

Dear members of the Board,

I received an email this Saturday (March 3<sup>rd</sup>) from our ANC commissioner informing us that the ANC is requesting to re-open the record of our case (#19657) in order to submit a solar study with "Perspective Views". Our decision hearing, as you know, is on Wednesday, March 7<sup>th</sup>.

We do not consent to this request to re-open the case given the timing of the request. Our solar study, which uses "Parallel Projection" views, has been in the record since September 2017. We have also presented four times before the ANC, twice before our single member district and twice in front of the Board of Zoning. We have promptly complied with previous requests from the ANC and our single member district, for example, to get more letters of support and signatures and to make revisions to our plans to accommodate certain requests. However, we had not been informed of this particular request - that the ANC would like to see another vantage point for our solar study - until this last Saturday (March 3<sup>rd</sup>). This is something that we would have happily accommodated, as we have with prior ANC requests, but given the very short notice before our decision hearing and the fact that our solar studies have been available since September 2017, we do not think it is appropriate to re-open the record for this particular request.

To give some background, we spoke with our architect (3877), and we understand that there are four types of vantage points for a solar study like this: Parallel Projection, Isometric Views, Perspective Views, and Axonometric Views. We understand that "Parallel Projection" is the most commonly used vantage point for a solar study of this type of situation and that the latter three vantage points are rarely used. The Parallel Projection represents a three-dimensional form and shows the appropriate and accurate shadow at the given times during the year. We also understand that the other three views were not used in our shadow study because some additions near the rear of our property would block the full view of the study and be less accurate.

However, separately, if the Board believes we should submit any additional information (including additional vantage points for our shadow study) or if the Board is inclined to deny the Application on the basis of the rear addition, we are willing to re-open the case and work with the Board to revise the plans in accordance with the Board's recommendation.

Sincerely,

Mala Mahmood