GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

TR SW 2, LLC

: Case No.

: 07-13D

:

----:

Monday, December 2, 2013

Hearing Room 220 South 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 13-06 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:31 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 $4^{\rm th}$ Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman
MARCIE COHEN, Vice Chair
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner
(AOC)

PETER MAY, Commissioner (NPS) ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

MATT JESICK

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

FLEMING EL-AMIN JAMIE HENSON

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on December 2, 2013.

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 (6:31 p.m.)3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. We're 4 ready to get started, our second hearing. Again, good evening ladies and gentlemen. 5 6 This is a hearing of the Zoning commission of 7 the District of Columbia for December 2, 2013. 8 My name is Anthony Hood. Joining 9 10 this evening are Vice Chair Cohen, me Commissioner Miller, May and Turnbull, the 11 Office of Zoning staff Ms. Sharon Schellin, 12 13 the Office of Planning staff Mr. Jesick, and the District Department of Transportation Mr. 14 Henson and Mr. El-Amin. 15 16 We will not go through all of the rest of the opening statement. I'm going to 17 try to hit the highlights though for the most 18 19 part. This hearing will be conducted in 20 accordance with provisions of 11 DCMR 3021 as 21 22 follows, presentation by the Office

1	Planning, reports of other government
2	agencies, report of the ANC, organizations
3	and persons in support, organizations and
4	persons in opposition.
5	Again, the subject of this
6	evening's hearing is Zoning Commission Case
7	Number 13-06. I'm sorry. That is not the,
8	the subject of this evening's hearing is
9	Zoning Commission Case Number 07-13D.
10	This is a request by TR SW 2, LLC
11	for approval of the modification of a PUD for
12	property located at Square 3587. Again,
13	preliminary matters, applicant's case, report
14	of the Office of Planning, report of other
15	government agencies, the report of the ANC.
16	In this case, it's 6D,
17	organizations and persons in support,
18	organizations and persons in opposition,
19	rebuttal and closing by the applicant.
20	The applicant will have 30
21	minutes. Organizations will have five

minutes, individuals three minutes.

22

For

those who may have just joined us, all persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards.

These cards are located to my left on the table near the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter sitting to my right before taking a seat at the table.

When presenting information to the Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone first stating your name and home address. When you are finished speaking, please turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record. To that effect we ask to avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that persons present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during any recess or any time.

1	Please turn off all beepers and
2	cell phones not to disrupt these proceedings.
3	Would all individuals wishing to testify
4	please rise to take the oath. Ms. Schellin,
5	would you please administer the oath?
6	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
7	(Witnesses sworn)
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, do
9	we have any preliminary matters?
10	MS. SCHELLIN: We have a couple
11	of proffered expert witnesses, and that
12	appears to be it this evening.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Before we
14	go to the expert witnesses, excuse me, I have
15	a statement I'd like to read again. The
16	Zoning Commission will hold a further hearing
17	on Zoning Commission Case Number 08-06A, the
18	Zoning Regulations Review, on Thursday,
19	January 30, 2014 from 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.
20	to hear the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions
21	and commissioners.

hearing is being held in

This

1	conjunction with Chairman Mendelson's ANC
2	quarterly meeting, and will be held at the
3	John A. Wilson building in Room 412. Notices
4	will be mailed to every commissioner in the
5	very near future. Thank you.
6	Okay, let's go Ms. Brown to
7	preliminary, I mean to our expert witnesses.
8	Good evening Ms. Brown.
9	MS. BROWN: Good evening Mr.
10	Chairman. For the record, Carolyn Brown with
11	the law firm of Holland and Knight. I am
12	joined by my partner, Mr. Glasgow of the law
13	firm of Holland and Knight.
14	We're pleased to be here tonight
15	on behalf of the applicant TR SW 2, LLC, and
16	I just want to clarify for the record the
17	square number of the project is Square 643-S
18	Lot 801. And we're prepared to proceed with
19	our expert witnesses.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I read the square
21	wrong?
22	MS. BROWN: I believe so, but

1	just wanted to confirm it.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I said Square
3	3587. That's not the square?
4	MS. BROWN: No, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
6	Well, thank you for the correction.
7	MS. BROWN: We have our expert
8	witnesses listed in Exhibit 14-D. We are
9	very pleased to have quite a bit of support
10	for our project tonight, and because of that
11	we plan on only presenting two witnesses
12	tonight, a representative of the applicant
13	Ms. Marilyn Melkonian and Mr. Hany Hassan, an
14	expert in architecture.
15	But if you have questions for any
16	of our other witnesses, we are holding them
17	in reserve. And if it's appropriate we ask
18	that they all be qualified as experts for
19	when they do answer any questions that you
20	may have.
21	All of them have qualified before
22	the Zoning Commission before as witnesses,

1	with the exception of Michael Heeney. He has
2	qualified as an expert in architecture before
3	the Board of Zoning Adjustment but not before
4	the Zoning Commission.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Brown, the
6	person that we have is Mr., I'm sorry, what
7	was the name?
8	MS. BROWN: We have Mr. Hany
9	Hassan with Beyer Blinder Belle
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	MS. BROWN: expert in
12	architecture, Dan VanPelt as an expert in
13	traffic engineering. We have Ms. Lisa
14	Delplace, an expert in landscape
15	architecture, Ms. Emily Eig, an expert in
16	historic preservation and architectural
17	history and Mr. Michael Heeney with Bing Thom
18	Architects as an expert in architecture.
19	And again, he's the only one that
20	has not been qualified before the Zoning
21	Commission, but he has been qualified before

the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so the only
2	person we'll basically deal with calling is
3	Mr. Heeney who has not been
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Do we
5	have a resume on him? I don't have a resume
6	on him.
7	MS. BROWN: On Mr. Heeney?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No.
9	MS. BROWN: Then that case I
10	withdraw him as an expert.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Mr. Tom is not
13	
14	MS. BROWN: He is not present
15	this evening.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay because
17	that's who I think we have.
18	MS. BROWN: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right,
20	so we only have, well everybody else has been
21	previously accepted?
22	MS. BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so with the 1 2 exception of Mr. Heeney who we don't have a 3 for, everyone else we've already resume 4 accepted. Any questions Commissioners? 5 Ms. Brown, we're ready to move forward. 6 MS. BROWN: Thank you. Again, 7 we're very pleased to have quite a bit of support for this project. The Office of 8 Planning, BTA, information support from the 9 10 Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6D. 11 And are not aware 12 opposition to this, so we have, 13 abbreviated prepared to make а very 14 presentation. We do have, want to clarify a couple of issues that were raised in the 15 16 Office of Planning report. will be addressing 17 Mr. Hassan these in his direct testimony in the chart 18 19 that was prepared by Mr. Jesick. He, in Mr. 20 Jesick's report he discussed the parking

us on the compact spaces we believe since we

relief that he wanted some clarification from

21

are, this project in essence is an addition to an historic building that we can provide compact spaces under Section 2120.

