BEFORE THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Appeal of 1231 Morse Street, Inc.

BZA Appeal No. 17657

Hearing Date: October 2, 2007

ANC 5B

APPELLANT'S NOTICE OF RELATED APPEAL AND MOTION TO AMEND PENDING APPEAL TO INCORPORATE DIRECTLY RELATED REVOCATION OF PERMITS BY DCRA

The Appellant, 1231 Morse Street, Inc., by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Notice of Related Appeal and Motion to Amend Pending Appeal to Incorporate Directly Related Revocation of Permits by DCRA. In support of this Motion, the Appellant states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

On April 20, 2007, the Appellant filed this pending Appeal of the Zoning Administrator's March 6, 2007 decision ("Zoning Letter") to deny a building permit application for the revision of Building Permit B477039 [dated September 6, 2005 approving "Addition to SFD/Convert SFD to 11-Unit Apt." ("Original Building Permit")] requesting "To Reconstruct Collapsed Walls of Existing Structure" ("Revised Permit Application"). The Zoning Administrator alleged that the existing structure had been razed and as a result, the building permit application does not comply with the provisions of 11 DCMR § 330.5(c). Exhibit A. The Zoning Administrator's action constituted a challenge to the underlying Original Building Permit and implicitly, if not explicitly, the Emergency Demolition Permit, as well as the application to revise the Original Building Permit.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
District of Columbia

EXHIBIT NO.

Board of Zoning Adjustment District of Columbia CASE NO. 17657 EXHIBIT NO. 16 On July 20, 2007, DCRA served Appellant's undersigned counsel with a Notice To Revoke Building Permit Number B477039 ["Original Building Permit" dated September 5, 2005] and Emergency Demolition Permit Number B478240 ["Emergency Demolition Permit" dated February 14, 2006¹] ("Notice to Revoke Permits"). Exhibit B.

The Notice to Revoke Permits arises out of and is directly related to the Appellant, its property at 1233 Morse Street, N.E., and the subject matter of four (4) separate stop work orders dating back to February 21, 2006,² and the Zoning Letter from the former Zoning Administrator, Bill Crews,³ dated March 6, 2007 which is the subject of the pending Appeal. Each of the stop work orders, the Zoning Letter and the Notice to Revoke Permits arise from the same facts and circumstances and involve the same legal and factual defenses by the Appellant, including equitable estoppel and laches.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Jurisdiction

The Board has jurisdiction to hear this Appeal of the Notice to Revoke Permits. Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.2, the Board is authorized to hear and decide appeals where it is alleged by the appellant that there is error in any order, requirement, decision, determination, or refusal made by any administrative officer or body, including the Mayor, in the administration or enforcement of the Zoning Regulations, Title 11 DCMR." The Notice to Revoke Permits

¹ The Emergency Demolition Permit is dated "12/14/06" [sic], but this is a typographical error. The correct date is "February 14, 2006."

The first stop work order was ruled "INVALID as a matter of law," by Judge Claudia Barber at the Office of Administrative Hearings. 1231 Morse Street, Inc v. DCRA, OAH Case No. C-06-100032 (March 27, 2007).

³ Mr. Crews was removed as Zoning Administrator by DCRA in June 2007.

specifically relies upon the March 6, 2007 Zoning Letter and cites violations of the Zoning Regulations as the alleged basis for the proposed revocations.

B. Timeliness of Appeal

Under 11 DCMR § 3112.2, the appeal of the Notice to Revoke Permits must be filed within sixty (60) days of that decision. The Notice to Revoke Permits is dated July 19, 2007, but not served on Appellant's counsel until July 20, 2007. This Notice of Related Appeal and Motion to Amend Pending Appeal to Incorporate an Appeal of the Notice to Revoke Permits is being filed less than thirty (30) days after the decision appealed. The Appeal is timely, whether consolidated with the Pending Appeal or, in the unlikely event, the Board decides to docket and hear this directly related matter separately. DCRA and the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission are fully and directly on notice of this Related Appeal of the Notice to Revoke Permits.

C. Judicial Economy and Fundamental Fairness

It cannot be disputed that it is in the interest of judicial economy and fundamental fairness to Appellant that the pending Appeal and the newly issued Notice to Revoke Permits be litigated at the same time. DCRA will not be prejudiced and should be held accountable for its actions in a single consolidated proceeding. The Appellant will continue to be substantially prejudiced by the delay and burden of pursuing separate Appeals of what is the same matter.

In the twenty-three (23) months since the Original Building Permit was issued and the eighteen (18) months since the Emergency Demolition Permit and first stop work order was issued, DCRA's misconduct, including incompetence and/or total disregard for its own regulations, inexcusable delay, repeated violation of the Appellant's civil rights and due process,

and fundamental unfairness has created a moving target that Appellant has never been able to catch and have its appeals litigated before the Board or the Office of Administrative Hearings.

For the Board's reference and convenience, the following comprehensive chronology of events is well-established and not disputed. This chronology paints a clear picture of DCRA's misconduct in these matters and how Appellant has been repeatedly victimized.

September 5, 2005:

Appellant was granted a building permit to "Build an addition to (a single family dwelling) SFD/convert SFD to 11-unit apt. (apartment building) as per Plat/Plans" ("Original Building Permit").

February 2006:

Approximately three months after the date of the Original Building Permit, during which time construction progressed from the rear of the lot to the front where the existing structure sat, it became apparent to the Appellant that the existing structure was structurally unsound and in risk of collapse based on the condition of the foundation. Appellant followed proper procedure and promptly notified DCRA and requested an inspection. Based upon a field determination by DCRA that the structure was unsound, Appellant requested the issuance of the emergency demolition permit as required by DCRA.

