

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 9:48 AM
To: DCOZ - BZA Submissions (DCOZ)
Subject: Regarding Party Status Request in BZA Case #20266, 3400 Connecticut Partners LLC

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the DC Government. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. If you believe that this email is suspicious, please forward to phishing@dc.gov for additional analysis by OCTO Security Operations Center (SOC).

Mr. Frederick Hill
Chairman, Board of Zoning Adjustment

Dear Chairman Hill:

On July 13th I applied for Party Status (Exhibit #44) in BZA Case #20266, 3400 Connecticut Partners LLC, scheduled to be heard on July 29th. I write today in that regard for two reasons.

First, I want to alert you that the group of 40 neighbors I noted to be supporting my request has grown to 54 living at an average distance of 954' from the Macklin development. A list of them and their addresses is below.

Second, I ask that you allow Jennifer Anderson – who lives directly across the street from the Macklin – to join me as speaking in Party Status. We would, of course, not duplicate one another in doing so.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark Rosenman

Macklin Neighbors
(listed according to linear distance from the project)

Sheyla Silveira	2922 Newark
Alejandro Sanguinetti	2922 Newark
Eric Rubin	2930 Newark
Jenny Anderson	2924 Newark
Karen King	2941 Newark
Bill King	2941 Newark
Cheryl Tennille	2950 Newark
Patricia Svoboda	2945 Newark
Alison Steadman	2960 Newark
Charlie Heck	2942 Macomb
Wendy Sommer	2942 Macomb

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.20266
EXHIBIT NO.122

Arlene Holen	2928 Macomb
Sheldon Holen	2928 Macomb
Bill McDaniels	2952 Newark
Kathe McDaniels	2952 Newark
Bill Newlin	3026 Newark
Louisa Newlin	3026 Newark
Trish Berman	2812 Ordway
Jeff Berman	2812 Ordway
Dina Abu-Ghaida	2964 Newark
Saeed Abu-Elnaj	2964 Newark
Jane Genster	2955 Newark
John Buckley	2955 Newark
Karen Lightfoot	2904 Ordway
Mark Rosenman	3023 Newark
Mary Lee Stein	3023 Newark
Jeremy Sher	3025 Newark
Dena Spilker	3025 Newark
Chris Wilber	3031 Newark
Meg Wilber	3031 Newark
Judith Kennedy	3038 Newark
Don Tuttle	3038 Newark
Jennifer Hamilton	3034 Newark
George Idelson	3035 Newark
Evelyn Idelson	3035 Newark
Toni Bickart	3042 Newark
David Bickart	3042 Newark
Rives Carroll	3405 Ashley
Leila Afzal	3006 Ordway
Tersh Boasberg	3136 Newark
Susan Akman	3209 Macomb
Jerome Akman	3209 Macomb
Peter Edelman	3208 Newark
Marian Wright Edelman	3208 Newark
Mary Ellen Massey	3211 Macomb
Gene Massey	3211 Macomb
John Korbel	3420 Newark
Pam Korbel	3420 Newark
MaryAnn Orr	3456 Newark
Rick Nash	3456 Newark
Patricia Orr	3456 Newark
Olivia Nash	3456 Newark
Caroline Nash	3456 Newark
Ann Hamilton	3518 Newark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: King, William H <bill.king@danaher.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 1:30 PM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: Party Status

We affirm your party status request

Bill& Karen king

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2020, at 11:09 AM, "mark.rosenman@verizon.net" <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

I need to affirm for BZA that you are authorizing my Party Status request.

Please respond to this email affirming that fact.

Mark

Please be advised that this email may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us by email by replying to the sender and delete this message. The sender disclaims that the content of this email constitutes an offer to enter into, or the acceptance of, any agreement; provided that the foregoing does not invalidate the binding effect of any digital or other electronic reproduction of a manual signature that is included in any attachment.

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Cheryl Tennille <cheryltennille@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 11:53 AM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: BZA Party Status

I affirm my Party Status request on Case 20266.
Much obliged Mark
Cheryl Tennille

On Tue, Jul 28, 2020 at 11:10 AM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Cheryl - I need to affirm for BZA that you are authorizing my Party Status request.