We do have some additional relief under the, for courts that will be presented in the slide show tonight that we have some clarification based on a meeting we had with Mr. Le Grant.

Finally, we submitted today some additional information on our most recent presentation to the Historic Preservation Review Board. We have concept approval for the designs that are submitted to you, and that will be reviewed tonight with you.

The Historic Preservation Review Board granted concept approval for those. We're very pleased with that to resolve all those outstanding issues. We have one last regulatory hurdle to go through after the Zoning Commission.

And that is to go back to the mayor's agent for historic preservation to

NEAL R. GROSS

submit our revised Project of Special Merit, which is again substituting the museum use for the Corcoran School of Arts.

So it's very similar to what you'll be seeing tonight that we need to go back to the mayor's agent. We anticipate doing that in January. So with that, we're ready to proceed with our first witness, Ms. Marilyn Melkonian. Thank you.

MS. MELKONIAN: Thank you. Good evening. I'm very happy to be here this evening. It's a pleasure to be back before this board that we met with without presenting, briefly back in June.

So we look forward to making our presentation to you in full this evening. behalf Telesis, to my company, and partners the Rubell family, we want to thank the Commission for its prior support, comments and direction in developing this into design respectful composition а historic and contemporary structures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

project will 1 The have the 2 catalytic value for redevelopment and growth, will catalytic 3 have value for true 4 development and growth in this area of our 5 city. 6 The adaptive of the reuse historically significant school structures 7 8 include a non profit contemporary art museum, restaurant, culinary incubator, educational 9 10 opportunities and other potential uses. In addition, the new development 11 will address the District's need for housing 12 13 by providing over 500 units of which 20 percent, over 100 units, will be affordable. 14 15 development The has much to 16 recommend it in the way of design, openness to the community and public benefits. 17 We seek your support. 18 19 more than two years, the 20 development team has actively engaged with and incorporated views from the community, 21

ANC,

the

HPRB,

including

the

22

the

1	Council, the Mayor's Office, and the Office
2	of Planning.
3	A signed ANC agreement was
4	reached. It's in the PUD. It's unanimous
5	report was submitted to you on November 25th
6	with only a few clarifications remaining to
7	be completed.
8	The City Council passed
9	legislation to create the statutory framework
10	for the program you see before you today as
11	well as its significant community benefits.
12	To quite Bing Thom who just
13	received the distinguished Margolese National
14	Design for Living Award prize, a reason which
15	keeps him from being with us today.
16	To quote Bing Thom, "Architecture
17	has a huge potential to change our world for
18	the better when it authentically engages the
19	community in which it is placed."
20	This site, the architectural
21	design and the process to achieve it have
22	done just that. The building design opens

into a significant landscaped courtyard, adding green space to the neighborhood to be enjoyed by residents and neighbors alike.

The landscape design created by Oehme van Sweden Associates, surrounds the perimeter of the building, including the three historic buildings along I Street.

Also improvements benefitting the adjacent Randall Recreation Center and public ball fields include a passenger drop off and easements granted to maintain full public access to the recreational facilities.

We are fortunate to have a highly talented design team. Since Bing's original concept was presented on May 27th, the design has been evolved and enhanced by Beyer Blinder Belle, architects of record in collaboration with BTA.

Hany Hassan, who has brilliantly led this effort, will present both the historic and contemporary designs to you today. The centerpiece of the development is

a new museum of contemporary art, Art in Real Time, from the Rubell Family Collection.

The Rubell Collection began in '64, and is one of the world's largest privately owned contemporary art collections.

We may all recall the groundbreaking exhibit at the Corcoran Gallery of Art last year drawn from this collection, 30 Americans.

I'm thinking you may have seen it, showing the work of 30 African American artists collected over the last 50 years. And this catalogue represents that collection, which we'd be happy to share with you.

Today in Miami, the Rubella, who are also not here, are debuting an exhibit at their museum in connection with the Art Basel Miami called 28 Chinese, which was a show collected over the last decade and six intensive trips to China to be able to begin to show contemporary Chinese art to an American audience.

This is the quality of the collection that you can expect to come to this community. We anticipate that a new contemporary art museum in Southwest will have the same transformative effect on the city's art community as did their museum in Miami's Wynwood neighborhood more than 20 years ago.

The main floor of the new museum is focused on interacting with the community, gallery space for local artists, 100 seat multimedia auditorium space, library, bookstore, café, all open to the general public, making a significant contribution to the growing art scene in Southwest.

The museum's Cultural Ambassador Program will recruit neighborhood residents, especially youth, to provide training as tour guides for the neighborhood and the city's cultural assets, a activity that you see routinely in foreign capitals, especially European capitals where the young people and

1 other people are trained to understand an information, present 2 exhibit information about the community's cultural assets for 3 4 both enjoyment and profit. 5 The design of the historic 6 building's entrance on I Street was driven by 7 both practical and desirable relationships. I'm sorry, desirable spatial relationships. 8 The most practical reason is the 9 10 ability for everyone to enter at the same place, able bodied and otherwise, without the 11 need of a mechanical lift for wheelchairs to 12 13 negotiate the change in levels. converts the 14 The design front entrance of the Randall school 15 into 16 accessible descending ramp. Entering level also different. 17 ground creates а relational aesthetic to the art and to the 18 19 museum. 20 It is not treated as a temple or a shrine with stairs ascending as in many 21

22

institutional museums.

This museum entrance

changes the first experience of the museum into one of welcoming.

It brings the visitor into the museum's cultural living room through the back of the wardrobe so to speak into the social community space where the first encounter with the art is the art created by local artists.

Instead of a security checkpoint, the first encounters by people will be with each other in the theater, the library, the café, the art project room all through the ground door.

The opportunity exists for lively conversations with neighbors, artists, people from around the city and the world and then ascending, following the museum's walkways and lifts to its upper level galleries for encounters with art imported across time and place for residents to enjoy the intimate experience between themselves and the work.