February 14, 2006:

Appellant was granted a permit to demolish the existing single-family dwelling structure on an emergency basis because it was unsafe. ("Emergency Demolition Permit"). The permit contained a typographical error for the date as "12/14/2006," not correctly as "2/14/2006."

February 21, 2006:

DCRA inspector, G. Davidson issued a Stop Work Order ("First Stop Work Order") to Mr. Taiwo Demuren, President of 1231 Morse Street, Inc. The First Stop Work Order was post-dated to February 28, 2006 to allow Appellant to back fill and brace with earth the approximately five deep (5') walls of the excavated area.

The Appellant appealed the First Stop Work Order to the Code/Reviewing Official and then the Director of DCRA pursuant to 12 DCMR § 112.1.2. The Code/Reviewing Official did not timely respond to the Appeal of the First Stop Work Order and the then Director of DCRA, Dr. Patrick Canavan, never responded to the subsequent Appeal.

October 17, 2006:

The Appellant filed a Petition for Hearing and Appeal of the First Stop Work Order with the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH").

March 27, 2007:

Judge Claudia Barber issued a Final Order Entering Judgment in Favor of Appellant finding on a Summary Adjudication that the First Stop Work Order was invalid as a matter of law based on DCRA's failure to comply with the "clear and unambiguous" language of 12 DCMR § 114.1. ("OAH Decision").

April 9, 2007:

DCRA issued a second Stop Work Order ("Second Stop Work Order") to Appellant, based on the same facts and circumstances and alleging substantially the same violations as were at issue in the First Stop Work Order for which OAH entered judgment in favor of the Appellant and against DCRA.

April 12, 2007:

DCRA posted a third stop work order ("Third Stop Work Order"). DCRA provided no notice of any kind of the Third Stop Work Order and Appellant did not learn of this new stop work order until Appellant's counsel was contacted by DCRA's counsel on May 8, 2007 in response to the pending OAH appeal of the Second Stop Work Order. At the same time, DCRA informed Appellant's counsel of the fourth stop work order dated May 8, 2007.

April 23, 2007:

The Appellant filed an Appeal of the Second Stop Work Order with the Code/Reviewing Official, Mr. Nicholas Majett. Mr. Majett never responded to the Appeal.

April 27, 2007:

The Appellant filed the required second stage Appeal with DCRA's then Interim Director, Ms. Linda K. Argo. Again, Ms. Argo never responded to the Appeal and provided no notice that the Second or Third Stop Work Orders had been removed or rescinded. As a direct result, Appellant was forced to file the pending OAH Appeal of the Second Stop Work Order as well as appeals of the Third and Fourth Stop Work Orders.

May 8, 2007: DCRA posted a fourth stop work order ("Fourth Stop

Work Order") at the Property. No notice of the Fourth

Stop Work Order was provided to Appellant as

required under 12A DCMR § 114.1.

May 18, 2007: Appellant filed an appeal of the Third and Fourth Stop

Work Orders with the Code/Reviewing Official, Mr.

Nicholas Majett.

May 23, 2007: Mr. Majett summarily denied Appellant's appeal of the

Fourth Stop Work Order citing, without specificity or explanation, the entire Chapter 1 of the Building Code. Mr. Majett did not respond to the appeal of the Third

Stop Work Order.

May 24, 2007: Appellant filed an Appeal of the Third and Fourth Stop

Work Orders to the then Interim DCRA Director, Ms.

Linda K. Argo.

May 29, 2007: Ms. Argo summarily denied Appellant's appeal of the

Fourth Stop Work Order citing, without specificity or explanation, the entire Chapter 1 of the Building Code ("Final Decision"). Ms. Argo's Final Decision did not respond to the appeal of the Third Stop Work Order.

June 5, 2007: DCRA filed a Motion to Dismiss of the pending OAH

appeal of the Second Stop Work Order as Moot based

on the issuance of the Fourth Stop Work Order.

June 15, 2007: Appellant filed a Notice of Appeal of Ms. Argo's final

decision regarding the Third and Fourth Stop Work

Orders with OAH.

July 20, 2007: DCRA served Appellant's counsel with the Notice to

Revoke Permits.

July 25, 2007: OAH granted Appellant's Motion to Consolidate the

three (3) pending Stop Work Order Appeals and denied

DCRA's Motion to Dismiss as Moot.

III. REVISED HEARING NOTICE

As amended, the Notice of this Appeal should be revised to read as follows:

Appeal of 1231 Morse Street, Inc. pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3100 and 3101 from: (a) the March 6, 2007 decision of the Zoning

Administrator to deny a building permit application for revisions to an existing building permit allowing for the reconstruction of collapsed walls for a single-family dwelling with addition and a conversion to an 11 unit apartment building in the R-4 District; and (b) the July 20, 2007 Notice from DCRA to Revoke Building Permit No. B477039 and Emergency Demolition Permit No. B478240; at premises 1233 Morse Street, N.E. (Square 4069, Lot 130).

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the foregoing reasons, the Appellant's Motion must be GRANTED and the October 2, 2007 hearing should proceed on the Appeal of the March 6, 2007 Zoning Letter and July 20, 2007 Notice to Revoke Permits.

Respectfully_submitted,

GREENS VEIN DELORME & LUCHS, P.C.

John Patrick Brown, Jr, # 417566 Stephanie A. Baldwin, # 463370 1620 L Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 452-1400

Counsel for Appellant – 1231 Morse Street

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Notice of Related Appeal and Motion to Amend Pending Appeal to Incorporate Directly Related Revocation of Permits by DCRA was served by first-class mail, this day of August 2007, upon the following:

Jill Stern, Esq.
General Counsel
Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
941 North Capitol Street, N.E.
Room 9400
Washington, D.C. 20002

Doris Parker-Woolridge, Esq. Assistant General Counsel Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs 941 North Capitol Street, N.E. Room 9400 Washington D.C. 20002

Mr. William Shelton Chairperson Advisory Neighborhood Commission 5B01 1437 Montana Ave., N.E. Washington, DC 20018

Ms. Elise Bernard Advisory Neighborhood Commission 5B08 1220 Florida Ave., N.E.

