

## Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

---

**From:** Bardin, Sara (DCOZ)  
**Sent:** Monday, December 23, 2019 2:23 PM  
**To:** DCOZ - BZA Submissions (DCOZ)  
**Subject:** FW: Unable to load comments into BZA Case: 20144

On Mon, Dec 23, 2019 at 11:48 AM Britt Bepler <[brittanybepler@gmail.com](mailto:brittanybepler@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello,

Would it be possible to add the comments below into the case 20144? I tried several times this morning, but received the attached error message. Thank you and happy holidays!

Comments:

**In one of the loaded PDFs into the IZIS portal today, it shows various email exchanges between 1832 (applicant) and 1830 and 1834 home owners; these illustrate how the owners of 1832 refused to compromise in any real way on the footprint or size of their massive addition, which was our only concern (any paint or materials used for never a concern). The first time we learned of their project was when the owners of 1832 sought our approval in March 2019, but not before. They did not inquire about our needs or try to accommodate or find compromise before their plans were finalized (which is the opposite of what the owners of 1828 did when they submitted their application to the BZA - they were very flexible, approachable and thoughtful in their modifications and design before any plans were finalized and submitted to the BZA).**

**In 1 PDF you will find email exchanges between 1830, 1832 (applicant) and 1834 - as you can see the purported outreach by 1832 was minimal, inflexible, uncooperative, and lacking any modicum of real compromise or care for how this affects both of their abutting neighbors (1830 and 1834). The only compromise (in response to both 1830 and 1834's generous and fair compromise) was to say that they were only comfortable possibly allowing input into the paint colors and materials used, neither of which does anything for the real issue at hand, nor did either owner of 1830 and 1834 express issues with paint colors or materials used at any time. This lack of attempt at compromise by 1832 also explicitly went against the advice as per the ANC 2B resolution on 4/24/2019.**

**Additionally, the owners of 1832 did not do proper outreach to all neighbors along 15th Street as they claim in their application, as we are friendly and social with a majority of those neighbors, and all that we asked confirmed that at no time did Lisa or David attempt to reach out and discuss their plans, offer compromise and listen to how this drastically affects the greater part of our historic and low-scale neighborhood. One of the owners did, however, stalk some neighbors outside of their homes in the early morning and aggressively question those neighbors who opposed their project; these neighbors said they felt targeted and very uncomfortable by this inappropriate behavior.**