In summary, a world class

NEAL R. GROSS

contemporary art museum, а beautiful landscaped site, building design which opens to the community, enhanced by a destination restaurant with outdoor dining space that will enliven the street scape and over 500 units of housing, 20 percent of them to be affordable and the creation of over construction iobs and over three score permanent jobs with a commitment to real and local businesses, training and hiring local residents through certified business and first source agreements.

Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration. We hope for welcome your support in response the presentation that you will see today. Thank you very much.

MR. HASSAN: Good evening. My name is Hany Hassan. I'm a partner with Beyer Blinder Belle Architects, and I must say that I am absolutely privileged, myself and my team, to be involved in a project of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this importance that will bring new life to historic buildings within the Southwest area and bring new life and new energy to this particular site, which I think it would be a tremendous accomplishment to see this project go forward.

For the purpose of this presentation, have in of we terms presentation materials the physical models that you see in front of you, the scale model site, in addition for the entire detailed model for the historic building, the center historic building.

We also have complementary boards to the presentation that I'm just about to make, but I would rather go through the PowerPoint. And if we could just soften the light a little bit to be able to see the screen, which is identical to the package in front of you in terms of resolution or clarity, if you will.

The image on the top of the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

screen shows the site highlighted in the red outline, which shows image the the historic building as well as the addition which is residential addition and it shows how compatible and fitting this particular development within the context of the Southwest area.

The image at the bottom is as you approach the site from the east, you will see the historic buildings prominently displayed on I Street, with the residential buildings in the background.

Just as a reminder to, back to the approved PUD in 2007, the image on the left, in that particular proposal the addition practically enveloped the historic buildings and wrapped around it, creating an enclosed courtyard, highlighted in red, as opposed to the proposed plan that you have in front of you.

Our proposal opens the courtyards completely, allows the historic buildings

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

more room to breathe as you could see in that diagram. Beyond that, when we started our concept you could see in this diagrammatic illustration, a three dimensional model.

It does show how the residential addition was relatively closer to the historic buildings, and since then, in the proposal today brings the wings east and west. It opens up that entire area, allows the center historic building to breathe.

also set back the wings to the, more room around the historic allow buildings. In addition to the fact that we did articulate also the massing by opening up the northern block on center of the historic building to bring, not only be to symmetrically positioned in this composition but also to bring natural light into the courtyard.

The proposed plan consists of a museum space, which is going to be housed in the historic building as well as the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

addition. The East wing, which is currently the auditorium, will house a new restaurant with an outdoor terrace.

And the West wing will be a commercial space potentially housing a culinary school that will complement this particular wishes.

The housing part of this composition, the residential, will be on the north side, depicted in this image in the two L-shaped buildings, which will consist of 520 units which will be built in two phases.

As an alternate plan on the ground level in particular, we are proposing potentially having six commercial spaces open to the courtyards in that area.

commercial Those spaces could studios, maybe photography, house artist pottery or crafts and will complement the of uses the museum and the other complementary uses and it would use energy around that level.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It is not certain whether we're going to pursue this approach or not, but we wanted to put this as an option in front of you. We did also study that fully in terms of access, in terms of loading and service, which I will explain in a moment when I go to the second image to explain all the vehicular circulation and service of the plan.

In terms vehicular circulation, below this level there is two levels of parking accessible from the north through this entrance on H street. And there will also be a drop off area, which will be used to access the restaurant as well as the recreation area here as well.

off on I Street in front of the center building. All the service for this entire complex will come from this area, and it will be completely contained below grade. I will explain this in a moment.

With the exception of once or

NEAL R. GROSS

twice a year, there will be delivery to the museum in terms of relatively large objects that will come through First Street up to this area here.

And it will back up into the

loading dock, and after this delivery they will leave from I Street. On the lower level, we asked to also define were specifically the separation between residential parking and the commercial parking.

So the areas indicated in the gray tone on the east side and the west side are conveniently accessible to the two main courts of the residential buildings.

And the center area is essentially dedicated for commercial and separated from the residential through the security gate here and the security gate on this end as well.

As I mentioned before, all the service will be coming to this area here for

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

trash, recycling collection as well as the move in. On the lower level, the parking is completely separate for residential indicated in the light gray tone, with potentially an addition of, which is shown in this darker gray.

The total amount of parking in the development is 290 spaces. If we add this option that I just mentioned, it will go up to 370. More importantly in our mind is the ability to provide 550 bicycle spaces within the two levels.

On the upper levels above grade, the building in terms of massing, as you recognize it from the various renderings, it consists of two segments. There's the lower six levels and the upper six levels, which is indicated here.

This is the lower six levels and the upper six levels depicted in this diagram here. All the affordable units are distributed in such a manner to allow for

various possibilities in terms of location of the units with respect to height location and distributed in a manner that it's, that will add up to 20 percent of the development with the exception of the two top floors.

And 32 percent of the roof are going to be green as you see in those diagrams, leaving only areas for mechanical spaces or mechanical penthouse and the pool and pool tech.

Also wanted to call your penthouse attention to the in terms details. The penthouse height varies between 18 foot six to 14 foot six. And it varies depending location, on and Ι have that depicted in the model as well.

The setback is 1:1 on the perimeter, and on the inside is ten foot eight within the inside of the courtyard area. We're also asking for relief in terms of the three open courts indicated in red here and in blue is the closed court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

This image depicts practically all the innovations around the site, so on the south elevation you see the historic buildings are prominently having their own presence along I Street with the residential buildings in the background.

Also, very likely shown that are probably more visible in your package are on the top two floors we have Juliet balconies, which is the image here. Similarly, that also exists in all elevations.

In addition to that, we have also articulated the north facade in this manner with that opening in the center of this composition and highlighted the base of the building by the introduction of the expression of the town houses and two story duplexes adding more texture to the base with respect to additional balconies and also the introduction of the wood doors, which are accessible at H Street as well as at First Street on the west elevation.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Also wanted to emphasize not only in those in the previous images but as well, throughout the entire elevations development we have introduced the grounded windows that are essentially staggered and randomly located through the development consistent with the language of the tall elevation, expressing the idea of the image of dwelling in this case.

This particular view shows the corner windows prominently. This is from the corner of First Street.

And from the community recreation side, we also wanted to show the possibility of the mural that could be applied to this area, which is the entrance to the loading dock, which is reminiscent of some of the language and the mural that it already exist within the neighborhood.

