

BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT A

View of existing dormer at 3223 Klingle Road, from rooftop of 3225 Klingle Road.

Note: Roofline of 3225 Klingle abuts the existing dormer at 3223 Klingle.

Note: Easement between 3223 Klingle Road and 3225 Klingle Road is the 20 inch roofline between the two air vents.

Note: The repaired roof of 3223 Klingle extends to (through) the easement between the two properties.

BZA
SEP 17 1997
CASE No. 16260
EXHIBIT No. 26
Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.16260
EXHIBIT NO.26



BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT B

View of existing dormer at 3223 Klingle Road, showing existing extension of dormer, which already projects beyond the rooflines of dormers at 3225 Klingle Road and 3227 Klingle Road, adversely affecting sightlines of those two structures.

Note: The flat roofline and narrow gutters of all three adjacent properties.

Note: The treeline above the roofs, and the propensity of leaves to affect the gutter systems of these properties.

Note: The two door glass panels of the existing, non-conforming dormer at 3223 Klingle Road, compared to the single window dormers of the existing properties at 3225 Klingle Road and 3227 Klingle Road



BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT C

View of the existing dormer at 3223 Klingle Road, from the roof of 3225 Klingle Road.

Note: The size of the existing, non-conforming dormer at 3223 Klingle Road compared to the size of the existing dormer at 3225 Klingle Road.

Note: The narrow gap between the eaves of the rooflines at 3223 Klingle Road and 3225 Klingle Road, 20 inches of which is easement between the two properties.

Note: The angles of the two eaves, the view being West and North to East and South, and the likelihood of snow buildup between the two structures; and the likelihood of snow slides from Applicants' proposed peaked roof at 3223 Klingle Road to extend past the 20 inch easement between the properties to the flat roof of the structures at 3225 and 3227 Klingle Road.



BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT D

View of the back portion of Applicants' residence at 3223 Klingle Road.

Note: Existing non-conforming extensions of the Applicants' residence: the addition to the East of the residence, the porch to the back of the residence, and the narrow steel post structural supports for Applicants' porch.

Note: Both extensions of Applicants' residence extend well past the footprint of the original structure.



BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT E

View of the back portion of Applicants' residence at 3223 Klingle Road, from an alley between other neighborhood residences.

Note: The comparative size and locations of Applicants' existing dormer at 3223 Klingle Road, which is the subject of this action; and the dormer on the Turton residence at 3225 Klingle Road.

Note: This view is from the North. Note that the granting of Applicants' request for an extension by 9 to 10 feet of the dormer at 3223 Klingle Road will eliminate all views from the dormer at 3225 Klingle to the East; and will block the flow of air and access to sunlight from the East and the North.

Note: This view of Applicants' residence shows the abundance of trees and foliage in the area, and evidences the likelihood that leaves and related debris will further block gutter systems at 3223, 3225 and 3227 Klingle Road, Note there is no access to clean these gutter systems other than roof-top access.



BZA Application No. 16260
Supplement to Objection, Mr. and Mrs. Jack Turton

EXHIBIT F

View of Applicants' residence from alley immediately behind 3223 Klingle Road.

Note: Applicants' existing extensions to the residence, the non-conforming back porch with steel brackets, and the room-wide extension to the East.

Note: The comparative structure at 3221 Klingle Road, with dormer, and the existing, disproportionate extensions of Applicants' residence, as compared to this structure (the latter being comparable to the existing structure at 3225 Klingle Road).

Note: The comparison of these structures evidences the concerns of Mr. and Mrs. Turton, that Applicants' proposal is out of all proportion to adjacent existing structures, and unnecessary as a remedy to a leaky roof.

Note: The comparison of these structures evidences the concerns of Mr. and Mrs. Turton that Applicants' proposal will provide increased amenities for Applicants, by allowing the continued addition of non-conforming structures at 3223 Klingle Road.

The comparison evidences further that the proposed addition will continue a pattern of conduct, pursuant to which non-conforming additions have been built at 3223 Klingle Road, adversely affecting the peaceful enjoyment of neighboring properties, for no discernible social utility.