

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

RECEIVED
D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING
2013 SEP 27 AM 10:41

Application of
William & Catherine Durbin

508 4th St SE
ANC 6B03

STATEMENT OF THE APPLICANT

This statement is submitted on behalf of William and Catherine Durbin (the "Applicant"), owner-occupants of a single-family rowhouse located at 508 4th St SE, Lot 812 in Square 822 (the "Property")

I. NATURE OF RELIEF SOUGHT

The Applicant requests that the Board of Zoning Adjustment (the "BZA" or the "Board") approve special exception relief pursuant to § 3104.1 and § 223.1 of Title 11 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations from the following requirements on this Property

- a) Maximum lot occupancy as specified in § 403.2,
- b) Minimum width of an open court as specified in § 406.1

II. JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD

The Board has jurisdiction to grant the relief requested pursuant to § 3104.1 and § 223.1 of the Zoning Regulations

III. BACKGROUND

A. Existing Use of the Property

The Durbin Residence is a two-story, semi-detached, single-family residence located at 508 4th St SE. It is one half of a brick duplex construction with two, originally mirror image, residences. The existing 2BR/1.5BA residence occupies 1,326 sf, with a footprint of 920 sf. It sits on a 1,891 sf lot, 18'-0" wide x 105'-1" deep, with a non-conforming open court at the front of the property 3'-9" wide x 38'-6" deep.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 18674
EXHIBIT NO. 4

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 18674
EXHIBIT NO. 4

Toward the rear, the original brick construction narrows to an approximately 12' wide dogleg, also in brick. Both the Property and its matched duplex unit to the north conserve their original wood front porches. There is no basement below the structure, only an inaccessible crawl space.

The original construction of the structure dates to approximately 1875. Several later rear additions, apparently from the second half of the 20th century, converted the original semi-detached residence into a rowhouse, which extends the full 18' width of the lot. A rear accessory structure, apparently constructed in the late 20th century, functions as a garden shed.

Existing lot occupancy, including the residence, rear accessory structure and existing non-conforming open court is 64.1 %.

B. Surrounding Area

The property is zoned R-4/CAP, and lies within both the Capitol Interest Overlay and Capitol Hill Historic District. It is one half block south of Marion Park, on a one-way (southbound) stretch of 4th St SE. Most of the surrounding neighborhood consists of two- or three-story semi-detached or rowhouse residences. Immediately adjacent to the property to the south sits the currently vacant Mt. Joy Baptist Church, set back approximately 15'-0" from the property line. That property is allegedly slated for conversion to multi-family residential use. To the rear, the property abuts two residential properties. There is no public alley on this portion of the block.

C. Proposed Use of the Property

The Property will continue use as an owner-occupied single-family rowhouse. As shown on the submitted architectural plans, the proposed renovation will demolish the rear dogleg and later additions, and replace them with a single volume attached to the rear of the remaining original construction. The existing accessory structure will be demolished and will not be rebuilt. The existing non-conforming open court at the front of the lot will be reduced in depth, from 38'-6" to 34'-6".

The proposed final configuration of the structure is a 3BR/2.5BA residence occupying 2,099 sf, with a footprint of 1,172 sf Proposed lot occupancy, including residence and reduced non-conforming open court, is 69%

IV. SPECIAL EXCEPTION RELIEF

THE APPLICATION MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION RELIEF UNDER § 3104.1 AND § 223.1 OF THE ZONING REGULATIONS

The Applicant is seeking special exception relief pursuant to § 3104 1 and § 223 1 from the following requirements on this Property

- Maximum lot occupancy as specified in § 403.2,
- Minimum width of an open court as specified in § 406 1

A. Burden of Proof under § 3104.1

Per § 3104 1, a special exception may be granted where it “will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Zoning Maps and will not tend to affect adversely, the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Zoning Maps ”

i. The Application is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and the Zoning Maps.

Both the existing and proposed uses of the Property are single family residential rowhouse, which the Zoning Regulations identify in § 330.1 as the preferred use “The R-4 District is designed to include those areas now developed primarily with row dwellings”

The existing lot occupancy on the Property is calculated at 64 1%, non-conforming to the Zoning Regulations maximum of 60% for rowhouse structures in an R-4 district The proposed rear addition would increase calculated lot occupancy to 69% These figures are misleading, as the Zoning Regulations require inclusion of an existing non-conforming open court in the calculation.

Without inclusion of this open court into the lot occupancy calculation, the actual percentage of lot occupied by the building structure(s) is 56% existing, 61.9% proposed. This is a modest increase above the maximum permitted, entirely at the rear of the lot, which will not unduly affect the character of the neighborhood.

The existing open court, a former side yard, is considered a contributing element to the Capitol Hill Historic District and cannot be enclosed. It will be preserved at its existing width of 3'-9", even though it does not conform to the minimum width required by the Zoning Regulations of 4" per foot of height, which would require a 7'-6" wide court at the existing maximum parapet height of 22'-6" at the front of the structure.

ii. **The Application will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and the Zoning Maps.**

The Applicant has made numerous attempts to personally contact all neighbors adjacent to the Property or with a direct sightline to the rear addition, in order to review the proposed construction and solicit feedback. Attached are letters of support from property owners at #506 4th St (directly adjacent to the north), #504 (two lots to the north), and #519 (across the street, most direct sightline to addition). These letters of support were gathered specifically for the Historic Preservation Review Board, but demonstrate support for the project, which is also applicable to the BZA relief requested by the Applicant.

B. Burden of Proof under § 223.1

As specified in § 223.1, "an addition to a one-family dwelling shall be permitted even though the addition.. does not comply with all of the requirements of §§ 401, 403, 404, 405, 406 and 2001.3 . as a special exception if approved by the Board of Zoning Adjustment under § 3104.1, subject to the provisions of this section."

between the properties, and several mature trees. The proposed construction would not unduly affect light, air, privacy of use or enjoyment of these properties. Despite several attempts, neither of the two adjacent rear neighbors has responded to the Applicant's outreach efforts.

From the front, the Applicant's proposed rear addition would protrude 3'-9" to the side of the existing construction. This partial façade would occur 36'-6" back from the front property line, and 61'-6" from the near curb. The addition would be obliquely visible through the adjacent church property, due to the open side yard. The addition will be clad in painted clapboard siding, a material compatible with the brick construction of the existing structure.

The neighbor most directly affected by this view across the street, at #519, has signed a letter of support for the project. During the Historic Review process, ANC 6B voted unanimously to support the project, and the DC Historic Preservation Office staff report (HPA #13-543) recommends approval of the project. See attached documentation for both. The Applicant's proposed construction is compatible with the character, scale and pattern of houses along the street frontage.

ii. **§ 223.3 The lot occupancy of all new and existing structures on the lot shall not exceed... seventy percent (70%) in the R-3, R-4, and R-5 Districts**

The proposed construction, including the non-conforming open court at the front of the property, would result in a calculated lot occupancy of 69%. It is therefore permissible under the guidelines of § 223.3.


V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the requested relief meets the applicable standards for a special exception. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Board grant the application.

VI. EXHIBITS

Exhibit A	Architectural Plans, Elevations and Photographs of the Property
Exhibit B	Surveyor's Plat
Exhibit C	Zoning Report
Exhibit D	Neighbors' Letters of Support
Exhibit E	ANC 6B Letter of Support for Historic Review
Exhibit F	DC Historic Preservation Office Staff Report

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'PBA', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Philip B. Anderson AIA NCARB LEED AP
Principal
Studio Laan, PLLC
1117 C St SE
Washington, DC 20003