Form 140
(Revised 03/15/02)

Case No. 17327

PARTY STATUS APPLICATION

Notice: Click Here for Application Form Instructions

Name:*	Amy Monk and David B. Monk						
Address:*	3509 S Street NW	**City:***	Washington	**State:***	DC	**Zip:***	20007
Phone:*	(202) 333-2350	**Fax:**		**Email:**	dbmonk49@yahoo.com		
I, hereby request to appear and participate as a party.		**Signature:**		**Date:***	May 18, 2005		

Will you appear as a(n)	☐	**Proponent**	☑	**Opponent**	**Will you appear through legal counsel**	☐	**Yes**	☑ **No**

If yes, please enter the name and address of such legal counsel.

Name:							
Address:		**City:**		**State:**		**Zip:**	
Phone:		**Fax:**		**Email:**			

Please answer all of the following questions referencing why the above person should be granted party status.
(If you require additional space, please attach an additional sheet.)

1). How will the property owned or occupied by such person, or in which the person has an interest be affected by the action requested of the Board?*

See attachment.

2). What legal interest does the person have in the property? (i.e. owner, tenant, trustee, or mortgagee)*

We are the owners of 3509 S Street NW. On May 27, 2005, we expect to conclude the sale of this property to Momina Aijazuddin of 2500 Q Street NW.

3). What is the distance between the person's property and the property that is the subject of the appeal or application before the Board? (Preferably no farther than 200ft.)*

Zero feet. The rear boundary of the property that is the subject of the application directly abuts our property.

4). What are the environmental, economic or social impacts that are likely to affect the person and/or the person's property if the action requested of the Board is approved or denied?*

The new addition is several times larger than the original house, and projects much further back in the lot. It intrusively dominates the view from the room where we spend most of our time and from our bakyard, especially from November to May when trees are bare.

5). Describe any other relevant matters that demonstrate how the person will likely be affected or aggrieved if the action requested of the Board is

BZA
Case No. 17327
Exhibit No. 28

Received D.C. Board of Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 17327
EXHIBIT NO. 28

approved or denied.*

See attachment.

6). Explain how the person's interest will be more significantly, distinctively, or uniquely affected in character or kind by the proposed zoning action than that of other person's in the general public.*

See attachment.

Print Preview | Clear Form

Amy and David Monk
3509 S Street NW
Washington, DC 20007

Form 140, application number 17327, continued.

1) Our property is immediately adjacent to 1812 35th Street, with a clear view of the new addition. The addition is so large that it dominates the view from our property and other nearby properties. Both during construction and after completion, the project is and will be disruptive and not compatible with the character of the neighborhood.

5) Heavy construction vehicles crossed our property many times to enter the work site. These vehicles tore up cement sidewalks on our property and at 3511 S Street, which the builders later repaired. They failed to provide for erosion control as required by DC regulations, resulting in massive mudflows across our driveway and into the alley.

6) The new addition is conspicuously larger than all the adjacent and nearby houses. It disrupts the character of the block, and may have a detrimental effect on the value of surrounding properties.

The owner of 1812 35th Street asked our permission to cross our property freely to park a vehicle in the rear of her lot; we denied this request for free access, but later gave temporary permission for limited access for construction purposes until February 28, 2005. Since that date, we have not seen construction vehicles cross our property, but the builders have occasionally parked trucks in the alley and carried building materials across our property to the work site without asking our permission. On one occasion they asked us to move our car from our parking space to give them access to the work site. Continuation of the project is likely to result in further unauthorized intrusion on our property.