Washington, DC 20002

John Patrick Brown, Jr.

319501

EXHIBIT A

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS OFFICE OF THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR



VIA PERSONAL SERVICE, U.S. FIRST CLASS MAIL, and U.S. FIRST CLASS CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Paul Henry, Registered Agent 1625 Morris Road, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20020

1231 Morse Street, Inc. 1405 H Street, N.E Washington, DC 20002

1231 Morse Street, Inc. 3203 Shortridge Lane Bowie, MD 20721-2574

Taiwo Demuren 3203 Shortridge Lane Mitchellville, MD 20721

Dear Mr. Demuren:

The District of Columbia Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs ("District") reviewed your January 19, 2007, building permit application for a revision to Building Permit B477039 for the premises at 1233 Morse Street, N.E. Your revised application was to "reconstruct collapsed walls of an existing structure".

The District's February 27, 2006 inspection revealed that the existing structure had been razed. Once an existing structure has been razed, it can no longer be considered a reconstructed building. Based on the razing of the property, the District determined that your application violates the Zoning Regulations, specifically 11 DCMR 330.5(c)¹. Furthermore, without an existing structure, there can be no conversion to an apartment building in the R-4 (Residential) District pursuant to 11 DCMR 330.5(c)).

¹ 11 DCMR 330.5 provides in part: [T]he following uses shall be permitted as a matter of right in an R-4 District: .. (c) The conversion of a building or other structure existing before May 12, 1958, to an apartment house as limited by 350.4 (c) and 401.3.

In addition, the plans submitted with your application do not reflect the original structure and collapsed walls that are proposed for reconstruction. The plans simply show the new walls of the new structure *shaded* in various tones to give the appearance of reconstructed collapsed walls. Since the plans submitted misrepresent the existing structure, the District cannot approve the zoning section of your application because it violates Title 11, Chapter 3 of the Zoning Regulations of the District of Columbia.

RIGHT TO APPEAL

You have a right to appeal this decision made by the Zoning Administrator within sixty (60) days to the Board of Zoning Adjustment (BZA) pursuant to 11 DCMR 3112.2 (a). The BZA is located at 441-4th Street, N.W., Suite 210S, Washington, D.C. 20001.

If you have any questions, you may contact the Zoning Administrator at (202) 442-4576.

03.06.07

Date

Zoning Administrator

PRE-E	ILE NUMBERS	ZONING DISTRICT	FILE NUMBER	PERMIT	NUMBER
N.C.P.C. No:	O.G. No:	2 4	102950		By:
I.P.A. No:	S.L. No:	Ward No:	Receipt No:	Date:	Receipt No:
GOVERNA OF THE DI OF COLU BLRA-33 Rev. 2/04) LEARANCE TO FILE Y Date Address of Propose 1232 Owner of Building	APPLICATION (PRINT I) Erasing, cro (A) ALL APPL ed Work: MORSE ST,	ND LAND REGULATION Tel 202-442-4 N FOR CONSTRUCT N INK OR TYPE, DO NOT N SSING OUT, WHITING OUT, OR C ICANTS MUST St N E 6 Address (include 3 2 c 3 S ftc	Zip Code) PRIRIDGE LANG	ATE PROPERTY ON PAGE 4) D INFORMATION WILL VOID I. THRU 27 Square 0 9 4. Applica 0 9 7 Phone 246	tion Date
Agent for Owner:	d Work (check all applicable Reta	9. Address (include boxes)		10. Phone	5.01.
Raze Buildin 2. Description of Pr REVISION COLLAPSI	oposed Work	THB477 FEXISTING	O34-9/6/03	RECONST PER PL	778 240 EUCT
D4770 3 Existing Use(s) o SINGLE	39-9/0/05- f Building/or Property FAMILY DWG		Ex. No of 15 E	x No of welling Units	Official Use Opt 4 Miscellaneous FEB
	of Building or Property HOUSE	-11 Units 3		rop. No of By: welling Units	Date:
9 Starting Date AS AP	20 Completion Date of work To B, D.	21 Method of Remo [] Pick-up Trucl [] Other (specify	ý)	Does the proposed involve disturbin or razing a buildin [] Yes, answer q No. SKIP q. 2	g the earth ng? . 23 3-27
3. Is the area of disearth more than Yes, answe No, SKIP q	50 sq. ft? r q. 24-25	Control Methods	25. Area of Offsite Drainage	26. No of Footings or Columns	27 Size of Footings or Columns
ALW	AYS SIGN THE APPLICATIO	N ON PAGE 3 (SECTION))	OFFICIAL USE	ONLY
Complete Section Complete Section D Complete Section E t Complete Section F t Complete Section G f	the proposed work is new wilding the proposed work is razing a but the proposed work is a retaining the proposed work is a fence. (Put the proposed work is a shed/garacthe proposed work is a sign. (In g	din (Page 2) valle (Page 2) te I e. Page 3) Pale 3)	M P E F S		W Wes © No PLANS D N

