

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 18876 of Habitat for Humanity of Washington, DC, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1 for variances from the off-street parking requirements under subsection 2101.1,¹ the floor area ratio requirements under subsection 402.4, and the lot occupancy requirements under subsection 403.2, and a special exception from the new residential development provisions under subsection 353, to allow the construction of five new residential row dwellings in the R-5-A District at premises 23 46th Street, S.E. (Square 5346, Lot 4).

HEARING DATE: February 10, 2015
DECISION DATE: February 10, 2015

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibit 33.)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 7E, and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 7E, which is automatically a party to this application. ANC 2F submitted a report dated November 26, 2013 in support of the application. The ANC did not submit a resolution on the application. However, the ANC commissioner for the Single Member District in which the site is located testified at the public hearing that the Applicant had engaged with the ANC on multiple occasions, but that the ANC had not held a formal meeting for over four months. The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report on February 3, 2015, recommending approval of the application (Exhibit 47) and testified in support of the application at the hearing. The District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") submitted a timely report on February 3, 2015, indicating that it had no objection to

¹ The Applicant originally filed an application on September 9, 2014, seeking an area variance from the off-street parking requirements and a special exception under section 353 to permit construction of a ten-unit apartment house building on the subject site (Exhibits 1-14). On November 18, 2014, the Applicant submitted a request to postpone the public hearing (Exhibit 29), originally scheduled for December 9, 2014. On December 17, 2014, the Applicant submitted a revised application and self-certification form (Exhibits 30-42), which requested the relief described herein.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18876

PAGE NO. 2

the Applicant's requests for variance and special exception relief (Exhibit 48), and testified in support of the application at the hearing.

Variance Relief:

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case, pursuant to § 3103.2, for variances from the off-street parking requirements under subsection 2101.1, the floor area ratio requirements under subsection 402.4, and the lot occupancy requirements under subsection 403.2. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP report filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking variances from §§ 2101.1, 402.4, and 403.2, the Applicant has met the burden of proving under 11 DCMR § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Special Exception Relief:

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board has required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3104.1, for a special exception for the new residential development under subsection 353. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board and having given great weight to the OP report filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 411.11, that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map. The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application, pursuant to Exhibit 46E – Revised Drawings, be **GRANTED**.

VOTE: 4-0-1 (Lloyd J. Jordan, Jeffrey L. Hinkle, Marnique Y. Heath, and Robert E. Miller to APPROVE; one Board seat vacant.)


BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

The majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18876

PAGE NO. 3

ATTESTED BY:



SARA A. BARDIN

Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: February 20, 2015

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 *ET SEQ.* (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.