On the, in terms of the historic buildings, the center building as you see it illustrated here.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We looked very closely at the original architect's intent in terms of the design of this building, which if you look at the existing conditions, we see that much of its fabric was unfortunately removed particularly at the top with the balustrade, the shield and the flag.

We are really proud to feel, to see this kind of feature of the building come back because it truly completes the composition with the presence of the existing Juliet balcony, the pediment and the center of this entire composition.

So all this would be brought back. We show it in these illustrations as well as the physical model. When we turn on the light, you will have the opportunity to see that as well.

In terms of the addition to the historic building in the center, with respect to the art museum, we could see that the new contemporary addition is clearly separated

1 from the historic building bу the 2 introduction of the glass transparent feature here, completely hyphenated from this mass. 3 4 Also, I'd like to call attention to this view where it shows through 5 6 the transparent glass, the historic fabric is 7 visible. And also we return the vertical plane of clear glass to the roof to be able 8 to see not only the cornice but also the 9 10 balustrade that will be up in the back. Ms. Melkonian also made reference 11 to the philosophy of the main museum being 12 13 accessible, totally accessible, the And when you look in this section, 14 public. it makes the point even more clearly. 15 16 In this particular case, showing the existing condition, it like 17 shows approximately nine foot five from grade, 18 19 approximately 18 rise or 18 steps to get up to the first floor level. 20 comparison to entering 21

building at this level, which is only two

foot six closer to grade, which would be fully accessible to the public not only physically but also to make it accessible to the community functions that are going to be housed at that level.

To complement this feature, we introduced the two story lobby in this case, which will ultimately will have the potential of having art display within it.

And even in the display model that we would present in a minute, it will give the opportunity of being able to see the art display through what used to be the original door and pronounce the new use and the real life of this building and attract people to enter the museum.

We've also introduced an opening within the slab to bring natural light to the skylight. And in the section here you see also how the museum addition is separated from the historic structure.

The, just very briefly going

NEAL R. GROSS

through the plans, the lower level is open to some of the local art galleries and community space, flexible space through this area here for auditorium and other functions, including library, café and others.

And the upper levels are dedicated to some of the art gallery, and the roof of the addition will be all green. The image the shows the overall on top development with respect the three to historic buildings.

As you know, at the edge or the back of the sidewalk I should say currently is a retaining wall. It's a brick retaining wall, which is not original to this site.

We would like to bring back the original road curve and berm that rises up to the buildings in this area on the west and the east. But it will roll down towards the center of the building which creates this very undulated, sculptural form.

On the east side with the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

auditorium will be housed with the restaurant will be housed. We're keeping the windows and the original character and original proportion and introducing this glass addition which will be attractive from the east as people approach the side.

Within it is this glowing box, which potentially will be the kitchen, which is in place of the proscenium which used to be in this area in terms of interpretive way of introducing interest in this area and create the necessary synergy complemented by this outdoor terrace which will bring life to the street as well.

On the west side, in the area where we will be removing part of the building and where some of this demolition will occur will be replaced with those glass bay windows, large glass bay windows to show the activity within.

We were also asked to depict the image of the loading dock within the

NEAL R. GROSS

courtyard, which is shown in this image here and the canopy above the loading dock versus this area is essentially staggered due to step to show the windows in its fullest extent in historic building.

And lastly, two images here shown day and night how this area will be very inviting for people to come into the courtyard.

As you enter the courtyard, we're showing the museum addition with just a subtle recess here with the introduction of wood once again, which is similar to the introduction of wood on H and First Street in the residential building.

And we were also asked to illustrate how the commercial buildings, in terms of renderings, will be displayed on the courtyard area. So you could see that it's screened with photos and lined with wood, which is a similar texture as it is in the museum here.

I do have material boards and material samples, which shows the type of the metal and the type of glass as well as wood as depicted in those diagrams.

And the, as I mentioned before, the project is going to be in two phases, so as we come in with the first phase which includes the renovation of the historic buildings as well as the East wing, if you will.

The remaining area will be completely landscaped and will be open, this complete open path for maximum flexibility for uses by the community perhaps in this area, and it will also be accessible through this path in this area here.

I believe that this is one last image to share with you showing the gates on the east and the west. We intend to use this feature here. These are folding and collapsible gates that will sort of fold and pivot to be retracted in this area here.

1	And I do have complementary board
2	that depicts this idea even more fully, but
3	for the benefit of the time I will just leave
4	it at this brief note. And this is the last
5	image. If there is more time, I would like
6	to show the models if we turn on the lights.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. You can go
8	ahead.
9	MR. HASSAN: First, in terms of
10	the overall composition, I just wanted to
11	call your attention to a few features of this
12	model.
13	One is the fact that the
14	residential buildings are set back or more
15	set back than before when it was presented
16	but also opened up substantially, allowing
17	the center building to breathe and be able to
18	see the courtyard within as well.
19	Also, it's a little bit hard to
20	see from here, but from the ground level, if
21	you look from the street level you will be

able to see the approach to the courtyard

from the east and the west.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

intend to improve And the we support of those perhaps areas by illumination at night as you walk through The, potentially the addition, that area. the contemporary addition of the museum, could perhaps have the potential of being luminous if you will.

Also on the north side we created the translucent material in the balcony, which also will enhance the courtyard feature as well. We did depict very specifically the historic building, the balustrade around the entire roof and the shield and flag as I mentioned before.

The other point to make is all the connecting corridors in terms of glass. They are completely transparent, so if you look at the site from any vantage point you could essentially see the transparency of these corridors connecting that composition.

This is not my favorite part of

NEAL R. GROSS

the project, so I'll just keep it out until the right moment. This shows specifically, and it's symmetrical on both sides.

You could see that we were very careful in terms of positioning the penthouses and the mechanical spaces within this area here. And this shows also the deck and the folding it symmetrically applied to both sides.

I'll just take a moment to also explain the details of the center building. The center part of this composition obviously is most important. It anchors the entire building to the ground.

And in keeping with the philosophy of this project in terms of accessibility, you could see that very subtle gradual slope that kind of brings you to that level. With the set of steps and ramps on both sides, you're accessible from all sides.