					Page 2			POBasas			Factor		The second second
	B) NEW BUI	LDII	NG, ADDITIO)N, & AI	JER/	TION (COMPL	ETE I	TEMS 2	28 THRU	J 60)		
28. Architect's Name:		29. I	D.C. Lic. No.:	30. Arc	hitect's	Address:	(include Z	ip Code	?)			31	. Phone:
32. Engineer's Name:		33. I	D.C. Lic. No.: 34. Engineer's Address: (include Zip Code)						35	. Phone			
36. Building Contractor'	s Name:	36A	. D.C. Lic. No.	37. Cor	itractor'	s Address	3					38	. Phone:
39. Type of Construction ☐ Masonry ☐ Steel ☐ Wood ☐ Other	☐ Fully Sp☐ Partially	rinkle Sprir	red Standaklered None		em	II. Boos Pump New	•	42. To Area	tal Lot	a. building	: 100 %) 3		9/
☐ Concrete	□ Other					Exist			sq. ft.	b. paved a c. greener			9/
44. Present Gross Floor A Bldg.		Propos of Bld	g.	Area 46			ed in this portion			47. Pro	jection ? □ Yes	s, Answe	building er q. 48 -52 q. 48-52
48. Number and type of			49. Distance		tion:	50. Wid		51.	Width	of building			
						pro	ojection:	52.	Signatu	re of Owne	er (proj	ection o	ft. nly):
53. Water or Sewer Excavation? Yes	54. Driveway Construct ☐ Yes		55. Sheeting Necessar	_		Elevators Yes, ansv	Involved?	57.	No and elevato	• •	E	ngineer?	tified by
□ No	□ No			lo		No	•					No	
59. Estimated Cost of W	Vork						FICIAL U						
(a) New/Add.: \$ (b) Alt/ Repair \$			Alter/Repair FEE		ew Con	st. FEE	\$	Filin	g Fee	\$			IIT FEE
Total \$	760	Ву		By:		Date:	By:		Date:	Ву	Ľ		Date: i
60. Volume of New Bld	lg. or Addition cubic ft.		119	Dy.		Date.	Joy.		Date.		MS		1/19/07
	(C) R2	\ZT	NG A BUIL	DING	(CON	1PLET	EITE	AS 61	THR	U 83)			
61. Raze Contractor's N	ame:		62. Contr	ractor's Ac	ldress:	(include I	Zip Code)		63.	Phone:			
64. Insurance Company		65.	Policy or Cert.	Number	66. 1	Expiration	n Date	67. I	Raze Met	hod			-
68. Building Material	69. Raze Enti Building? □ Yes □ No		70. Building Condemned Yes No	d?	Buildin Vacant' Yes No		Public Sp Vault? Yes	ace 7			- 1	73. Size Connecti	of Water on in.
74. Plumber's Name:	Li 140	,	75. D.C. Lic. No			77.	Width	78. H		79. Vol	ume 8	80. Part	y Wall? Yes No
					ft.		ft.		ft.		ft.	lu .	NO
81. Asbestos in the Buil		ľ	Raze Contracto		e					OFFIC FEE	IAL U By:		Date:
		83.	Owner's Signa	ture	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				\$				
(D) RETA						7. *	р	arking	required l	by D.C. Zoi	ning Reg	ulations	ble
84. Cost of Work \$	85. Mater	nal:		86. Height	87	7. Color		ocation arty Lin		intirely on djacent Ne			*
		e own	er of the adjoining	T-1-			ection of the	retaining	g wall and	this applica	tion		
89. Signature of Adjoini	ng Owner:			90: Pho	one: Ho Wo	ome ork			OFFICIAL USE ONL			NLŸ	
91. Address of Adjoining	g Owner:			92. Lo			3. Square:		\$	FEE	By:		Date:

BLRA 33C

CONTRACT AGREEMENT

Name of Contractor/Owner 1231 MORSE STRAFF Enc	Contractor's Licens	se No
Address of Contractor/Owner 3203 SHORTRIDGE LN, N) ITCHELLUILLE, MD	AU Date: 01 16/07
ADDRESS OF PROPOSED WORK 1233 MORSE ST.	NEG	LOT: SQUARE:
OWNER OF BUILDING OR BUSINESS: 1231 MORSE STREET	, INC	PHONE No:
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORK: REVISION TO PER RECONSTRUCT COLLAPSED WALLS OF ED PLANS. NO OTHER CHANGES TO PREVI	LMIT # KISTING STRY ONALY APPROVI	TURK, PER EN FLAMS.
COST ESTIMATE		
CONSTRUCTION e.g drywall, ceilings, framing, carpentry etc	\$ 10,000	
ELECTRICAL	\$	
MECHANICAL	\$	
PLUMBING	\$	
FIRE PROTECTION e.g sprinkler system, fire alarm system, generator etc.	\$	
DEMOLITION	\$	
MISC/OTHER (please specify)	\$	
TOTAL	\$ 0 100 100.0	
The labor and material costs of counter tops, kitchen cabinets, floor coverings, tile work, caulking, p gutters and downspouts, not more than 160 square feet of gypsum board shall not be included in the the 1999 D.C Building Supplement Chapter 1 Section 107.3.	atching and plaster repair, painting cost estimate for permitting purpos	other than fire retardant paint, ses. The entire list can be seen in
The foregoing terms, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and hereby agreed to. You are au amount as outlined. Upon signing this agreement, the owner represents and warrants that he or she is premises and that he or she has read this agreement.		
CONTRACTOR TAINS DE	modpern Date: 01	116/07
OWNER OF BUILDING/BUSINESS Signature & print	Date:_	
Upon signing this document, the owner and contractor declare that the cost of construction as specific their knowledge	ied above for the referenced projec	et is true and correct to the best of

Please fill out this agreement form in accordance with D.C Construction Code Supplement 1999, Chapter 1 Section 112.1.

OFFICE OF THE SURVEYOR Plat for Building Permit of: SQUARE 4089 SITE PER SUB

2 7 790

BK 199 78 45 15907 Z1108 Receipt No. TAIWO DEMUREN ву: В.О.М. er or his authorized agent) e in accuis not necessar.