Please respond to this email affirming that fact.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Eric Rubin <ericsethrubin@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:08 PM
To: Rosenman, Mark
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

No worries. I am in Colorado this month, but glad to be listed as among the neighbors opposed to the waiver who are requesting Party Status.

I do not wish to be a witness.

Thanks for doing this.

Eric

On Sun, Jul 12, 2020 at 12:04 PM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Not to put you on the spot, but do I have your permission to list you? Do you want to be on the witness list?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Louisa Newlin <louisanewlin@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:42 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Yes, list us both. 😊

Louisa Newlin
3026 Newark St. NW
Washington, DC 20008
(202) 362-1038

On Jul 12, 2020, at 5:41 PM, <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Thanks, Louisa. As to comment at the virtual meeting on the 29th, should I list Bill and/or you?

Mark

From: Louisa Newlin <louisanewlin@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 5:38 PM
To: Mark Rosenman <mark.rosenman@verizon.net>
Cc: Bill Newlin <billnewlin@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Dear Mark,

Of course you may list us as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request of the Macklin contingent. And yes, we'd like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment.

I think you did send this earlier and I flagged it, then forgot about it. So tell me what we should do next!

apologetically, and in admiration of your civic actions, Louisa

On Jul 12, 2020, at 3:22 PM, <mark.rosenman@verizon.net>
<mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Bill and Louisa – I can't recall (nor easily check right now) whether or not you were among those who I sent this, so....

I'm contacting you as two of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

- (1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and
- (2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Kathe <kathe.mcdaniels@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:31 PM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

You may use both of our names as to number 1 and we will not be able to write anything. Kathe and Bill McDaniels.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 12, 2020, at 3:19 PM, mark.rosenman@verizon.net wrote:

I'm contacting you as two of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

- (1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and
- (2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: judith kennedy <jatkennedy@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:14 PM
To: Mark.Rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Of course yes to both

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 12, 2020, at 2:02 PM, Mark.Rosenman@verizon.net wrote:

Not to put you on the spot, but do I have your permission to list you (and Don?)? Do you want to be on the witness list?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Toni Bickart <tonibickart@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 9:42 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Hi Mark,
Please list both of us in the group of neighbors.
Thanks.
Toni and David Bickart

On Sat, Jul 11, 2020 at 7:16 PM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status “witness” to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Ale. sanguinetti <asangui90@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 1:24 PM
To: Rosenman, Mark
Subject: Re: Authorization for Party Status

I authorize BZA and Mark Roseman.

as Party status rep.

thanks

A.Sanguinetti |

On Tue, Jul 28, 2020 at 11:07 AM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Alex – I need to affirm for BZA that you are authorizing my Party Status request.

Please respond to this email affirming that fact.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Jenny Anderson <jennya8105@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 11:21 AM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: Affirming Party Status

I affirm that I am authorizing Mark Rosenman to act on my behalf with respect to BZA 20266. As an owner directly across the street I also intend to participate in the hearing.

Jennifer Anderson
2924 Newark St

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 28, 2020, at 11:08 AM, mark.rosenman@verizon.net wrote:

Jenny - I need to affirm for BZA that you are authorizing my Party Status request.

Please respond to this email affirming that fact.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Mary Ellen & Gene <e-me-massey@rcn.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 19, 2020 12:29 PM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: Macklin Parking spaces waiver BZA 20266

Please include us under 'Party Status.' We do not plan to speak unless you need more participants We think someone should address the impact that the proposed Macklin will have on the public parking which sits behind the P.O.
Mary Ellen and Gene Massey

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 19, 2020, at 12:02 PM, <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

ALSO: May I list you as supporters of my request for Party Status at the BZA hearing – please see attached email. We're up to 46 neighbors and I'm working to at least hit 50.

Wonderful; my thanks to both of you.

