

6/22/06 11:44 AM

To:

Jerrily R. Kress, Director, Office of Zoning
FAX 202 727 6072

From: Norma Zane Chaplain, 1721 Riggs Place, NW

RE: **Application No. 17319-A**

William McKeever, 1723 Riggs Place, NW, Applicant

This is a copy of the e-mail I sent Mr. McKeever this morning. Please tell me if there is anything more I can do:

Your letter dated June 14 was delivered to me on the afternoon of June 21.

In this letter you state, "the planned deck will not physically touch your property." This is quite different from the March 13 version, "all structural work will not involve the party wall that separates our two properties." As such, it is not acceptable.

As I have said, that wall is 110 years old. I do not know its condition and what damage pounding spikes into it might cause.

I think the first version was the Office of Zoning's requirement. At the 2005 hearing, plans to cantilever the addition were mentioned three times so I never had considered the wall.

You pointed out in your letter that you allowed me access to your property to repair your side of the outside party wall. It was necessary in order to keep the plaster on a wall in my basement apartment from disintegrating. Yes, I do appreciate it. Unless one of my workers has been there in the past two weeks, I shall need access once more to finish pointing what had been a large hole behind the stair rail on the eastern side of your basement.

After that, I shall expect you to be responsible for maintaining your side of the wall.

Sincerely,

Norma Zane Chaplain

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
District of Columbia

CASE NO. 17319-AEXHIBIT NO. 50

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 17319
EXHIBIT NO. 51

Application No. 1709A

6/22/06 11:44 AM

Your letter dated June 14 was delivered to me on the afternoon of June 21.

In this letter you state, "the planned deck will not physically touch your property." This is quite different from the March 13 version, "all structural work will not involve the party wall that separates our two properties." As such, it is not acceptable.

As I have said, that wall is 110 years old. I do not know its condition and what damage pounding spikes into it might cause.

I think the first version was the Office of Zoning's requirement. At the 2005 hearing, plans to cantilever the addition were mentioned three times so I never had considered the wall.

You pointed out in your letter that you allowed me access to your property to repair your side of the outside party wall. It was necessary in order to keep the plaster on a wall in my basement apartment from disintegrating. Yes, I do appreciate it. Unless one of my workers has been there in the past two weeks, I shall need access once more to finish pointing what had been a large hole behind the stair rail on the eastern side of your basement.

After that, I shall expect you to be responsible for maintaining your side of the wall.

Sincerely,

Norma Zane Chaplain
1721 Rigg St NW
DC 20009
202-234-2880

E mail sent this morning To
Wm. McKeever
1723 Rigg St NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20009
Case # 17319-A