

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

★ ★ ★
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

RECEIVED
D.C. OFFICE OF ZONING
2013 MAR -1 AM 10:48

Application No. 17147 of Bloom Builders, Inc., pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3103.2, for a variance to allow the renovation and reconfiguration of an existing building (formerly known as the Broad Branch Market) for continued use as a neighborhood market under subsection 2002.3, and pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3104.1, a special exception to establish a child development center (60 Children and 8 staff) under section 205, in the R-1-B District at premises 5608 Broad Branch Road, N.W. (Square 1997, Lot 78).

Note: The application was amended to remove requested variance relief from the rear yard requirements under section 404.

HEARING DATE: April 20, 2004
DECISION DATE: May 4, 2004

SUMMARY ORDER

SELF-CERTIFIED

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2.

The Board provided proper and timely notice of public hearing on this application by publication in the D.C. Register and by mail to the Applicant, Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 3G, and to owners of all property within 200 feet of the property that is the subject of this application. The application was also referred to the Office of Planning (OP). The OP submitted a report in support of the application. The subject property is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 3G. ANC 3G submitted a letter in support of the application.

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case for special exceptions pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1 and 205, and variances under 11 DCMR § 3103.2 from the strict application of the requirements of § 2002.3. No party appeared at the public hearing in opposition to this application or otherwise requested to participate as a party in this proceeding. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be adverse to any party.

The Board closed the record at the conclusion of the hearing. Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the Office of Planning and ANC reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that the applicant has met the burden of proof pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3104.1, for a special exception under section 205, that the requested relief can be granted as in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Regulations and Map and will not tend to affect adversely the use of neighboring property in accordance with the Zoning Regulations and Map.

The Board also concludes that the applicant has met its burden of proof under 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 2002.3, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the requested relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is **GRANTED** subject to the following **CONDITIONS**:

1. Approval of the Child Development Center (Center) use shall be for a period of **FIVE (5) YEARS**.
2. Center drop-off and pick-up periods shall be dispersed and shall not coincide with drop-off and pick-up times at Lafayette Elementary School, whose school hours begins at 8:45 a.m. and ends at 3:15 p.m.
3. Center staff shall assist the children to and from cars during peak drop-off and pick-up periods.
4. The rear of the entire subject property shall be fenced-in by a six feet high fence made of wood.


Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3101.6, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party, and is appropriate in this case.

VOTE: 4-0-1 (Geoffrey H. Griffis, John A. Mann II, Ruthanne G. Miller and Anthony J. Hood to approve, Curtis L. Etherly, Jr., not hearing the case, not voting).

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

Each concurring member has approved the issuance of this Order.

ATTESTED BY:


JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: MAY 12 2004

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.6, THIS ORDER WILL BECOME FINAL UPON ITS FILING IN THE RECORD AND SERVICE UPON THE PARTIES. UNDER 11 DCMR § 3125.9, THIS ORDER WILL BECOME EFFECTIVE TEN DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125 APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, UNLESS THE BOARD ORDERS OTHERWISE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

THE APPLICANT IS REQUIRED TO COMPLY FULLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, D.C. LAW 2-38, AS AMENDED, AND THIS ORDER IS CONDITIONED UPON FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE PROVISIONS. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ., (ACT) THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, FAMILIAL STATUS,

FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS ALSO PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION. THE FAILURE OR REFUSAL OF THE APPLICANT TO COMPLY SHALL FURNISH GROUNDS FOR THE DENIAL OR, IF ISSUED, REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMITS OR CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER. RSN

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT



BZA APPEAL NO. 17147

As Director of the Office of Zoning, I hereby certify and attest that on ~~MAY 12 2004~~ a copy of the order entered on that date in this matter was mailed first class, postage prepaid or delivered via inter-agency mail, to each party and public agency who appeared and participated in the public hearing concerning the matter, and who is listed below:

Paul A. Tummonds, Esq.
Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Chairperson
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3G
Chevy Chase Community Center
P.O. Box 6252
Washington, DC 20015

Single Member District Commissioner 3G05
Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3G
Chevy Chase Community Center
P.O. Box 6252
Washington, DC 20015

Acting Zoning Administrator
Dept. of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
Building and Land Regulation Administration
941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 2000
Washington, DC 20009

Councilmember Kathleen Patterson
Ward 3
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 107
Washington, DC 20004

BZA APPEAL NO. 17147
PAGE NO. 2

Ellen McCarthy, Deputy Director
Office of Planning
801 North Capitol Street, N.E.
4th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20002

Alan Bergstein
Office of the Corporation Counsel
441 4th Street, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20001

ATTESTED BY:


JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA
Director, Office of Zoning

rsn

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
OFFICE OF THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR**



July 2, 2009

Paul Tummonds
Pillsbury
2300 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

**Re: BZA Order No. 17147 -- 5608 Broad Branch Road, NW
Confirmation of Period of Approval for Child Development Center
Use by Broad Branch Children's House**

Dear Mr. Tummonds:

This letter confirms that the five year term of approval for the child development center use approved by BZA Order No. 17147 starts to run from the date that the child development center use started on the 5608 Broad Branch Road, NW property, not the date that the Board approved BZA Application No. 17147.

On April 20, 2004, the Board of Zoning Adjustment held a hearing regarding an application seeking approval for the renovation and reconfiguration of an existing neighborhood market, and approval for the establishment of a child development center at 5608 Broad Branch Road, NW (Square 1997, Lot 78). This application was known as BZA Application No. 17147. Advisory Neighborhood Commission 3G submitted a letter in support of the application. The BZA approved Application No. 17147 on May 4, 2004 and issued a summary order. One of the conditions of BZA Order No. 17147 is that "Approval of the Child Development Center (Center) use shall be for a period of **FIVE (5) YEARS.**"

It is my understanding that Broad Branch Children's House obtained a license to operate a child development facility from the District of Columbia Department of Health, Health Regulation Administration in August of 2009 and that BBCH began operation of a child development center at the 5608 Broad Branch Road, NW property on August 26, 2009. On January 8, 2009, Broad Branch Children's House obtained a Certificate of


Paul Tummonds
July 2, 2009
Page 2

Occupancy, in its own name, authorizing this use (CO0900888). This Certificate of Occupancy noted that it was to expire on May 4, 2009 per BZA Order No. 17147.

I find that it was the clear intent of the BZA to have the five year period run from the date a child development center use was established on the site. The rationale being that the five year period of approval established by the BZA is to determine whether the child development center creates any adverse effects on the community. This can only be accomplished once the use is in operation.

Therefore, the five year term imposed by condition number one in BZA Order No. 17147 started to run on August 26, 2008 and a child development center use is permitted to occur on the 5608 Broad Branch, NW property until August 26, 2013, pursuant to BZA Order No. 17147. It is my understanding that representatives of Broad Branch Children's House will now submit an application to amend Certificate of Occupancy No. CO0900888 to reflect the correct expiration date (August 26, 2013), and my office will approve such an application, subject to conformance to all other applicable District regulations.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding the issues addressed in this letter.

Respectfully, 
Matthew Le Grant

cc: Josh Oboler, Broad Branch Children's House

Filename: Determination Lt re 5608 Broad Branch Rd to Tummonds 7-2-09