


17109

Form 140 (Revised 03/15/02)		Case No. BZA-2002-1234	
<b>PARTY STATUS APPLICATION</b>			
Notice: See other side of party status form for instructions.			
Name:* David Earl Wilton			
Address:* 1836 Belmont Road NW		City:* Washington	State:* DC
Phone:* (202)667-5609		Fax: (202)667-9086	Email: dwilton@ifc.org
I, hereby request to appear and participate as a party.		Signature: 	Date:* 02/02/2004
Will you appear as a(n)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent	<input type="checkbox"/> Opponent	Will you appear through legal counsel
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please enter the name and address of such legal counsel.			
Name:			
Address:		City:	State:
Phone:		Fax:	Email:
Please answer all of the following questions referencing why the above person should be granted party status. (If you require additional space, please attach an additional sheet.)			
1). How will the property owned or occupied by such person, or in which the person has an interest be affected by the action requested of the Board?*			
If the interpretation of the set-back requirements implicit or explicit in the permits granted for the work on 1819 Belmont Road NW is allowed to stand it sets a precedent which threatens the streetscape and ambiance of Belmont Road not only as affected directly by 1819 Belmont Road NW, but also the deterioration to the future streetscape, access to light and outlook that will result from the application of this interpretation of the set-back requirements to other properties on the street.			
2). What legal interest does the person have in the property? (i.e. owner, tenant, trustee, or mortgagee)*			
Owner			
3). What is the distance between the person's property and the property that is the subject of the appeal or application before the Board? (Preferably no farther than 200ft.)*			
90 feet			
4). What are the environmental, economic or social impacts that are likely to affect the person and/or the person's property if the action requested of the Board is approved or denied?*			
The construction at 1819 Belmont Road NW is grossly out of scale to the buildings surrounding it and stands out as starkly at odds with the surrounding properties from many angles. While other remodellings of single family homes into condos in the street blend in, that at 1819 Belmont Road is pernicious in its visual abusiveness. If the interpretation of the set-back requirements implicit or explicit in the permits granted for the work on 1819 Belmont Road NW is allowed to stand it sets a precedent which threatens the streetscape and ambiance of Belmont Road not only as affected directly by 1819 Belmont Road NW, but also the deterioration to the future streetscape, access to light and outlook that will result from the application of this interpretation of the set-back requirements to other properties on the street. This interpretation of the set-back requirements is a direct threat to the maintenance and retention of the historical streetscape of Belmont Road and indeed all other streetscapes of similar age and historical interest in the city. Blighting such streetscapes will reduce the desirability of residence in the street and negatively affect both quality of life and property values.			
5). Describe any other relevant matters that demonstrate how the person will likely be affected or aggrieved if the action requested of the Board is approved or denied.*			
This interpretation of the set-back requirements threatens the future of all older DC residential streetscapes and so threatens the future desirability of DC as a residential area.			
6). Explain how the person's interest will be more significantly, distinctively, or uniquely affected in character or kind by the proposed zoning action than that of other person's in the general public.*			

**BZA**

Case No. 17109  
Exhibit No. 24

<p>As the owner and occupant of a home in close proximity to the abomination being erected at 1819 Belmont Road I will daily suffer the reduction in quality of life occasioned by the construction as it is currently. Should the interpretation of the set-back requirements not be revoked, I will in future be very likely to suffer further such reduction in quality of life and additionally reduction in light as other houses in the street are re-modelled as condos utilizing this interpretation.</p>	
---	--