

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 19188 of Reneau Real Estate LLC**, as amended<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3103.2, for variances from the FAR requirements under § 402.4, the lot occupancy requirements under § 403.2, and the closed court requirements under § 406.1, to construct a three-story, four-unit apartment house in the R-5-B District at premises 1719 T Street N.W. (Square 151, Lot 10).

**HEARING DATES:** February 23, 2016<sup>2</sup> and March 22, 2016  
**DECISION DATE:** March 22, 2016

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 3.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 2B and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 2B, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report recommending approval of the application. The ANC's report indicated that at a regularly scheduled, properly noticed public meeting on February 10, 2016, at which a quorum was present, the ANC had voted unanimously (9-0-0) in support of the application. (Exhibit 39.)

---

<sup>1</sup> The Applicant amended the application by withdrawing its request for a special exception from the green area ratio requirements under § 3401.2. (Exhibits 36 and 34.) The caption has been changed accordingly.

<sup>2</sup> At the hearing on February 23, the Board called forward the individuals representing the adjacent condo association who requested party status in opposition, but did not act on their request. Also at the February 23<sup>rd</sup> hearing, the Board granted the Applicant's request for a postponement in order to work with the neighbors in opposition and did not take further testimony. Per Exhibit 52 of the record, the condo association withdrew the request for party status in opposition.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19188**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

The Office of Planning (“OP”) submitted a timely report indicating that OP could not recommend approval of the application. (Exhibit 37.) At the hearing on March 22<sup>nd</sup>, OP testified that it now supports the application based on the revised plans and further discussions with the architect and outlined its rationale for its support orally. The Board requested that OP submit its new recommendation in a supplemental report which was submitted to the record at Exhibit 53.

The District Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the grant of the application. (Exhibit 38.)

Two letters of opposition were submitted to the record. (Exhibits 40, 43, and 44.)

The Board received a party status request in opposition from the St. Clair Condo Association Board (Exhibit 42) with a request to waive the filing requirements regarding time. (Ex. 41.) The Board did not rule on the request at the February 23, 2016 hearing, and the request was subsequently withdrawn in a letter under Exhibit 52.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3103.2, for variances from the FAR requirements under § 402.4, the lot occupancy requirements under § 403.2, and the closed court requirements under § 406.1, to construct a three-story, four-unit apartment house in the R-5-B District. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be averse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking a variance from 11 DCMR § 402.4, 403.2, and 406.1, the Applicant has met the burden of proof under 11 DCMR § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED REVISED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 49.**

**VOTE:**           **4-0-1** (Marcie I. Cohen, Frederick L. Hill, Marnique Y. Heath, and Jeffrey L. Hinkle, to APPROVE; one Board seat vacant.)

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19188**  
**PAGE NO. 3**

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
**Director, Office of Zoning**

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** March 29, 2016

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19188**  
**PAGE NO. 4**

ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED.  
VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.