12 3 3 - MORSE

STREET,

N.E. ent and Yaxation Lots or Percels are in accordance with the records of the Department of Finence. Assessment Administration, and do not necessarily agree with deed description. lot Mea: *34 Shi OTING Estable with eripio PUBLIC AAH KOIBIVIGEUB A BEEN ORDERED TO CREATE A LOT OF RECORD.

11/23/04

Ġ

Hinned D.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER & REGULATORY AFFAIRS Building and Land Regulation Administration

ENV	RONM	ENTAL	. INTAKE FORM
Project Name:			Project Address: Lot: Square:
		1,	233 MORSE ST, NE
		'	LOD MORSE DI, ME
Filed Job Application # (if applicable):		لال	Project Description: RENIS ION TO PFRMITH OR RECOMSTRUCT COLLAPSED WALLS OF EXIST MUTURE PER PLANS HO OTHER CHANGE TO PRIVIOUSLY APPROVED PLANS DITTO 16/07 Date: DITTO 18/10 MITCHELLUILLE, MD 20721. 832-4
		12	O RECOMSTRUCT COLLAPSED WALLS OF EXIST
			TRUCTURE, PER PLANS, HO OTHER CHANGE TO
Owner 1931 MARGE STOP		Tale	PRIVIDURE MYROVED PLANS 116/07
Owner: 1231 11(0)232 3120	<u> </u>	776	Date:
Owner's address and phone # 3203	SHOR	TRIDA	GE LN. MITCHELLUILLE MD 20721 622. 4
ovillo. o dudi ooo dila pilono ii. <u>sers se</u>			652 (
Authorized agent:			
Address and Phone # of authorized ager	nt:		
	r		<u> </u>
Scope of the Project	Yes	No	Instructions
1, Is this a solid waste facility?			If yes, see EIS Coordinator
2. Does the owner of this site own			If yes, submit a site plan to EIS Coordinator, DCRA.
adjacent or abutting property?	Į		If no plan exists, submit written explanation to EIS Coordinator,
2 Dans No. over a filhir aita alan ta		 	DCRA.
3. Does the owner of this site plan to acquire property that is adjacent to or			If yes, submit a site plan to EIS Coordinator, DCRA. If no plan exists, submit written explanation to EIS Coordinator,
abutting this site within the next three			DCRA.
years?	[\	DONA.
	 	 	If yes, submit a site plan to EIS Coordinator, DCRA.
4. Is additional activity planned by the		1	If no plan exists, submit written explanation to EIS Coordinator,
applicant for this site or any site within	į		DCRA.
the same square during the next three	1		
years that requires a permit?		ļ	
5. Is this a residential project within the			If yes, skip to signature line.
R-1 through R-5-A zoning districts?	 	 	If we also to a famous trans
6. Is this project a single-family residence			If yes, skip to signature line.
that is not in conjunction with the building of two or more units?	İ		
7. Is this project an accessory structure	 	 	If yes, skip to signature line.
such as a garage, patio, swimming pool			in yes, skip to signature line.
or fence?		1	
8. Is the project solely an interior	 		
renovation with no change in the use or		1	If yes, skip to signature line.
capacity of the structure being			
renovated?		L	
9. Is the project located in an			
Economic Development Zone, as defined			If yes, skip to signature line.
in DC Code 5-1401 et seg (DC Law 7-		1	
177)?		ļ	
10. Is the project located within the			
Central Employment Area as defined in			If yes, skip to signature line.
DC Zoning Regulations? (Title 11DCMR)	 		
11. Does the project involve only the			If yes, skip to signature line.
operation, repair, maintenance, or minor alteration of public structures, facilities,			
mechanical equipment, or topographical			
features and involve negligible or no	1	1	
expansion of use beyond that previously			
existing?			
12. Has an Environmental Impact	 	 	If yes, submit documentation to EIS Coordinator, DCRA.
Statement (EIS) been prepared pursuant			11 yes, samin documentation to 210 doctamator, botty.
to the National Environmental Policy Act			
of 1969 (NEPA), or a determination			
made that no EIS is needed, or has the		(
functional equivalent of an EIS been			
prepared?			

-OVER-

Scope of the Project	Yes	No	Instructions
13. Is an exemption claimed from the requirement to submit an Environmental Impact Screening Form pursuant to Title	·		If yes, describe on an attachment and submit to EIS Coordinator, DCRA.
20, Sec. 7202 that is not referred to in this form? If yes, describe the exemption and cite the relevant section of the			
regulations on an attachment.			
Does the total cost of the project exceed \$1.51 million, including site preparation and construction?			If yes, and no exemption claimed, Environmental Impact Screening Form (EISF) required.
15. Is the cost of this project \$1.51 million or less? If yes, please answer all of the following questions:			If yes, complete A through G.
A. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is identified as threatened or endangered?			If yes, EISF required.
B. Are any streams, lakes, ponds, springs or wetlands within 100 feet of the project site?			If yes, EISF required.
C. Will the project result in an emission of odorous or other air pollutants from any source, including VOCs?			If yes, EISF required.
D. Will this action involve the use, production or disposal of hazardous substances as defined in 20 DCMR 7299?			If yes, EISF required.
E. Will construction be on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet?			If yes, EISF required.
F. Will blasting occur as part of the project?			If yes, EISF required.
G. Will the project generate medical, infectious, radioactive or hazardous waste?			If yes, EISF required.

