5305 Portsmouth Road Bethesda, MD 20816 Michael.hays@comcast.net Appellant

Daniel Warwick Chairperson ANC 2B 2146 Florida Ave, NW Washington, DC 20008 2B@anc.dc.gov

Moshe Pasternak Commissioner ANC SMD 2B04 1630 R Street, NW Washington, DC 20009 2B04@anc.dc.gov

John Fanning Chairperson ANC 2F 1307 12th Street, NW #505 Washington, DC 20005 2F@anc.dc.gov Alan V. Rusin, Goulston & Storrs, PC 400 Atlantic Ave. Boston, MA 02110 arusin@goulstonstorrs.com Counsel for Lessee Persus TDC

Via First Class Mail, Postage Pre-Paid to:

The Supreme Council of the Scottish Rite Temple 1733 16th Street, NW Washington DC 20009 Property Owner

Courtesy Copies via Email to:

Andrew Zimmitti, Esq.
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP
1050 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
202 585-6505
Email: azimmitti@manatt.com
Counsel for The Scottish Rite Temple

Christine Roddy
Goulston & Storrs, PC
1999 K St NW Ste 500,
Washington, DC 20006
CRoddy@goulstonstorrs.com

/s/ Hugh J. Green
Hugh J. Green