

Similar Case on V Street (BZA Case 18928) Garnering OP and BZA Approval in February 2015

Similarities

- Both Bloomingdale/R-4
- Both proposed additions to third floor
- Both proposed protection of architectural elements at the front
- Both proposed additions within the existing footprint
- Both exceeded lot occupancy requirements

Differences

- V Street
 - Alley lot exposes addition to the main road
 - Third floor addition also included a deck on top of the third floor
 - Lot occupancy less than 70%
- U Street
 - Inner lot limits almost entire addition to the main road
 - Third floor addition only, with no further elements above the third floor
 - Lot occupancy greater than 70%

The only material difference not favorable to my case (BZA 19164) is that my home had a lot occupancy greater than 70%. In all other measures, my proposal is more in line with Zoning Regulations.

