GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

★ ★ ★

Application No. 16736 of CIH Renaissance Limited Partnership, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3103.2 for a use variance under section 350 to allow offices of a mortgage lender and tax service in an apartment building in an R-5-D District at premises 3060 16th Street, N.W. (Square 2594, Lots 2085 and 2087).

HEARING DATE: July 24, 2001
DECISION DATE: July 24, 2001 (Bench Decision)

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case is self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application, by publication in the D.C. Register, and by mail to the Applicant, Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 1E and to owners of all property within 200 feet of the property that is the subject of this application. The application was also referred to the Office of Planning (OP) for review and report.

The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 1E. ANC 1E, which is automatically a party to this application, did not submit a written statement. OP submitted a report into the record of the case recommending approval of the application.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements which are necessary to establish the case for a variance under 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 350. No person or entity appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 350, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property which creates an undue hardship for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the requested relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zoning plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application be **GRANTED.**

BZA
Case No. 16736
Exhibit No. 26

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.16736
EXHIBIT NO.26

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3101.6, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party, and is appropriate in this case.

VOTE: 5-0-0 (Sheila Cross Reid, Geoffrey H.Griffis, Anne Mohnkern Renshaw, David W. Levy and John G. Parsons to approve).

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

Each concurring member has approved the issuance of this Order.

ATTESTED BY: _____
JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: AUG 2 2 2001

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.6, THIS ORDER WILL BECOME FINAL UPON ITS FILING IN THE RECORD AND SERVICE UPON THE PARTIES. UNDER 11 DCMR § 3125.9, THIS ORDER WILL BECOME EFFECTIVE TEN DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT.

THE APPLICANT SHALL COMPLY FULLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, D.C. LAW 2-38, AS AMENDED, CODIFIED AS CHAPTER 25 IN TITLE 1 OF THE D.C. CODE. *SEE* D.C. CODE § 1-2531 (1999). THIS ORDER IS CONDITIONED UPON FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT. THE FAILURE OR REFUSAL OF THE APPLICANT TO COMPLY SHALL BE A PROPER BASIS FOR THE REVOCATION OF THIS ORDER.

BZA APPLICATION NO: 16736

As Director of the Office of Zoning, I hereby certify and attest that on AUG 2 2 2001, a copy of the order entered on that date in this matter was mailed first class, postage prepaid or delivered via inter-agency mail, to each party who appeared and participated in the public hearing concerning this matter, and who is listed below:

John Patrick Brown, Jr., Esq.
Greenstein DeLorme & Luchs, P.C.
1620 L Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036

Alan Bergstein, Esq.
Office of Corporation Counsel
441 4th Street, NW, 6th floor
Washington, D.C. 20001

Jim Whitman, Chairperson
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 1E
3166 Mt. Pleasant Street, NW
P.O. Box 43529
Washington, D.C. 20010

Desmond Dodd, Commissioner
Single Member District 1E-06
1656 Irving Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20010

Jim Graham, Councilmember
Ward One
441 4th Street, NW, Room 718
Washington, D. C. 20001

Ellen McCarthy, Deputy Director
Development Review Division
Office of Planning (4th Floor)
801 North Capitol Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20002

Michael D. Johnson, Zoning Administrator
Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
941 North Capitol Street, NE, Suite 2000
Washington, D.C. 20002

Attested by: _____
JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director

POH/ATTEST/16736