I certify that all of the statements on this application are true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I agree to comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the District of Columbia. The making of false statements on this application is punishable by criminal penalties. (DC Code Sec. 22-2514)

The state of the s	01/16/07
Signature of Owner/Authorized Agent	Date

Environmental Impact Screeni DCRA Reviewer_	
Comments	If yes, submit to EIS Coordinator Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs Building and Land Regulation Administration

NOTE: APPROVAL OF A BUILDING PERMIT IS NOT SYNONYMOUS WITH APPROVAL OF AN ACTION OR ENTIRE PROJECT UNDER THE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1989. IF THE APPLICANT BUILDS ON THE SAME, ADJACENT OR ABUTTING PROPERTY OR EXPANDS UPON THE WORK DESCRIBED IN THE INSTANT APPLICATION OR PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WITHIN THREE YEARS, AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SCREENING FORM MAY BE REQUIRED FOR THE ENTIRE PROJECT, INCLUDING THE PORTION ENCOMPASSED BY THIS APPLICATION AND PERMIT APPROVAL. FURTHER, IF THE ACTION IS IN VIOLATION OF ANY FEDERAL OR DISTRICT LAWS PERTAINING TO THE ENVIRONMENT, AN EISF CAN BE REQUIRED.

TO REPORT WASTE, FRAUD, OR ABUSE BY ANY DC GOVERNMENT OFFICE OR OFFICIAL, CALL THE INSPECTOR GENERAL ON 1-800-521-1639

9/2004

* * * GOVERNMENT
OF THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA
FORM BLAA-15

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS BUILDING AND LAND REGULATION ADMINISTRATION

IG PERMIT

PERMIT NO. B477039

	(Rev. 9/30/91	BUILDING PERMIT	•	PENMIT NO.	DATE OF	<i>)</i>
-	Date 9/6/05	(Type) ADDITI 1233 MORSE ST. NE.	ON	Lot 130	Square	4069
P	Permission is here			TOTAL PERMIT	FEE	\$
-		m the work described herein at the	(Owner)	FILING FEE		\$
E	address shown above in st stated on BOTH sides of the	rict accordance with the conditions its permit.	NO.	DATE FEE PAYAB	LE S	4305.00
:	Authorized work and condi	tions of performance thereof:		FOR INSPECTIO	NS CALL 727-7562	:
R		TO SFD / CONVERT TO S CAL, PLUMBING AND MEC	CHANICAL INSTALLA	TION PERMI	TS ARE REQ	UIRED.
M	Builder—			ue \$	No. of E	siags.
	Width of Bldgs.	Length	Height	No. of Stor	183 & CELL	AR
1	Zone R— Height of terrace ab	4. ove grade	To be occupied as Projections:	1-UNIT APT	*Chief, Permit Lesus	hce Branch
	Address of owner	·		7 2 5	12	
T	Deposit No.	Amount \$		By / We	1 Clark	<u> </u>
=	DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	MER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS			Permit Clerk	

PATRICK CANAVAN

E. KING



Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs Building and Land Regulation Administration

941 North Capitol Street N.E. Room 2100

Washington Ď.C. 20002

Tel:(202) 442-4470

Fax:(202) 442-4862

Building Permit

THIS PERMIT IS VALID ONLY FOR THE PREMISES OF THE PROJECT ADDRESS

PERMIL NO.	B47824U		ASUMEr	2	DA)E: 12/14/0
ADDRESS OF I	PROJECT:	s	SSL: SQ:	4069 _{SX} .	LOT: 130

ADDRESS OF PROJECT: 1233 MORSE ST. NE.	SSL	SQ: 4069 WARD:5	ZONE	LOT: 130 R4
DESCRIPTION OF WORK				
PERMIT TYPE: PL	ANS (Y/N): EXIS	TING USE: SPD	PROPOS	SED USE:
PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRAN OWNER: 1233 MORS	ITED TO SE ST. NE. IN	C. PERMIT	FEET 890.00	granding to the state of the st
AGENT NAME:				
CONDITIONS / RESTRICTIONS:				
TO REPORT WASTE, FRAUD OR ABUS 1-800-521-1639:	SE BY ANY U.C. GOVER	TIMENI OFFICIAL, C	ALLUME D.C. INSI	-ECTOR GENERAL AT
DIRECTOR:	PERMIT	CLERK:	EXPIRAT	ION DATE:

CONDITIONS: As a condition precedent to the issuance of this permit, the owner agrees to conform with all conditions set forth herein, and to perform the work authorized hereby in accordance with the approved application and plans on file with the District Government and in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations of the District of Columbia. The District of Columbia has the right to enter upon the property and to inspect all the work authorized by this permit and to require any change in construction which may be necessary to insure compliance with the permit and with all the applicable regulations of the District of Columbia. Work authorized under the Permit must start within one (1) year of the date appearing on this permit or this permit is automatically yold. work is not started, any application for partial refund must be made within six months of the date appearing on this permit.

THIS PERMIT MUST ALWAYS BE CONSPICUOUSLY DISPLAYED AT ADDRESS OF WORK UNTIL WORK IS COMPLETED NOTIFY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR THE DAY THE WORK STARTS PHONE (202)442-4641 941 NORTH

Dr. Patrick Canavan, Psy. D.