The, as I mentioned before, the skylight would illuminate through this cut in

1	the slab here and the lower level. And the
2	two story lobby, you can see the two story
3	lobby here with the installation of
4	potentially artwork in this area.
5	We will be able to see the
6	artwork through that space, through this
7	lantern here if you will, to really pronounce
8	the new use of the museum. I can, I will be
9	pleased to answer any questions or elaborate
10	on any of these details. Thank you very
11	much.
12	MS. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if it's
13	appropriate I just had a couple quick follow
14	up points to make sure that Mr. Hassan
15	covered a couple issues raised by the Office
16	of Planning Report.
17	Mr. Hassan, could you quickly run
18	through the information about the alternative
19	plan for the commercial areas?
20	MR. HASSAN: Yes, I could do it
21	through the slides, but I can also refer to
22	the package. In terms of the commercial

1 spaces, which are six along the courtyard, in 2 terms of operation, they will be from 8 o'clock in the morning until 9 o'clock at 3 4 night. They will also be accessible in 5 6 terms of loading from First Street, and in 7 terms of service, trash collection be through the corridors recycling will 8 immediately leading to the trash chute. 9 10 They go down to the lower level, which would be collected through the main 11 loading and unloading area. And I believe I 12 covered also the 13 area in terms the of required renderings of the image of 14 I believe I covered it. 15 space. 16 MS. BROWN: And just to clarify the loading for the museum piece, I believe 17 you said it was going to be one to two times 18 19 a year. And I think you meant to say one to two times per month. 20 MR. HASSAN: Right. 21 Yes.

BROWN:

MS.

22

Okay, and then for

1	the affordable dwelling units, I believe you
2	said that they would not be on the top two
3	floors, but I believe you meant to say it's
4	the top three floors. Is that correct?
5	MR. HASSAN: The top three, yes.
6	MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
7	That concludes our direct testimony.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
9	very much. We appreciate the presentation.
10	Commissioners, any questions? Anybody?
11	Okay, Commissioner May?
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. The
13	first question I have is about the courtyard,
14	and I guess this is a landscape question. So
15	I'm a little concerned about what's, the
16	landscape areas that are below the building
17	in those wings.
18	I mean how confident are we that
19	what's planted there is really going to take
20	root and grow and flourish and not be sort of
21	the dead space next to other growing things
22	that are in the courtyard that will get

direct sun?

MS. DELPLACE: Hi. My name is Lisa Delplace. I'm with Oehme, van Sweden Landscape Architects. To address that issue, as you know, there are several garden types and habitat types that are completely shade gardens.

And my firm has a great deal of expertise in growing in shade gardens. The real factor that the plants need to grow is really water, and we're providing that because they would be in the shadow of all the buildings and not able to get water.

However, those buildings are high enough, and we anticipate that it's going to be a deeply shade garden. So we had planned that from the get go.

COMMISSIONER MAY: And the fact some of it's going to be shaded and some of it's going to be in the sun, it can, there won't be a differentiation between them?

Because you have these mounded areas that are

1 planted, and so are they going to be planted 2 more uniformly or are we --(Crosstalk) 3 4 MS. DELPLACE: Absolutely. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes? 6 MS. DELPLACE: They'll have to 7 I mean we've looked at the sun and shade studies, and absolutely. They have to 8 transition between kind of a more of a shade 9 10 garden. But as you know the western sun 11 is the most detrimental to any garden, 12 morning sun, midday sun, but afternoon sun. 13 14 So we've mitigated. We've studied the plants in order to select the hardiest of plants. 15 16 But we're not concerned about that western, 17 deep western sun. COMMISSIONER 18 MAY:Okay. Is 19 there actually a plan that you submitted of 20 the commercial, I'm sorry. I'm off landscape questions, but you can stay in case 21

another one comes up. Do you actually have a

1	plan of that commercial space? Is that in
2	the, it was in what we received today?
3	MR. HASSAN: Yes. The plan that
4	we presented is on the board. It's the lower
5	diagram showing the six commercial spaces
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Ah, I see
7	there. Okay.
8	MR. HASSAN: facing the
9	courtyard.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Got it. Okay.
11	I'm kind of bouncing all over the place.
12	The
13	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry, Mr. May.
14	It's also in our drawings from November 12th
15	
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
17	MS. BROWN: on Sheet A-07.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right.
19	The north gap, if you will, between the two
20	phases you have I don't know 130 foot high
21	blank walls that are 30 feet apart, something
22	like that.

1	And then on the ground plane it's
2	half grass and half garage ramp. So it's not
3	sounding like it's a really wonderful space,
4	so I'm wondering, I mean if you thought about
5	how, what the treatment was of that space and
б	whether there actually is an opportunity for,
7	do something a little different there.
8	I mean it just feels like it's
9	going to be just this big blank space between
10	two close buildings. I mean, am I right? Is
11	it about 30 feet or is it
12	MR. HASSAN: Yes, it's 30 and 17.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
14	MR. HASSAN: It varies depending
15	on what
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: Got it.
17	MR. HASSAN: level, and it is
18	partially a landscape of the lower level.
19	And the rest is headroom for the garage
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
21	MR. HASSAN: at the ground
22	plane.

it's, I don't know. The space itself feels like it's kind of left over. I mean did you think about or consider doing any other treatments there or consider not having the ramp there so that you could actually go through it?

Or is that the plan, that you have the ramp there so you can't go through it because it's not going to be a great space? I mean it just seems like a, everything else seems to be so well thought out. And that just feels like it's left over and kind of dead.

MR. HASSAN: Well, in terms of the, thank you. In terms of the ground plane, we did think about the possibility of sloping the roof in that area on an incline to allow for the headroom but also to green that area as opposed to essentially having the open ramp visible as it is in the current plans.

NEAL R. GROSS

We also, we haven't looked into fenestration with respect to that area because the units are mostly oriented north and south, so they do have sufficient light coming through that.

So we didn't look into puncturing those areas. Although in the 30 foot there is a possibility to potentially stagger them in a way that they're not essentially facing each other per se, which will add interest in that area. Maybe it will benefit those units so that's a possibility.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I mean I think it's worth taking a look at it, even if it's not perfectly staggered so you have the total privacy because we see projects with a 30 foot space between residential units.

And it's not uncommon to have that. I mean some people find that perfectly fine, and I would prefer, if I were living in one of those units I'd prefer to have windows on two sides than windows on only one.

Anyway, I think it's worth taking a look. I also think that the treatment of the ground plane needs some look. And maybe it's what you describe, or maybe it's actually just a ground floor building connection.

I don't know. I guess, I think something there because it just feels like it's kind of leftover dead space. The, I'm also curious. I mean to the north of the project you have two large playing fields.

