

LAW OFFICES

GRIFFIN, MURPHY, MOLDENHAUER & WIGGINS, LLP

MARK G. GRIFFIN (DC, MD)  
BRIAN P. MURPHY (DC, MD)  
ASHLEY E. WIGGINS (DC, MD)  
MERIDITH H. MOLDENHAUER (DC, MD)  
SAMANTHA MAZO (DC, MD)  
Y. AJOKI AGBOOLA (MD, DC)  
BRUCE M. JAMES (MD, DC)  
LENEHN N. RICKS (MD, DC\*)  
W. LAWRENCE FERRIS (MD, DC)

\*PENDING

---  
DIRECT DIAL: 202-530-1482  
DIRECT EMAIL: mmoldenhauer@washlaw.com

February 2, 2017

VIA IZIS

Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson  
Board of Zoning Adjustment  
441 4<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 210S  
Washington, DC 20001

**Re: Application No. 19450 – 3320 Idaho Avenue, NW (Square 1818, Lot 849)  
Applicant's Opposition to Party Status Request**

Chairperson Hill and Honorable Members of the Board:

On behalf of the District of Columbia Department of General Services (the "Applicant"), please find enclosed the Applicant's Opposition to Party Status Request, which was filed by Neighbors for Responsive Government (the "Opposition"). The Opposition's Party Status Request will be heard by the Board at an expedited hearing held on February 8, 2017. The application is scheduled to be heard before the Board on March 1, 2017.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
GRIFFIN, MURPHY,  
MOLDENHAUER & WIGGINS,  
LLP



Meridith Moldenhauer

Board of Zoning Adjustment  
District of Columbia  
CASE NO. 19450

**BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

**APPLICATION OF  
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19450  
HEARING DATE: MARCH 1, 2017**

**APPLICANT’S OPPOSITION TO PARTY STATUS REQUEST  
OF NEIGHBORS FOR RESPONSIVE GOVERNMENT**

The Applicant, District of Columbia Department of General Services (the “Applicant”), opposes the Request for Party Status filed by “Neighbors for Responsive Government” (the “Opposition”). Less than half of members of the Opposition live within 200 feet of the proposed project. In fact, multiple members of the Opposition reside in other neighborhoods, including Cleveland Park.

The Applicant initiated this matter to obtain the necessary zoning relief from the Board to construct a Short-Term Family Housing Emergency Shelter (the “Project”) located at 3320 Idaho Avenue, NW. The Project is part of the District’s “Homeward D.C.” initiative, which seeks to make homelessness in the District rare, brief, and non-recurring. The Project is specifically designated as an emergency shelter that will provide temporary housing for families with young children who are experiencing homelessness. The Project will include wrap around services that will assist families in finding permanent housing solutions.

On January 24, 2017, the Opposition filed a Request for Party Status. The Request for Party Status was mailed to the Applicant’s counsel and not received until January 27, 2017. Notably, the Opposition has requested an “expedited” hearing on the Request for Party Status to be held on February 8, 2017.<sup>1</sup> The Applicant is scheduled to present the Project to the Board on March 1, 2017.

The Applicant opposes the Opposition’s Request for Party Status because the Opposition has failed to meet the burden for party status. In order to be granted party status, the Opposition must demonstrate that its “interests would likely be more significantly, distinctively, or uniquely affected in character or kind by the proposed zoning action than those of other persons in the general public.” *See* 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 404.13. The “interests” of a party that must be more affected than the general public include “environmental, economic, social, or other impacts.” *See* 11 DCMR Subtitle Y § 404.1(i)(4).

Simply put, the Opposition fails to meet its burden to obtain party status as set forth in the zoning regulations. The Opposition relies on a blanket statement that its members will be “much more significantly, distinctively and uniquely affected than will be the case for other persons in the general public. . .” The asserted “interests” of the Opposition are patently general ones, including traffic, parking inadequacy, overcrowding of the Newark Street community playground, and overcrowding of a nearby

---

<sup>1</sup> If the Board grants party status, then the Applicant will request on February 8<sup>th</sup> that the Board set forth a supplemental briefing schedule. Accordingly, rather than submit a detailed response to the Opposition immediately, the Applicant will wait for the Board to formally address the request for party status and provide guidance regarding additional filings.

elementary school. To grant party status based on this scant showing would render meaningless the burden of proof set forth in § Y-404.1 and § Y-404.13.

In addition to the deficiencies noted above, the Request for Party Status fails to comply with the requirement of Subtitle Y § 404.1(h) concerning designation of witnesses. In order to be granted party status, the Opposition must provide a list of witnesses who will testify on the Opposition's behalf as well as a written summary of each witness's testimony. Here, the Opposition does not do so, limiting its witness information to one named witness – the attorney for the Opposition. The Opposition lists its attorney as a witness despite common legal precedent that an attorney cannot testify on behalf of a client. Furthermore, the Opposition notes that “a few of the residents included in Exhibit A will also testify,” but fails to name any witness from Exhibit A. As noted above, the Opposition cannot meet its burden through blanket statements concerning witness testimony. The Applicant must be provided the names of witnesses along with their testimony in order to properly prepare for the hearing before the Board on March 1<sup>st</sup>.

The Applicant requests 15-20 minutes at the February 8<sup>th</sup> hearing to respond and expand on its reasons for this opposition to the Request for Party Status. In summation, the Applicant opposes the Opposition's Request for Party Status, and requests that the Board deny the Request for Party Status.

Respectfully Submitted,

GRIFFIN, MURPHY,  
MOLDENHAUER & WIGGINS,  
LLP

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Moldenhauer', is written over a horizontal line.

Meridith H. Moldenhauer  
Eric J. DeBear  
1912 Sunderland Place, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202) 429-9000

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 2, 2017, I served a copy of this Opposition to Request for Party Status via email, to the following:

D.C. Office of Planning  
1100 4<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Suite E650  
Washington, DC 20024  
[Maxine.BrownRoberts@dc.gov](mailto:Maxine.BrownRoberts@dc.gov)

D.C. Department of Transportation  
55 M Street, SE, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20003  
[evelyn.israel@dc.gov](mailto:evelyn.israel@dc.gov)

Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3C  
Nancy MacWood, Planning and Zoning Committee Chairperson  
[nmacwood@gmail.com](mailto:nmacwood@gmail.com)

Angela Bradbery, Single Member District 3C06  
[3C06@anc.dc.gov](mailto:3C06@anc.dc.gov)

Neighbors for Responsive Government  
David W. Brown, Esq.  
401 E. Jefferson Street, Suite 206  
Rockville, MD 20850  
[brown@knopf-brown.com](mailto:brown@knopf-brown.com)  
[joy@knopt-brown.com](mailto:joy@knopt-brown.com)



Meridith H. Moldenhauer