May I ask that you also send a copy to the BZA? Here are the instructions: email by addressing it to Mr. Frederick Hill, Chairman, Board of Zoning Adjustment and sending it to bzasubmissions@dc.gov. *IMPORTANT: The letter must include your name and address and the application number (BZA 20266).*

Thanks again.

Mark

<mime-attachment>

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Peter Edelman <Peter.Edelman@law.georgetown.edu>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 4:54 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Hi Mark. Yes on signing. Also, glad to offer a comment if you give me a draft and I'll edit for a bit, and tell me where to send it.

Peter

Peter Edelman
Carmack Waterhouse Professor of Law and Public Policy
Georgetown Law Center
Washington, D.C. 20001
202-662-9074

On Sun, Jul 12, 2020 at 4:10 PM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

I'm contacting you as one (two, with Marian) of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you (and Marian) as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, would either of you like to be listed as a Party Status “witness” to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Evelyn Idelson <e.idelson10@verizon.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:24 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

(1) Yes , list me as one of neighbors on behalf of whom you request status...

(2_ I wont be a witness offering comment.

On Jul 12, 2020, at 2:01 PM, <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Not to put you on the spot, but do I have your permission to list you? Do you want to be on the witness list?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Dina N. Abu-Ghaida <dabughaida@worldbank.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 9:52 AM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Cc: Saeed Gmail
Subject: RE: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Hi Mark,

Please include us in your request for Party Status:
Dina Abu-Ghaida and Saeed Abu-Elnaj.

Best,
Dina

From: mark.rosenman@verizon.net <mark.rosenman@verizon.net>
Sent: Saturday, July 11, 2020 7:17 PM
To: Concerned Neighbors <mark.rosenman@verizon.net>
Subject: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

[External]

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status “witness” to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Jeff Berman <jbermandc@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 1:12 PM
To: Rosenman, Mark
Subject: Affirmation of your request for Party Status; BZA Applic. No. 20266

I am writing to affirm your request to the BZA to receive "Party Status" on behalf of myself and the other Macklin neighbors with respect to BZA Application Number 20266. I live at 2812 Ordway Street NW and received written notice of this BZA application due to the close proximity of the Macklin to my home. The neighbors near the Macklin and throughout our larger neighborhood have a strong joint interest in opposing the special exception from parking requirements for the Macklin project, which is the subject of this BZA application. Thank you for your efforts on behalf of the neighborhood.

Jeff Berman
2812 Ordway Street NW

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: PATRICIA SVOBODA <svoby@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 6:26 PM
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Cc: tennille@starpower.net; hhegge246@gmail.com; jatkenedy@aol.com
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

On 07/12/2020 2:03 PM mark.rosenman@verizon.net wrote:
Hello Mark,

Thanks for your email.

(1) Yes, you may list me as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf you are requesting Party Status.

I cannot do (2) since I have a work meeting on that day at the same time. However, I already send my email statement to the BZA. Did you also send this email to Cheryl Tennille, Helen Heggstad, and Judith Kennedy..

All the best,
Patricia

Not to put you on the spot, but do I have your permission to list you? Do you want to be on the witness list?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Marke

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Jennifer Hamilton <jengourmet@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 4:16 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: I I -I

Yes!

On Mon, Jul 13, 2020 at 2:20 PM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Just Reply with a YES to indicate that in a telephone call of this date you authorized me to list you as a neighbor who wished to support me in my request for Party Status at the BZA hearing in opposition to the Macklin developer's parking waiver request. I'll pass along your remarks.

Mark

From: Jennifer Hamilton <jengourmet@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 13, 2020 1:44 PM
To: Mark Rosenman <mark.rosenman@verizon.net>
Subject: I I -I

I have lived on Newark Street since the early 60's and seen it grow from a rather rundown place into one of well preserved, historical houses and amazing gardens. Parking has been a problem which the residents have dealt with through a mixture of tolerance and good manners but if the parking pressure were increased that tolerance will go, along with so much else that makes Newark Street so special.

