

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Board of Zoning Adjustment



Application No. 17749-A of Alturas Real Estate Interest LLC, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1, for a variance from the nonconforming structure provisions under subsection 2001.3 and special exceptions from the roof structure provisions under subsection 411.11 and the ARTS use requirement limiting eating and drinking establishments to no more than 25% of the linear foot frontage along the portions of 14th and U Streets within the ARTS Overlay District under subsection 1906.1, to create a mixed-use residential and retail development, in the ARTS/C-3-A District, at premises 1625, 1627-29, and 1631 14th Street, N.W. (Square 240, Lots 6, 812, and 819).¹

HEARING DATE (Orig. Application):	April 8, 2008
DECISION DATE (Orig. Application):	May 6, 2008
FINAL ORDER ISSUANCE DATE (Orig. Application):	May 9, 2008
DECISION ON MOTION TO EXTEND ORDER:	April 13, 2010

ORDER ON MOTION TO EXTEND
THE VALIDITY OF BZA ORDER NO. 17749

The Underlying BZA Order

On May 6, 2008, the Board of Zoning Adjustment (the Board or BZA) approved the Applicant's request for variance relief from the nonconforming structure provisions under § 2001.3 and special exceptions from the roof structure provisions under § 411.11 and the ARTS use requirement limiting eating and drinking establishments to no more than 25% of the linear foot frontage along the portions of 14th and U Streets within the ARTS Overlay District under § 1906.1, to create a mixed-use residential and retail development in the ARTS/C-3-A District. Thus, pursuant to 11 DCMR §§ 3103.2 and 3104.1, the Board granted a variance from the nonconforming structure provisions under § 2001.3 and special exceptions from the roof structure provisions under § 411.11 and the ARTS

¹ Prior to the hearing on the original application, the Applicant withdrew a request for a variance from subsections 2603.2 and 2607.9 as well as requests for a special exception from the ARTS design requirements.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17749-A
PAGE NO. 2

use requirement limiting eating and drinking establishments to no more than 25% of the linear foot frontage along the portions of 14th and U Streets within the ARTS Overlay District requirements under § 1906.1, to create a mixed-use residential and retail development in the ARTS/C-3-A District, at premises 1625, 1627-29, and 1631 14th Street, N.W. (Square 240, Lots 6, 812, and 819). The Order was issued May 9, 2008. (BZA Order 17749)

Under the Order, and pursuant to § 3130.1 of the Zoning Regulations, the Order was valid for two years from the time it was issued – until May 9, 2010.

Section 3130.1² states:

No order [of the Board] authorizing the erection or alteration of a structure shall be valid for a period longer than two (2) years, or one (1) year for an Electronic Equipment Facility (EEF), unless within such period, the plans for the erection or alteration are filed for the purposes of securing a building permit, except as permitted in § 3130.6.

(11 DCMR § 3130.1)

Motion to Extend

On February 17, 2010, the Board received a letter from the Applicant, which requested, pursuant to 11 DCMR §3130.6,³ a two-year extension in the authority granted in the underlying BZA Order, which was due to expire May 9, 2010. (Exhibit 37).

The Applicant is requesting a two-year extension in the authority granted in the underlying BZA Order because, due to the deterioration of the real estate market in Washington, D.C., the Applicant has been unable to obtain the necessary financing commitment to begin the building renovation and construction, despite numerous attempts to obtain financing for the project. The Applicant provided a letter from its mortgage broker acknowledging that while there has been “progress” in the condominium market in the last 18 months and a resultant reduction in inventory due to conversion of condominiums to rentals, the mortgage broker did not anticipate enough of an improvement in the condominium and financing markets to allow the Applicant to find an acceptable loan before the BZA approval expired. (Exhibit 37, Exhibit B).

² Section 3130.1 was amended by the addition of the phrase “except as permitted in § 3130.6” by the Zoning Commission in Z.C. Case No. 09-01. The amendment became effective on June 5, 2009.

³ Section 3130.6 was adopted by the Zoning Commission in Z.C. Case No. 09-01 and became effective on June 5, 2009.

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17749-A
PAGE NO. 3

A response to the Applicant's motion was filed by the Office of Planning (OP). OP issued a report in support of the time extension. (Exhibit 38).

The project is within the boundaries of ANC 2F. ANC 2F did not file a report.

According to the Applicant, the reasons for its request to the Board to extend the time of the Order are because of its inability to secure financing in an unstable economic market and market conditions in the District. The extension would allow the Applicant the additional time in which to secure the permits and financing. Accordingly, the Applicant requested that, pursuant to § 3130.6 of the Regulations, the Board extend the validity of its prior Order, as conditioned, for an additional two years, thereby allowing the Applicant additional time to secure financing and apply for a building permit.

Criteria for Evaluating Motion to Extend

The Zoning Commission adopted 11 DCMR § 3130.6 in Zoning Commission Case No. 09-01. The Section became effective on June 5, 2009.

Section 3130.6 of the Zoning Regulations states in full:

- 3130.6 The Board may grant one extension of the time periods in §§ 3130.1 for good cause shown upon the filing of a written request by the applicant before the expiration of the approval; provided, that the Board determines that the following requirements are met:
- (a) The extension request is served on all parties to the application by the applicant, and all parties are allowed thirty (30) days to respond;
 - (b) There is no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Board based its original approval of the application that would undermine the Board's justification for approving the original application; and
 - (c) The applicant demonstrates that there is good cause for such extension, with substantial evidence of one or more of the following criteria:

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17749-A
PAGE NO. 4

- (1) An inability to obtain sufficient project financing due to economic and market conditions beyond the applicant's reasonable control;
- (2) An inability to secure all required governmental agency approvals by the expiration date of the Board's order because of delays that are beyond the applicant's reasonable control; or
- (3) The existence of pending litigation or such other condition, circumstance, or factor beyond the applicant's reasonable control.

(11 DCMR § 3130.6)

The Board finds that the Applicant has met the criteria set forth in this provision. The filing of the motion on February 17, 2010, prior to the expiration date, tolled the effect of the order. The request was served on all the parties to the application and those parties were given 30 days in which to respond under § 3130.6(a). The Applicant's inability to secure the necessary permits and financing and the poor economic conditions in the District constitute the "good cause" required under § 3130.6(c)(1).

As required by § 3130.6(b), there is no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Board based its original approval. In requesting this extension of the Order, the Applicant's plans for development of the site would be unchanged from those approved by the Board in its Order dated May 9, 2008 (Exhibit No. 12 in the record). There have been no changes to the zone district classification applicable to the property or to the Comprehensive Plan affecting this site since the issuance of the Board's Order.

Neither the ANC nor any party to the application objected to an extension of the Order. The Board concludes that the extension of that relief is appropriate under the current circumstances.

Accordingly, pursuant to § 3130.6 of the Regulations, the Board hereby extends the validity of the underlying Order, for a period not to exceed two years from the current expiration date, thereby establishing a new expiration date of May 9, 2012.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3101.6, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.3, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17749-A
PAGE NO. 5

conclusions of law. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this request for extension of time be **GRANTED** until May 9, 2012.

VOTE: 3-0-2 (Meridith H. Moldenhauer, Shane L. Dettman, Nicole C. Sorg to approve; no other Board members participating, nor voting)

BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

ATTESTED BY: 
JAMISON L. WEINBAUM
Director, Office of Zoning

FINAL DATE OF ORDER: APR 19 2010

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, UNLESS THE BOARD ORDERS OTHERWISE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE §§ 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH

BZA APPLICATION NO. 17749-A
PAGE NO. 6

IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.