I don't know how, what the future holds for them, but if they wind up being something else I'm hoping that there's at least going to be some sort of public amenity there.

And your building sort of, the way you've designed it, you have no easy access to the north side at all. And I'm, it just also seems a big curious because if you're actually living there and you want to go use the ball field, you've got to come out

on the south side of the building and make
your way around.
Have you thought at all about, I
mean are you deliberately trying to sort of
fence it off or block it off from the north?
MR. HASSAN: It was not a
deliberate attempt. We, it is true that from
the overall development you have to
essentially come around the block either way.
The only area that is really
accessible to bring life to that area was
very intentional, which is on the ground
plane on H and First where we put the sort
like the expression of the townhouse
duplexes, which will bring people in and out
that.
So our intention was really to
bring life to that street and make that
connection. But what we did not do is having
that connection from the inside of the
complex to there.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, I think

you're missing an opportunity there because as I said I hope that in the future either the fields will continue to be there or there'll be some other public amenity there that will make it desirable for people to leave this building and head out there.

The, I have to say I'm not really

The, I have to say I'm not really fond of the treatment of the penthouses, and some of the images that were in the presentation or in the drawings that we received in advance show sort of a skyline.

I'm looking at A-17, which, yes A-17's a good example. First of all, it appears that you've got this screening around the pool area, which is taller than in the earlier version that we had seen at set down.

And then you have it looks like three at least, three different heights of the penthouse enclosure. And the penthouse enclosure looks exactly like the clouding of the building.

So, one of the things, and I

NEAL R. GROSS

don't know, not all the Commission always agrees with me on this, but I am strongly in the camp of having very simple penthouses that do not really look like the rest of the building and are generally darker in color than the rest of the building.

But are uniform to the greatest extent possible in height because creating these sort of up and down and different treatments and things like that sort of increase that sense of the height of the building.

This is a building that's going to be, because it's set back, it's going to be seen. You'll be able to see the penthouses more than you would in another building that's right up on the street.

And when that's the case, I mean the regulations are designed I believe to minimize the appearance of height from penthouses. They're set back, uniform in height, but it's a single mass.

It's to make it all as simple as possible to make it disappear. And what we're seeing here is not disappearing at all.

If it's the metal panel, I assume that it's going to be catching a lot of light.

And it's going to be very bright, and I think actually it needs to be maybe a different, maybe it can be the same metal panel. It just needs to be a different color, or maybe it needs to be a different treatment altogether.

And I think that the, whatever you can do to get the heights in sync would be best, particularly if you can bring the tall parts of it down because I think it really would make an improvement to the, make it seem more simple.

Having a couple heights isn't that big a deal, but I'm looking at one, two, three, four, five different heights, maybe six, so. I guess do we have a report from the November 21st HPRB meeting?

1	MS. BROWN: That was submitted
2	this morning, so it is in the record.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: It was
4	submitted this morning?
5	MS. BROWN: Yes.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: So did we
7	receive it tonight?
8	FEMALE PARTICIPANT: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I'll
10	look for that in my pile of stuff. Thanks.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
12	Vice Chair?
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman. I'm probably going to be skipping
15	around, too, because my notes come from
16	several places.
17	The PowerPoint from tonight, you
18	have an alternative ground floor plan, a
19	commercial storefront and coincidentally they
20	all state art studio.
21	Are those going to be the studio
22	spaces or gallery spaces you were talking

1	about, or is this something different to have
2	artists actually work in those studios?
3	MR. HASSAN: It hasn't been
4	determined yet specifically, but we want it
5	to put this option in front of you because
6	it's undetermined right now whether they're
7	going to be residential or commercial. But
8	we wanted to resolve that in case we go that
9	route.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay.
11	Actually, before I move on I want to thank
12	the applicant and their attorneys for putting
13	together these tables that say Zoning
14	Commission Comp, Comments at Set Down and
15	then you're addressing the OP reports.
16	I often don't compliment
17	attorneys, so I thought I would do that
18	tonight. Okay, does the Corcoran still have
19	a role in this property, project other than
20	in the proffers?
21	MS. MELKONIAN: Well, the
22	Corcoran has been part of the ANC agreement,

1	for example, to be able to provide free
2	admission to the Corcoran. And also we
3	anticipate collaboration between the Corcoran
4	and this museum.
5	As you know, this exhibit, the 30
6	Americans exhibit, was at the Corcoran
7	gallery. And we think there is potentially a
8	longer relationship to be realized from our
9	work with Corcoran through the project.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: All right,
11	because you're offering several benefits and
12	I was just wondering if they're agreeing to
13	those.
14	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: And are they
16	long term meaning each year, or is there
17	going to be a cut off to the benefits that
18	are being proposed?
19	MS. MELKONIAN: Well
20	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Because you do
21	say each year, but you don't give a period of
22	time.

1	MS. MELKONIAN: I mean we could
2	not officially commit, but informally based
3	on that agreement, they plan to do it.
4	They're doing it today I believe or within
5	the last few days was the free admission into
6	the Corcoran. So we expect it to be kept up
7	as long as there's a
8	VICE CHAIR COHEN: A Corcoran?
9	MS. MELKONIAN: Corcoran.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: All right,
11	because again, every year annual memberships
12	for five. And so every year to me would mean
13	the life of the
14	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: project.
16	MS. MELKONIAN: We hope for that.
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: And free
18	admission to the museum, I think you need to
19	clarify it in your proffers later on who that
20	is. And recruiting volunteers from the
21	neighborhood, I don't know if that's a
22	benefit to the neighborhood as much as a

1	benefit to the museum to get volunteers.
2	But those are just some reactions
3	that I had. Question about the east
4	courtyard, again from tonight's presentation
5	it seems like it's empty.
6	Is there going to be any type of
7	possible art feature there or seating
8	features? It just looked totally cold and
9	empty. But you're showing, I don't know if
10	it extend. It looks like it may extend into
11	part of it.
12	MS. MELKONIAN: We have always
13	hoped that that east wall of the museum would
14	be for outdoor video and movies, sort of a
15	Café Paradiso idea with the café being right
16	in that foreground.
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay.
18	MS. MELKONIAN: So that has been
19	the concept from the beginning of the design.
20	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay, and then
21	the materials, the metal, how does that age?
22	Does that just remain the same coloration,

