## Cochran, Patricia (DCOZ)

| From:    |
|----------|
| Sent:    |
| To:      |
| Subject: |

Community Action <noreply@123formbuilder.io> Monday, October 22, 2018 8:50 AM DCOZ - BZA Submissions (DCOZ) BZA Case No.19751

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the DC Government. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. If you believe that this email is suspicious, please forward to phishing@dc.gov for additional analysis by OCTO Security Operations Center (SOC).

| Long textBZA Case No. 19751 PetitionMED Developers and Guest Services Inc. is seeking numerous exceptions to the<br>existing R1-B / residential (single-family) zoning for the Massachusetts Avenu<br>Heights lot at 2619 Wisconsin Ave. I oppose this plan for the following reasons<br>Unreasonable Parking Plan: The proposed 9 parking spaces are inadequate for<br>facility of this size and only half the required spaces for 18 staff + contractors a<br>visitors. The developer's parking plan unrealistically relies on time-bound RPP<br>parking several blocks away.Negatively Affect the Neighborhood: The 5-level memory care facility at 52 fe<br>will dominate the area and is not designed to fit/blend into the neighborhood. In<br>will adversely affect neighbors with persistent noise, light, environmental and<br>privacy issues. Guest Services also plans to route all fire & rescue, trucks,<br>ambulances and commercial deliveries to a narrow, residential alley 24 hours a<br>day.No Experience: MED Developers and Guest Services Inc. have no clear<br>experience running a memory care institution of this size. The facility is also<br>being marketed as a "best practices" center for memory care but shares floor<br>plans from a previous development plan and has no cited evidence-base for its<br>design.Bait and Switch: MED Developers does not own the lot. They previously tried<br>and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent for an other failed projec<br>on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. and MED Developers is the<br>wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs of<br>seniors or the community it will serve.First Nameliora   |            |   |   |
|--|------------|---|---|
| existing R1-B / residential (single-family) zoning for the Massachusetts Avenu<br>Heights lot at 2619 Wisconsin Ave. I oppose this plan for the following reasonsUnreasonable Parking Plan: The proposed 9 parking spaces are inadequate for<br>facility of this size and only half the required spaces for 18 staff + contractors<br>visitors. The developer's parking plan unrealistically relies on time-bound RPP<br>parking several blocks away.Negatively Affect the Neighborhood: The 5-level memory care facility at 52 fe<br>will dominate the area and is not designed to fit/blend into the neighborhood. In<br>will adversely affect neighbors with persistent noise, light, environmental and<br>privacy issues. Guest Services also plans to route all fire & rescue, trucks,<br>ambulances and commercial deliveries to a narrow, residential alley 24 hours a<br>day.No Experience: MED Developers and Guest Services Inc. have no clear<br>experience running a memory care institution of this size. The facility is also<br>being marketed as a "best practices" center for memory care but shares floor<br>plans from a previous development plan and has no cited evidence-base for its<br>design.Bait and Switch: MED Developers does not own the lot. They previously tried<br>and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent for another failed projec<br>on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. has no shown proof any<br>long term financial funding. If changing the use from a single family residentia<br>to a commercial facility proves unviable, MED Developers could then again<br>seek an additional exception for a more extreme use.We believe the current plan by Guest Services Inc. and MED Developers is the<br>wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs o<br>seniors or the community it will serve.First Nameliora | Long text  | BZA Case No. 19751 Petition   |   |
| facility of this size and only half the required spaces for 18 staff + contractors +<br>visitors. The developer's parking plan unrealistically relies on time-bound RPP<br>parking several blocks away.Negatively Affect the Neighborhood: The 5-level memory care facility at 52 fe<br>will dominate the area and is not designed to fit/blend into the neighborhood. In<br>will adversely affect neighbors with persistent noise, light, environmental and<br>privacy issues. Guest Services also plans to route all fire & rescue, trucks,<br>ambulances and commercial deliveries to a narrow, residential alley 24 hours a<br>day.No Experience: MED Developers and Guest Services Inc. have no clear<br>experience running a memory care institution of this size. The facility is also<br>being marketed as a "best practices" center for memory care but shares floor<br>plans from a previous development plan and has no