GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 19901 of HIP West St Partners LLC, as amended, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 9, for a special exception under Subtitle C § 1002.2 from the inclusionary zoning minimum lot requirements of Subtitle C § 1001.2(e)(3), and pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X, Chapter 10, for an area variance from the side yard requirements of Subtitle D § 307.4, to construct six new, attached principal dwelling units in the R-3 Zone at premises 2501-2509 West Street S.E. (Square 5808, Lots 824, 69 and 50).

HEARING DATE: January 30, 2019 **DECISION DATE**: January 30, 2019

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFICATION

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 300.6. (Exhibit 36 (Revised); Exhibit 5 (Original).) In granting the certified relief, the Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") made no finding that the relief is either necessary or sufficient. Instead, the Board expects the Zoning Administrator to undertake a thorough and independent review of the building permit and certificate of occupancy applications filed for this project and to deny any application for which additional or different zoning relief is needed.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 8A and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 8A, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report, indicating that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on November 13, 2018, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted 4-0-1 to support the application. (Exhibit 43.)

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 200/210-S, Washington, D.C. 20001

CASE NO.19901 EXHIBIT NO.48

¹ The original application was amended to add special exception relief for inclusionary zoning bonus density (Subtitle C § 1001.2(e)(3)) and to remove area variance relief for lot dimension. (Subtitle D § 302.1).

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19901 PAGE NO. 2

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report recommending approval of the amended application. (Exhibit 41.) The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the application, but noting that it expects the Applicant to file for public space permits prior to construction because the building design may change if the proposed curb cuts are not approved. (Exhibit 42.) The Board adopted a condition to the Order allowing the Applicant flexibility to modify this aspect of the plan, in the event curb cuts are not permitted.

Variance Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR Subtitle X \S 1002.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to Subtitle X \S 1002.1 for an area variance from the side yard requirements of Subtitle D \S 307.4. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking an area variance from 11 DCMR Subtitle D § 307.4, the Applicant has met the burden of proof under 11 DCMR Subtitle X § 1002.1, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Special Exception Relief

As directed by 11 DCMR Subtitle X \S 901.3, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to Subtitle X \S 901.2, for a special exception under Subtitle C \S 1002.2 from the inclusionary zoning minimum lot requirements of Subtitle C \S 1001.2(e)(3). No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP and ANC reports, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle X § 901.2, and Subtitle C §§ 1002.2 and 1001.2(e)(3), that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19901 PAGE NO. 3

Pursuant to 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 604.3, the order of the Board may be in summary form and need not be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law where granting an application when there was no party in opposition.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application is hereby **GRANTED AND**, **PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE** Y § 604.10, **SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 38 AND WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITION**:

1. The Applicant shall construct the project in accordance with the approved plans except that the Applicant shall have flexibility, based on their coordination with DDOT, to remove the proposed garages, driveways, and curb cuts without affecting the relief granted in this Order.

VOTE: **3-0-2** (Frederick L. Hill, Carlton E. Hart, and Peter G. May to APPROVE; Lesylleé M. White and Lorna L. John not participating.)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:

ARA, A. BARDIN
irector, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: February 1, 2019

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 604.11, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 604.7.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE Y § 702.1, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 705 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y § 703.14, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO SUBTITLE Y §§ 703 OR 704, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19901 PAGE NO. 4

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR SUBTITLE A § 303, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 <u>ET SEQ.</u> (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.