1	or does it change colors over time?
2	MR. HASSAN: This particular
3	metal panels are factory applied, so it has a
4	pretty long longevity in terms of durability.
5	And it's been improved through the years.
6	There is maybe several examples that we can
7	point to that have that.
8	VICE CHAIR COHEN: That would be
9	helpful because I also wanted to know is it a
10	sustainable material. And were we importing
11	it from, is it being made in the USA? Is it
12	being made close by, so it's life cycle
13	history?
14	MR. HASSAN: Will do.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Again, I know
16	this is going to be market driven, but do you
17	have any idea when you're going to time Phase
18	2 after the completion of Phase 1?
19	MS. BROWN: I think what we had
20	planned to do, which is pretty consistent
21	with other PUDs that are phased is that we
22	would complete Phase 1 and then the Phase 2

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	permit application would need to be filed
2	within two years after completion of Phase 1.
3	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay. That's
4	good. One of the things about tandem
5	parking, are you talking about them to be one
6	car pulls in and one behind?
7	Because my experience is they
8	often don't work unless you have somebody
9	who's a valet who can move cars around? Is
10	that the tandem you're talking about.
11	MR. HASSAN: Yes. That is the
12	case.
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: So will you
14	have a valet? Because I would seriously
15	reconsider that if you're not planning to
16	unless two people have, a family has two cars
17	it really does not work well.
18	One car will take up two spaces
19	because they don't want to be at the mercy of
20	another car behind them and especially if
21	this is a future condominium, that's going to
22	be, you know, impossible thing.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	My last question is really we
2	talk about affordable housing and Ms.
3	Melkonian I've known you for many years and
4	your work especially in Hope 6, Ellen Wilson
5	as an example.
6	So I consider you an expert in
7	affordable housing. Is this going to be like
8	an 80/20 deal where 20 percent is affordable
9	to 80 percent of median income is my
10	understanding?
11	And I don't need a precise
12	answer, but based on your experience. Let's
13	say you're required to make some of those
14	units available to 60 percent of median
15	income.
16	What would that do to the
17	economics of the project? How much would you
18	think it would take to subsidize the other 10
19	percent to bring them down to 60 percent of
20	median?
21	Do you have any idea off the top
22	of your head, on the back of a napkin? Do

1	you need five minutes, and I'll come back to
2	you?
3	MS. MELKONIAN: Well, you're
4	talking about a capital subsidy to do that
5	that the city would provide?
б	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes.
7	MS. MELKONIAN: Is that what
8	you're, well
9	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I think that's
10	what it would have to be.
11	MS. MELKONIAN: It would.
12	There's no question about that. As you know,
13	the 80 percent is in the legislation along
14	with the other community benefits.
15	I mean there's just no minimizing
16	the non-revenue generating aspects of this
17	development, especially its heart and soul
18	which you'll see, contemporary art museum.
19	So I mean we could let you know
20	the answer to that, but to give you an idea,
21	80 percent of median is about a third below
22	market.

1	It's about a third below market,
2	so you can see the relative increase and
3	therefore the loss of additional revenue and
4	therefore the outright capital subsidy that
5	would have to substitute for that. So I'd be
6	happy to give you an example.
7	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Could you
8	please? I just am trying to understand.
9	MS. MELKONIAN: Understand how
10	deep the subsidies have to be to
11	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Yes.
12	MS. MELKONIAN: Well, we share
13	the same objectives as you, but I think in
14	this case the community benefit package has
15	been amply devoted to the uses that we've
16	described tonight.
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Well, I agree
18	with it.
19	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Twenty percent
21	affordable housing is pretty significant.
22	The retention of a historic project, I don't

1 know if you're going in for historic tax 2 credits or not. But the other things are, they're 3 4 important, but I just was, I am curious to And I'm going to be asking this a lot 5 6 because I think that one of the problems the 7 city will have is that we're going to be able to house people at 80 percent of median 8 9 income, which is workforce housing. 10 But 60 percent of median income 11 and 50 percent of median housing is also So I just want to get a workforce housing. 12 13 handle on that. Okay, thank you. 14 MS. MELKONIAN: You're welcome. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. 16 Commissioner Miller? 17 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you, Chairman. I just want 18 Mr. to start 19 reiterating what Ι said and what the 20 applicant said tonight and what I had said at the set down that I shared with you that 21 22 there is an enormous catalytic value of this

project for the redevelopment and growth of the Southwest neighborhood and the adaptive reuse of this historic landmark with this world class contemporary art museum.

It's really a benefit not just to the neighborhood but to the District as a whole and to the region and all the activity that's going to go on inside that museum and the interactive space and the housing, 500 housing units, 20 percent of which are affordable to 80 percent AMI is all great.

And I appreciate the applicant's responsiveness to the issues raised by both the Office of Planning and Zoning Commission, as Vice Chair pointed out.

And the chart that was prepared was very helpful, and some of that responsiveness was to particularly concerns I had raised including you've eliminated the bollards at the ground, and you've added balconies at the top and randomly throughout.

On the balconies, which I think

NEAL R. GROSS

will help establish a residential, more of a residential character to the building when you look at it in the context of the neighborhood and help break up some of the massing.

But they're Juliet balconies

But they're Juliet balconies which I realize there's a connection with, there's a Juliet balcony apparently that was on the historic central Randall building.

And I guess you're trying to keep somewhat of a, some design concept is to keep somewhat of a flat plane behind this historic landmark so that it stands out.

But I'm wondering if you considered, if you did consider as Office of Planning points out in its report, the use of actual usable balconies.

And if you did and if you're not proposing that, if not why not? And how many Juliet balconies actually being proposed in the current iteration? Yes, how many are being proposed?

1	MR. HASSAN: I don't have the
2	exact number of the Juliet balconies, but I
3	just realized you just made reference to
4	that. But I did not mention that there are
5	Juliet balconies in the courtyard itself that
6	I didn't point out.
7	But they are in the package, and
8	they're highlighted as well. So in addition
9	to the upper levels all around the exterior
10	on the interior of the courtyard there are
11	balconies, but they are kind of randomly
12	placed throughout not only on the top floors.
13	We did not consider projecting
14	those balconies out at those levels with the
15	exception of the lower levels to create that
16	expression of the base.
17	We opted to keep them sort of
18	flat within the surface in order to maintain
19	the expression of the mass and not break it
20	at that level. So it's kind of a subtle

But it's relatively effective as

change.

