Katherine Marshall
3208 Highland Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

RECEIVED
D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING
2003 JAN 30 PM 1:03

I request that the Board of Zoning Adjustment waive its 14 day filing requirement and accept my request for party status in BZA Application No. 16970 regarding the National Child Research Center. As I have stated in the attached application, I have lived across the street from the main entrance to NCRC for 44 years and I fully support their application.

BZA
Case No. 16970
Exhibit No. 91

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.16970
EXHIBIT NO.91

Form 140
(Revised 03/15/02)

Case No. 16970

PARTY STATUS APPLICATION

Notice: See other side of party status form for instructions.

NAME: Last	First	Middle I.
Marshall	Katharine	Lee

ADDRESS: Street	Apt. # (if any)	City	State	Zip Code
3208 Highland Place, NW		Washington	DC	20008

Phone No.	Fax No.	E-Mail
(202) 363-3069	same	

Signature: Katharine Lee Marshall Date: 1/29/03

Will you appear as a(n) [X] Proponent [ ] Opponent Will you appear through legal counsel [ ] Yes [X] No

If yes, please enter the name and address of such legal counsel.

NAME: Last	First	Middle I.

ADDRESS: Street	Ste. # (if any)	City	State	Zip Code

Phone No.	Fax No.	E-Mail

RECEIVED
JAN 30 PM 1:01
OFFICE OF ZONING

Please answer all of the following questions referencing why the above person should be granted party status.
(If you require additional space, please attach an additional sheet.)

1). How will the property owned or occupied by such person, or in which the person has an interest be affected by the action requested of the Board?
I foresee no problems. NCRC was already here and well established when my family came to Highland Place 44 years ago. My children went elsewhere to school, but NCRC's very existence played a large role in defining this very special neighborhood. & still does.

2). What legal interest does the person have in the property? (i.e. owner, tenant, trustee, or mortgagee)
I am the owner — sole owner, since my husband died 2 years ago.

3). What is the distance between the person's property and the property that is the subject of the appeal or application before the Board? (Preferably no farther than 200ft.) My house is directly opposite NCRC's main entrance, which is not more than 50 feet away.

4). What are the environmental, economic or social impacts that are likely to affect the person and/or the person's property if the action requested of the Board is approved or denied? I think we are lucky that NCRC already considers itself part and parcel of this neighborhood. Why else would the director, staff and board members have tried so hard, and kept trying, and adjusted plans, and taken on as many tasks as an effort to be in accord with their neighbors? Two examples: (1) They've accepted, in writing, the cap of 10 additional students; (2) school

5). Describe any other relevant matters that demonstrate how the person will likely be affected or aggrieved if the action requested of the Board is approved or denied. Staff are out there on Highland Place every day, in good weather and bad, seeing to it the road is clear and traffic moves through quickly. I do believe NCRC wants more to improve than to enlarge. The school. And what could be more beneficial to the neighborhood and all our properties than an improved and still small NCRC?

6). Explain how the person's interest will be more significantly, distinctively, or uniquely affected in character or kind by the proposed zoning action than that of other person's in the general public.

If there were going to be ill effects from NCRC's having its way, I would be more affected, (& as much as) than anyone else I can think of. But, even after 44 years directly across the street, I don't see any such thing coming.