Page 3

	(E) FE	NCI	E (COMP).	∠CE.TT	EMS	94 TH	RU 102)			ot obstruct any L. Zoning Regu		parking		
94. Material an	d type:		95	. Height ft.	96. Co	olor:			97. Loca	ntion: ☐ Entire	ly on Ow			d *
		* If pa	arty fence, the ow	mer of the ad	ljoining p	roperty mu	ist agree to th	e erecti	on of the fe	ence and this app	dication			
98. Signature o	f Adjoining	Owner:	:		99.	Phone:	Work Home				OFFICIA	L USE (FEE	ONLY	
					101	Lot		Square		\$				
100. Address of	Adjoining ()wner:						- 1		Ву:		Date	:	
		(I	F) SHED C	R GAR	AGE.	(COM	PLETE	ete)	45 103	THRÚ 11	3)			
103. Number	104. Len	gth: ft.	105. Width ft.	106. Area	l l	Height ft.	108. Volu	me cu.ft	109. Est. \$	Cost of Work	OI		L USE O FEE	INLY
110. Material of	Roof 11	1. Mat	erial of Sides	1	ernal () inches			113. Co	lor	S By:		Date:	
	gentagni			☐ Pai	ty () inches	S Anna ann ann ann						Charles Affect	
			(G) AV	WNING	(CON	IPLE T	E ITEN	IS 11	4 THR	IU 123)				
114. Number:	115. Color	- 1	6. Type:		Projection					nt of Lowest Pa	urt (OFFICI	AL USE	ONLY
			Folding Fixed		nd bldg. nd pt of a		ir ir		of aw	ning	1		FEE	
119. Material	120. Mate	-	121. Letteri	ng 122. I	ixed	123. 0	Over Side-	(a		ft above sidew ft above parkir				
of Frame	Covering		on awning? ☐ Yes ☐ No		Posts? Yes Vo	walk of Yes □ No		(0		ft above grade	By	<i>y</i> :	Da	te:
onto gradaca destra l'illimi di	est en la Mondia de Canada	satema fa			···	1110	54.4		7 7 7 7 7	*				energy and
			(H)	SIGN (COMI	LETE	ITEMS	124	THRU	144				
124. Number	125. Elec				Type:	127	. Power	12	8. Electri	cal Contractor	141 14 14 14 14 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		<u> 10.00 - 10.000,0</u>
	☐ Yes, ans ☐ No. Sk			1	candes. VA									
		q·	120 102	□Ne				Lie	cense Nur	mber:				
129. Address of	f Electrical C	ontrac	tor (include Zip)	130. S	lignature o	of Licensed	Electri	ician	131. Phor	e No.	1:	32. Licen	se No.
133. Height rela	ative to build	ing an	d ground		134. N	Material o	f Sign		13	5. Type of Sig	n ·	136.	Color	
(a)	_ft	in abov	ve sidewalk											
(b)			ve roof uilding height		ļ									
(c) (d)	ft	in abov	ve projection of		137. V	Vidth	138. Le	ength	139.	Area of Sign		1	Wide of E frontage	3usiness
(e)	_ft	in from	roof to sign's	bottom		ft. ft			ft. sq. ft			ft.		
141. C of O No	o for Bldg.	14	2. Sign Contra	ector:	<u> </u>					OFFIC	IAL USI	E ONL	Y	
	-								Sign FI		Elect. FI			al FEE
143. Sign Cont	tractor's Ado		cense No.		144	. Phone:	·	\$		\$			\$	
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l								Ву	/: D	Pate: By:	Ī	Date;	By:	Date:
				(I) A	PPLI	CANT	'S SIGN	ATU	RE					
			am the owner of											
Columbia.			on will conform to	/			_				_			
Signature of Owr	ner		10 V/			Ado	dress $\frac{320}{200}$	3 5	HORI	PIDGE L	<u> </u>	Dat	e	
my knowled	dge. The own	er has as	nave the authority ssured me that if gulations of the D	a permit (or	permits) i	this applic is issued, th	P)11 cation. I decl	CHEA are that on will c	the application form to	tion and plans a the D.C. Constru	10 T3 re complet action Cod	d e and cores, the Ze	rect to the oning Regi	best of ulations,
Signature of Age	nt					Add	iress				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date	e	

(J) APPROVALS (_{age 4} N THIS PAGE; OFFICIAL USE ON	LV):
A. PERMIT CONTR	OL	C. PLANS AND APPLICATION	APPROVAL
1. Fine Arts by: 2. Historic by:	Date:Date:	1. Information Counter by: 2. Information Center by:	Date: Date:
 □ 3. Cap. Gateway by: □ 4. NCPC: □ 5. W.H./Obs. Precinct by: 	Date:	□ □ (a) ABRA by:	Date:
☐ 6. Flood Control by:	Date:	(d) Vector Control by: (e) D.C. Animal by:	
9. Rental Accom. by: 10. Chinatown Distr. by: 11. Utility Clearance by:	Date: Date:	Denig Class approval by:	Date:
12. General Liability Ins. Policy Clearance by:		4. DDOT – Permit and Records Division/D Sidewalk Deposit \$ Drivew by	eposit # vay Deposit \$
B. CLEARANCE TO FIL		5. Water/Sewer Design Branch Consumer Eng. by: 6. Environmental Regulation Administratio	Date
1. Zoning by: 2. DDOT – Permit and Records Division	*	Environmental Policy Review Control No. by	
Access to Parking Street Street		© Erosion Control by: © Storm Water Mgmt. by: Plan No	Date
3. DDOT – Consumer Engineer		☐ Air Quality by: ☐ Underground Storage by:	Date Date
Cleared by: 4. ERA – Erosion Control	Date:	7. Mechanical Eng. Review by: 8. Plumbing Eng. Review by 9. Electrical Eng. Review by: 10. Health Plan Review	Date
Cleared by:	Date:	(a) Food Plan Review by: (b) Medical X-Ray Plan Rev. by:	Date
Restrictions of the Permit:	A BY	11. Fire Protection Plan Review by:	
Bur New	503.05.09		Date Date Date
TO REPORT WASTE OR ABUSE BY ANY D.C.	GOVERNMENT	by: 16. Historic Pres. Div. by: 17 EISF: 18. Structural Eng. by: 19. Permit and Certificate Issuance Counter by:	Date Date Date Date Date
OFFICIAL, CALL THE D. GENERAL AT 1-800		Di 20. QC By:	Date 19/07
ZON	IING (memor	DDOT-PUBL	IC SPACE
C of O Number Existing Use(s) Proposed Use	Participation (2)	New Bldg P.O.D. Street Name: Street Width: Road Width:	
1500 to 17039	1824	Sidewalk Width:	
TO NOT WENT TO BE TO SEE	lo PUD Ord		

EXHIBIT B

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Licensing & Permitting Division Permit Operations



VIA PERSONAL SERVICE AND U.S. FIRST CLASS MAIL

Paul Henry, Registered Agent 1231 Morse Street, Inc. 1625 Morris Road, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20020

1231 Morse Street, Inc. 1405 H Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002

1231 Morse Street, Inc. 3203 Shortridge Lane Mitchellville, MD 20721

Taiwo Demuren 3203 Shortridge Lane Mitchellville, MD 20721

Roc'd 7-20-07

NOTICE TO REVOKE BUILDING PERMIT NUMBER B477039 AND EMERGENCY DEMOLITION PERMIT NUMBER B478240.

