Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
[illegible]	3643 Tilden St. NW	3/17/02
Cliff Elgerter	3551 Tilden St NW	3/17/02
Cabell R. Ross	3523 TILDEN ST.	3/17/02
Jan H Davis	3509 Tilden St	3/17/02
Mark L. Schneider	3519 Tilden St NW	3/17/02
[illegible]	3927 Idaho Ave	3/17/02
[illegible]	3927 Idaho Ave	3/17/02

BZA
Case No. 16836
Exhibit No. 94

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.16836
EXHIBIT NO.94

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Esther Sternberg	3610 Upton St NW	March 17, 2002
Sharon Flank	3630 Upton St NW	17 March 02
Richard P. Kleeman	3642 Upton	3/17/02
Rosslyn S. Kleeman	3642 upton	3/17/02
[illegible]	3658 Upton St, N.W.	3/17/02
[illegible]	3670 Upton St NW	3/17/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Chuck Ludlam	4020 Reno	3/17
T D Butcher	3638 Upton	3/17
[illegible]	3650 Upton	3.17.
[illegible]	3654 Upton	3/17
Mark N. Brawin	4019 37th St. NW	3/17
[illegible]	4019 37 St NW	3/18

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Willard K. Mitchell	3921 Idaho Ave, NW	3/17/02
R Bell	3625 Tilden St NW	3/17/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Andrea Danzig	3670 Upton N.W.	3/17/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Ginny Kaseman	3619 Upton	3-17-02
[illegible]	3619 Upton	3-17-02
Martine Guegnard	3649 Upton	3.17.02
[illegible]	3685 Upton St	3-18-02
Nina Curtis	3685 Upton St	3/18/02
[illegible]	3661 Upton	3/18/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
[signature]	3701 Upton St	3/17/02
[signature]	"	3/17/02
[signature]	3677 Upton St	3/17/02
[signature]	3637 Upton St.	3/17/02
John M. Biddle II	3631 Upton	3/17/02
[signature]	3625 Upton St	3/17/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Imogen Ross	3662 Upton St.	March 18, 2002

Name Address Date

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
	3631 Van Ness St	3/18/02
	3630 Van Ness St NW	3-18-02
	3615 Idaho Ave NW	3/18/02
	3503 Quebec St, NW	3/18/02
	3505 Fulton St	3/18/02
	3726 Veazey	3/18/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Barbara Beeler	3505 Tilden	3/17/02
Martha W Black	3510 Quebec St	3/18/02
Susan Kanner	3025 Porter St	3/18/02
Susan Baranano	3000 F St NW	3/18/02
Molly Pritchard	3638 upton	3/18/02
Papa Ndiaye	350 SPRINGLAND	3/18/02

Petition Regarding the Proposed Washington Home Parking Expansion

We, neighbors of The Washington Home, understand that The Home proposes to expand its authorized parking from 75 to 173 spaces and to build an addition to its facility. As currently advanced, we oppose this proposal.

This is not a new matter. When, some fifteen years ago, The Home sought a variance to permit it to construct a new building, citizens from this community met often, and generally productively, with The Home to assure that this non-conforming use was compatible with the surrounding residences. A number of issues were discussed and compromises amicably reached. The size and character of the parking area was, however, not one on which The Home and the Community could see eye to eye. In the face of signed statements in opposition from the great majority of residents in the area, The Home petitioned the ANC and the Board of Zoning Appeals seeking a greater number of parking spaces. After an extensive hearing, the Board of Zoning Appeals ruled entirely in favor of the Community's position and The Home is accordingly restricted to parking for 75 vehicles.

We are amenable to discussion with The Home about its needs and about modifications that might be compatible with the Community's aesthetic and traffic concerns. The present proposal has not, however, been preceded by adequate discussion. More information and more discussion are necessary before any proposal would secure our support. At present, we see no justification for more than doubling parking, nor have we seen sufficient attention to the aesthetic and traffic consequences of that and other proposed changes.

Accordingly, we oppose The Home's present proposals. We have formed a Committee of Concerned Neighbors and urge The Home to meet with its members and arrive at such compromises as are required to meet our concerns. Unless and until that is achieved, we oppose any variance from The Home's presently authorized grounds and facilities.

Sincerely,

Name	Address	Date
Krueger, T.	4105 38th St., NW	March 17, 2002
Ruth B. Dries	4115 38th St NW	—
Mimi P. O'Fay	4118 38th St NW	March 17, 2002
[illegible]	4100 38th St	3/17/02
Bonnie Kleinhans	3811 Upton St.	3/17/02
Catherine P. Bishop	3819 Upton St.	3/17/02