

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 19086 of Gail and Lindsay Slater, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, for a special exception under § 223, not meeting the lot occupancy requirements under § 403.1, and the nonconforming structure requirements under § 2001.3, to construct a three-story addition to an existing one-family dwelling in the CAP/R-4 District at premises 215 A Street N.E. (Square 759, Lot 27).

HEARING DATE: October 20, 2015

DECISION DATE: October 20, 2015

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 4.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 6C and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 6C, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report in support, indicating that at a duly noticed, regularly scheduled meeting on September 10, 2015, with a quorum present, the ANC voted unanimously (4:0:0) to support the application. The ANC's letter stated that the Applicant provided sight line and shadow studies that showed no visibility from the street and no material impact on the neighbors, who supplied letters of support. The ANC noted that the project had received approval from the Historic Preservation Review Board. (Exhibit 28.)

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report recommending approval of the application (Exhibit 31) and testified in support of the application at the hearing. The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report indicating that it had no objection to the application. (Exhibit 29.)

The Architect of the Capitol ("AOC") submitted a timely report pursuant to 11 DCMR § 1202, which indicated that the AOC has no objections to the application. The AOC letter also stated

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 200/210-S, Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 727-6311

Facsimile: (202) 727-6072

E-Mail: dcoz@dc.gov

Web Site: www.dcoz.dc.gov

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19086
PAGE NO. 2

that granting the relief requested for a special exception would not be inconsistent with the intent of the CAP/R-4 District; would not adversely affect the health, safety, and general welfare of the U.S. Capitol Precinct and area adjacent to that jurisdiction; and is not inconsistent with the goals and mandates of the U.S. Congress. (Exhibit 27.)

Three letters of support from the neighbors residing at 211, 213, and 217 A Street, N.E. were submitted to the record. (Exhibit 11.) A letter of support for the application was submitted from the Capitol Hill Restoration Society. (Exhibit 34.)

A letter of opposition to the application was submitted to the record by the owner and resident of 7 Terrace Court, N.E. (Exhibit 33.) Three other neighbors, Joy Ash, James R. Langkamp, and Thomas Plack, appeared at the hearing and testified in opposition. Joy Ash read the testimony of Nancy McNabb into the record which was submitted as Exhibit 36. The neighbors in opposition generally expressed issues over the design of the addition, as well as concerns about the Applicant's failure to engage them during the design and review process. The Applicant's architect testified that she reached out to the adjacent neighbors and the neighbor across the alley to the rear, but did not directly contact the other residents of Terrace Court, as the sun study (Exhibit 30) did not show that these neighbors would be impacted.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case for a special exception under § 223, not meeting the lot occupancy requirements under § 403.1, and the nonconforming structure requirements under § 2001.3, to construct a three-story addition to an existing one-family dwelling in the CAP/R-4 District. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof for special exception relief, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1, 223, 403.1, and 2001.3, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 6.**

VOTE: **4-0-1** (Frederick L. Hill, Marnique Y. Heath, Jeffrey L. Hinkle, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE; and one Board seat vacant.)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY:


SARA A. BARDIN

Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: October 22, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS

BZA APPLICATION NO. 19086
PAGE NO. 4

PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT
BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.