

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + +

REGULAR MEETING
1141st MEETING SESSION

+ + + +

MONDAY,
NOVEMBER 18, 2002

+ + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 1:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Carol Mitten	Chairperson
Anthony Hood	Vice Chairperson
James Hannaham	Commissioner
John Parsons	Commissioner
Peter May	Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:

Alan Bergstein	Corporation Counsel
Sharon Sanchez	Office of Zoning
Alberto Bastida	Secretary, Zoning
Ellen McCarthy	Office of Planning
Maxine Brown-Roberts	Office of Planning
Jennifer Steingasser	Office of Planning

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT
District of Columbia

CASE NO. 18041

EXHIBIT NO. 20

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Board of Zoning Adjustment
District of Columbia
CASE NO.18041
EXHIBIT NO.20

12 All right. Next, under "Correspondence",
13 MR. BASTIDA.

14 MR. BASTIDA: Yes, madam Chairman. The
15 staff has provided you a copy of the Office of
16 Corporation Counsel's memorandum regarding combined
17 lot development provisions in the Arts Overlay
18 District, and would like to hear from the Commission
19 some discussion regarding that memo.

20 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.

21 Does anyone have any thoughts they would
22 like to share on this memo?

23 Mr. May.

24 COMMISSIONER MAY: In the memorandum, in
25 the second paragraph there's a recommendation that

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1203 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-0701
(202) 334-4422 www.nealrgross.com

1 there be a clarifying amendment to indicate that the
2 FAR may be allocated between two lots within the ARTS
3 overlay without regard to the FAR limitation
4 applicable to the particular lots so as long as the
5 lots when combined remain within the FAR cap.

6 As I recall from what I understand of this
7 issue, I think that a clarifying amendment is in order
8 since it is apparent in the interpretation of the
9 original ARTS overlay that this issue is not perfectly
10 clear. So, I would support the notion of having a
11 clarifying amendment.

12 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I would agree. Is
13 that a motion?

14 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, sure.

15 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right. I
16 second that.

17 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Before we
18 vote that up or down, do you have specific language to
19 propose, Mr. May, or are we just merely voting on yes,
20 we would like to have clarifying language but we don't
21 yet know what it is?

22 MR. BERNSTEIN: It's the latter. I first
23 wanted to make sure that you saw the need. And then
24 if you felt --

25 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, I see.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1220 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1704

2025 Release

www.fedrule.com