

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 18621 of the Laborers' International Union of North America, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 3103.2, for special exceptions under §§ 508, 537, 774, 777 and 2514, and variances under §§ 530, 531, and 2001 to construct additions to an existing office building in the SP-2 and C-4 Districts at premises 901 16th Street, N.W. (Square 199, Lots 61 and 824) ¹

HEARING DATE: October 1, 2013

DECISION DATE: October 1, 2013

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2 (Exhibit 4)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D C Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 2B and to owners of property within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 2B, which is automatically a party to this application. ANC 2B filed a letter report, dated September 23, 2013, which indicated that at a properly noticed, regularly scheduled public meeting held on September 11, 2013, with a quorum of Commissioners present, the ANC voted unanimously (6:0) to support the application. (Exhibit 27) The ANC by the Single District Member appeared at the public hearing and testified in support of the project.

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report on September 24, 2013, recommending approval of the application (Exhibit 26) By its letter, dated September 24, 2013, the District Department of Transportation ("DDOT") also recommended approval of the Applicant's request for the variance and special exception relief. It recommended incorporating an additional 50 bicycle spaces on the Property. (Exhibit 28)

Variance Relief

¹ At the public hearing the Board asked the Applicant to clarify the relief which was being requested. The Applicant indicated that they were not requesting relief under § 506 but were asking for relief from § 2001. The caption has been adjusted from that which was advertised accordingly.

441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 200/210-S, Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone (202) 727-6311

Facsimile (202) 727-6072

E-Mail dcoz@dc.gov

Web Site www.dcoz.dc.gov

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
District of Columbia
CASE NO. 18621
EXHIBIT NO. 37

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.18621
EXHIBIT NO.37

BZA APPLICATION NO. 18621

PAGE NO. 2

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3103.2 for a variance from the strict requirements of the height and floor area ratio requirements for a non-conforming building under §§ 530, 531, and 2001. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. The ANC appeared in support of the application and neighboring property owner, 1575 Eye Street Associates, withdrew its request for party status in opposition and spoke in support of the project. (Exhibit 32.) Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proving under 11 DCMR § 3103.2 that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the requested relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map

Special Exception Relief

The Applicant also satisfied the burden of § 3119.2 in its request for relief from the office use, zone boundary line, rear yard, and roof structure requirements of §§ 508, 2514, 774, 777 and pursuant to § 3104.1 for a special exception. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. As noted, the neighboring property owner, 1575 Eye Street Associates, withdrew its request for party status in opposition and spoke in support of the project. (Exhibit 32) Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

The Board concludes that the Applicant has met the burden of proof for special exception relief, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1, 508, 2514, 774, 777 that the requested relief can be granted as being in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map The Board further concludes that granting the requested relief will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125 3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case

It is therefore **ORDERED** that this application be **GRANTED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS**.

- 1 The project shall be constructed pursuant to the plans submitted as Exhibit 23 in the record and further refined by the Applicant's PowerPoint, Exhibit 30 in the record

2. The Applicant shall have flexibility to modify these plans pursuant to comments provided by the Historic Preservation Review Board during final review of the project so long as the modifications do not require any additional areas of zoning relief.

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this summary order

VOTE: **3-0-2** (Robert E. Miller, S. Kathryn Allen, and Lloyd L. Jordan, to Approve; Jeffrey L. Hinkle, not participating or voting, the third Mayoral appointee vacant.)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order

ATTESTED BY:



SARA A. BARDIN
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: October 10, 2013

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED. RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.