cited evidence-base for its<br>design.Bait and Switch: MED Developers does not own the lot. They previously tried<br>and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent for another failed projec<br>on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. has no shown proof of any<br>long term financial funding. If changing the use from a single family residentia<br>to a commercial facility proves unviable, MED Developers could then again<br>seek an additional exception for a more extreme use.We believe the current plan by Guest Services Inc. and MED Developers is the<br>wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs o<br>seniors or the community it will serve.First Nameliora  |            | existing R1-B / residential (single-family) zoning for t  | he Massachusetts Avenue   |
| <ul> <li>will dominate the area and is not designed to fit/blend into the neighborhood. In will adversely affect neighbors with persistent noise, light, environmental and privacy issues. Guest Services also plans to route all fire &amp; rescue, trucks, ambulances and commercial deliveries to a narrow, residential alley 24 hours a day.</li> <li>No Experience: MED Developers and Guest Services Inc. have no clear experience running a memory care institution of this size. The facility is also being marketed as a "best practices" center for memory care but shares floor plans from a previous development plan and has no cited evidence-base for its design.</li> <li>Bait and Switch: MED Developers does not own the lot. They previously tried and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent for another failed project on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. has not shown proof of any long term financial funding. If changing the use from a single family residentia to a commercial facility proves unviable, MED Developers could then again seek an additional exception for a more extreme use.</li> <li>We believe the current plan by Guest Services Inc. and MED Developers is the wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs of seniors or the community it will serve.</li> <li>First Name</li> <li>Last Name</li> <li>valero</li> </ul>  |            | facility of this size and only half the required spaces for<br>visitors. The developer's parking plan unrealistically r   | or 18 staff + contractors +   |
| experience running a memory care institution of this size. The facility is also<br>being marketed as a "best practices" center for memory care but shares floor<br>plans from a previous development plan and has no cited evidence-base for its<br>design.Bait and Switch: MED Developers does not own the lot. They previously tried<br>   |            | will dominate the area and is not designed to fit/blend<br>will adversely affect neighbors with persistent noise, lip<br>privacy issues. Guest Services also plans to route all fi<br>ambulances and commercial deliveries to a narrow, res | into the neighborhood. It<br>ight, environmental and<br>re & rescue, trucks,        |
| and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent for another failed project<br>on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. has not shown proof of any<br>long term financial funding. If changing the use from a single family residentia<br>to a commercial facility proves unviable, MED Developers could then again<br>seek an additional exception for a more extreme use.We believe the current plan by Guest Services Inc. and MED Developers is the<br>wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs of<br>seniors or the community it will serve.First NamelioraLast Namevalero  |            | experience running a memory care institution of this st<br>being marketed as a "best practices" center for memor<br>plans from a previous development plan and has no cit   | ize. The facility is also<br>y care but shares floor                                |
| wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that will focus on the needs of seniors or the community it will serve.         First Name       liora         Last Name       valero         Board of Zoning Adjustment  |            | and failed to have the city pay \$175,000/month in rent<br>on the same single family lot. Guest Services Inc. has<br>long term financial funding. If changing the use from a<br>to a commercial facility proves unviable, MED Develo        | for another failed project<br>not shown proof of any<br>a single family residential |
| Last Name valero Board of Zoning Adjustment  |            | wrong plan for the lot. We need a better plan, one that   | -   |
|  | First Name | liora   |   |
| District of Columbia   | Last Name  | valero  | Board of Zoning Adjustment<br>District of Columbia                                  |

CASE NO.19751

EXHIBIT NO.370

| Phone   | 202-400-7670          |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Email   | lioravalero@gmail.com |
| Address | 2322 40 PL NW #301    |

Form Host: www.guestservicesdc.com/sign-the-petition