This is an official notice from the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs ("District") that Building Permit Number B477039 and Emergency Demolition Permit Number B478240 are revoked effective ten (10) days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) from the date of service of this Notice. This action is taken pursuant to Title 12A of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR) § 105.6(1), which authorizes the Director to revoke a permit "[w]here there is a false statement or misrepresentation of fact, or other significant inaccuracy, in the application or on the plans on which a permit or approval was based" and 12A DCMR § 105.6(6), which authorizes the Director to revoke a permit that was "issued in error."

On September 6, 2005, the District issued Building Permit Number B477039 to you to construct an addition to an existing single family dwelling and convert that single family dwelling to an eleven unit apartment building at 1233 Morse Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. in compliance with Chapter 3, Title 11 of the Zoning Regulations. This structure is located in an R-4 district. Your permit application specifically stated your intent to construct the apartment building as an addition to an existing structure, and the plan that

you submitted with your application included the existing single family dwelling that was to be converted into the eleven-unit apartment building.

On February 27, 2006, the District conducted an inspection at 1233 Morse Street, N.E., Washington, DC. The inspection revealed that the single family dwelling had been razed without a permit, and that you had commenced constructing an eleven-unit apartment building without a proper building permit, in violation of 12A DCMR § 105.1(1) and 105.1.4(1). The building permit only authorized conversion of the existing building to an eleven-unit apartment building, not the construction of a new building. Therefore, your construction activity was outside the scope of your permit in violation of 12A DCMR § 105.1.

On March 6, 2007, the Zoning Administrator notified you that, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 330.5(c), there can be no conversion of a building or structure to an apartment building in an R-4 Residential District without an existing structure.² Once the existing structure has been razed, no conversion can occur.

Your permit application clearly expressed your intent to construct the apartment building as an addition to an existing structure. Your expressed intent was an essential element of the District's approval of your application. Had you indicated on your application that you planned to raze the existing structure and construct a new one; the District would have denied your application because the construction would have been in violation of the Zoning Regulations. Your building permit application contained a "false statement or misrepresentation of fact, or other significant inaccuracy . . . that substantively affected DCRA's approval" of the application. Therefore, Building Permit Number B477039 must be revoked. Additionally, based on your violation of the zoning regulations, the District has concluded that it issued the permit "in error," 12A DCMR § 105.6(6), and, on this independent ground, the District must revoke Building Permit Number B477039.

On December 14, 2005, you obtained Emergency Demolition Permit Number B478240 to *demolish a wall* of the same single family dwelling that you razed without a raze permit prior to the February 27, 2006 inspection. Razing the building was outside of the scope of your demolition permit and in violation of 12A DCMR § 105.1.7. Furthermore, your statement that you intended only to demolish one wall of the single family dwelling did not accurately represent your plans. Your demolition permit application contained a "false statement or misrepresentation of fact, or other significant inaccuracy . . . that substantively affected the approval" of the application, therefore, Emergency Demolition Permit Number B478240 must be revoked.⁵

¹ 12A DCMR §§ 105.1.7 and 105.1.7.1 provides that "[a] raze permit is required to secure the right to remove a building or structure down to the ground."

² 11 DCMR § 330.5(c) provides that "[t]he following uses shall be permitted as a matter of right in an R-4 District: . . . The conversion of a building or other structure existing before May 12, 1958, to an apartment house as limited by §§ 350.4(c) and 401.3"

³ 12A DCMR § 105.6(1).

⁴ "A raze permit is required to secure the right to remove a building or structure down to the ground." ⁵ 12A DCMR § 105.6(1).

Finally, plumbing inspections must be "performed before installation of any concealing materials." DCRA's February, 2006 inspection also revealed that you engaged in plumbing work without a permit and without the required inspections during the construction of this structure, in violation of 12A DCMR §§ 105.1.16, 109.3 and 109.3.8.1.

As a result of the foregoing, the District has concluded that Permits Numbers B477039 and B478240 must be revoked.

RIGHT TO APPEAL

You have the right to appeal the revocation of your building permit within ten (10) days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) of receipt of this notice to the Office of Administrative Hearings⁷. Should you wish to appeal the District's decision, your appeal must be mailed to the Office of Administrative Hearings at P.O. Box 77718, Washington, DC 20002. Alternatively, you may hand-deliver your appeal for a hearing to the Office of Administrative Hearings at 941 North Capitol Street, NE, Suite 9100, Washington, DC 20002.

If you waive your right to a hearing by failing to request one within the time and manner specified in this notice, the revocation will immediately become final without further written notice.

Any questions about this notice may be directed to the Office of Licensing and Permits at (202) 442-4541.

7-19-07

Lennox Douglas

Acting Deputy Director for Licensing

and Permits

⁶ 12A DCMR § 109.3.8.1.

⁷ "Revocations . . . are proposed actions and shall become final . . . if the respondent's right to a hearing is waived by failing to request a hearing within ten (10) business days of receipt of the proposed order; or . . . as the result of a hearing requested by the respondent pursuant to Section 105.6.4." 12A DCMR § 105.6.1(1).