Mark. Will tis help at all? I sound like the Little

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: John Korbel <jkorbel001@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 3:58 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Cc: Pam Korbel
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

Hi Mark,
You can include Pam and me. Do you have Kathe and Bill McDaniels?
John

John Korbel

3420 Newark St NW
Washington, DC 20016
(202) 363-1189 (Home)
(202) 365-4929 (Mobile)
(202) 537-1883 (Fax)
jkorbel001@gmail.com

On Jul 12, 2020, at 3:26 PM, <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Hi, John. I sent this out to a group (and think I likely omitted you) that I'm starting to call Macklin Neighbors. May I list you (and Pam?) too?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking

spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status "witness" to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Karen Lightfoot <karenlynnlightfoot@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 2:24 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: Re: "Party Status" for BZA Hearing

No problem Mark. I wasn't sure if I had to live closer to be part of the "party status" but if that isn't the case, I am happy to be listed and happy to offer a comment and/or elaborate on my concerns. This is for the hearing on the 29th? Do you know the time? Thanks for all your work on this.

-K

On Sun, Jul 12, 2020 at 2:03 PM <mark.rosenman@verizon.net> wrote:

Not to put you on the spot, but do I have your permission to list you? Do you want to be on the witness list?

I'm contacting you as one of those who indicated concern about the Macklin developer's request for a waiver of off-street parking requirements for both residences and commercial space. I intend, *in a submission that can be filed no later than this Tuesday*, to request "Party Status" in the virtual hearing scheduled for July 29th before the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I will say that I am doing so on behalf of a group of concerned neighbors and would like to include you as one of them.

Giving me permission to include you does not commit you to anything beyond being on record as opposing the developer's request. However, if you would like to serve as a "witness" and make a statement at the virtual hearing, I will list you in detailing my Party Status request.

BZA relies upon neighbors' testimony about how the plan will affect our daily lives. It can be as simple as a few sentences expressing concern about delivery trucks backing up traffic on the Macklin curve of Newark Street or about driveways being blocked by inconsiderate parkers or about not being able to find a parking spot within blocks of our homes. They just need to understand how granting the parking waiver will make a bad situation worse, make things less safe.

Please, in a Reply email, let me know as soon as you can if:

(1) I may list you as among a group of neighbors opposed to the waiver request, on whose behalf I am requesting Party Status; and

(2) Whether, additionally, you would like to be listed as a Party Status “witness” to offer a comment – or to elaborate on your concerns.

Mark

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: Arlene Holen <arlene.holen@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:12 AM
To: Mark Rosenman
Subject: party status for BZA hearing

Judy forwarded your email of July 11.

Please list Sheldon and I among neighbors opposed to the waiver request.

I would also like to be listed as a party status witness. Although we may be traveling on July 29 and I have not yet tried using Zoom on my iphone.

Arlene

Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

From: mjshofer@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 8:05 PM
To: Mark Rosenman
Cc: Chuck Walley
Subject: Fwd: Affirming Party Status

Hi Mark. I live next to Jenny Anderson. Please include me and my husband as members of your party status.

I affirm that I am authorizing Mark Rosenman to act on my and my husband behalf with respect to BZA 20266.

Margie Shofer
Chuck Walley
2926 Newark Street NW

Thanks!

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jenny Anderson <jennya8105@gmail.com>
Date: July 28, 2020 at 11:49:13 AM EDT
To: Shofer Margie <mjshofer@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: **Affirming Party Status**

Margie,

Not sure if you got an email from Mark asking you to affirm his party status. The VCA is requiring it from anybody that he is representing. So can you just copy the first part of my email and send it back to him if you haven't already done so

Jenny

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jenny Anderson <jennya8105@gmail.com>
Date: July 28, 2020 at 11:20:53 AM EDT
To: mark.rosenman@verizon.net
Subject: Re: **Affirming Party Status**

I affirm that I am authorizing Mark Rosenman to act on my behalf with respect to BZA 20266. As an owner directly across the street I also intend to participate in the hearing.

Jennifer Anderson
2924 Newark St

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 28, 2020, at 11:08 AM, mark.rosenman@verizon.net wrote:

Jenny - I need to affirm for BZA that you are authorizing my Party Status request.

Please respond to this email affirming that fact.

Mark