21

1	you open the windows. It's like as if there
2	is a balcony. It is true that it doesn't
3	project out as a real balcony.
4	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Well, if
5	you're able to provide, I think I'd like a
6	little bit more information on the exact
7	number of I guess those ground level.
8	They're Juliet balconies, they're not real
9	balconies either?
10	MR. HASSAN: The lower levels are
11	real balconies.
12	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Are real
13	balconies, yes. I can see that rendering a
14	lot better. I think I'd like to see a better
15	rendering of the Juliet balconies, or maybe
16	I've just missed it on one of the slides
17	here.
18	But I'd like to see that, but I'd
19	also like, and I appreciate all that you've
20	done to try to break up the massing with all
21	the glass and the rounded.
22	And now you have the glass

1	window, the curved windows. I guess they're
2	going to be curved windows?
3	MR. HASSAN: They will be curved.
4	They're not segmented.
5	COMMISSIONER MILLER: That's a
6	very, that will be something unusual to see
7	and probably very pretty. I still would, so
8	I think I'd like to get a rendering of the
9	Juliet balconies, an exact number, not exact
10	but some approximate number of how many of
11	the units will actually have them.
12	And I would, I personally think
13	that the more usable balconies would add to
14	the building. And I don't think it would
15	detract from. Of course this is all in the
16	eye of the beholder.
17	I don't think it would detract
18	from the concept that you're trying to create
19	of a totally different backdrop to the
20	historic landmark. It is such a, it is kind
21	of a big massing that it needs, I think it

just needs all that, as much articulation as

1	it can.
2	And because of that I also would
3	agree with Commissioner May's comments that
4	to the extent the, and because it is so set
5	back from the street, to the extent that
6	those roof structures can be, can disappear
7	more the better.
8	And I'm thinking you maybe had
9	some good suggestions of possible ways to do
10	that. The, one aspect I had as Chairman
11	notes on the LEED. They're trying to go for
12	LEED Silver.
13	But I saw on the charts that you
14	had possible points to go as high as Gold and
15	for Platinum. Can you just speak to that a
16	little bit more.
17	MR. HASSAN: We are, we can
18	easily achieve the certified, but we can
19	accomplish the Silver. So we're, we can work
20	on achieving that now.
21	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Okay. All

right. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr.

1	Chair.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Mr.
3	Turnbull?
4	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank
5	you, Mr. Chair. I would associate my
6	comments with Commissioner Miller. I think
7	this is going to be a significant project in
8	the area, and I think you're doing a very
9	strong job at trying to get it to work.
10	But that being said, I wanted to
11	go back up. With the HPRB report, have you
12	addressed, they had a couple of concerns in
13	here. Have you done any changes, avoid
14	lowering the auditorium windows? Was that
15	MR. HASSAN: Yes, we did. And we
16	complied with that in terms of the, there's
17	one image and there's several images in the
18	package. Actually, it's on A-15, the
19	auditorium building.
20	We have maintained the windows in
21	their current configuration and proportion.
22	And we have decided not to elongate them, and

1	not to
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
3	MR. HASSAN: extend them down.
4	So we've responded to it practically.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Then on
6	the west elevation, the West wing north
7	elevation, the windows here, how high are
8	they above grade? I'm looking at them
9	individual there, and it looks like it's, is
10	it seven feet, eight feet?
11	MR. HASSAN: The sill of that
12	window, I'll bring that page, just one
13	second. Thank you. The sill for the first
14	floor coincides with the existing sill of the
15	existing windows. And the uppers is at the
16	floor level. The second sill for the second
17	floor.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess I
19	was just trying to get from that elevation.
20	I'm looking at the door that, which has got
21	to be about a six foot eight, seven foot

door.

1	MR. HASSAN: It's approximately
2	seven feet about grade.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Seven
4	feet? So I just thought it was kind of low
5	for people walking by that somebody might get
6	hit. It just looked awfully close.
7	I mean I understand what you're
8	trying to do, have people look in and make a
9	very visual and I think that's commendable.
10	But it just looked a little low right there
11	that it didn't meet, that's a code issue.
12	That's a building code issue.
13	MR. HASSAN: Understood.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It's not
15	for us. I just thought it was. On the
16	parking you mentioned light gray and dark
17	gray on the lower level. The dark gray is
18	not in the plan right now. It's an option or
19	what?
20	MR. HASSAN: On the lower level,
21	the second level down, the dark gray is an
22	optional parking area that we may go to,

1	which will bring the parking from 290 to 370.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So in
3	your first phase you're not building that
4	parking? You're not building it out. It's
5	just going to be empty space?
6	MR. HASSAN: We will only be
7	building the area that it's under the first
8	phase and is depicted in the plan.
9	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
10	MR. HASSAN: You have the page.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, so
12	it's still going to be an option. You
13	haven't really decided whether or not you're
14	pursuing that.
15	MS. BROWN: Yes, we would ask for
16	flexibility to pursue the additional
17	alternate P-2 level.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: On the
19	commercial units, which is an option also, if
20	you're not providing affordable units I am
21	assuming you're going to take away an overall
22	affordable unit from the plan. Are you going

1	to keep them at the same amount? Or is it 20
2	percent of whatever you're going to end up
3	with?
4	MS. BROWN: It's 20 percent of
5	the gross square footage.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
7	The landscape area, heavily landscaped berms,
8	very intense, lots of different variety of
9	plants going on.
10	Are you familiar with Bartholdi
11	Park in the National Garden, very intensive
12	areas, lots of varieties? But the Architect
13	of the Capitol has a professional staff that
14	can maintain those. Who's going to maintain
15	these?
16	MS. DELPLACE: Well, as you know,
17	we've been doing gardens of this intensity
18	for a long time in Washington, and the plants
19	that we select are low maintenance. And
20	that's not no maintenance but low
21	maintenance.

So we really look at associations

and plants that grow together, and they're a four season garden. So we're depending on those plants to look fabulous even in the fall and winter.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm just concerned that the best of all worlds, I mean you've got an image of what you want to maintain, and I'm just concerned that there's going to be people coming up. They're going to be, if you, I'm not sure what the intensity of use you're going to have in that courtyard.

But I'm just, if you don't have dedicated people, at least а couple grounds people that are going to be taking care of those, I think you're going to have trying to maintain some issues at that character especially if you've got a museum and you want to maintain an appearance.

And I don't know if you've thought about that, but I think it should be something in your plans to be able to think

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	about an area like that.
2	MS. DELPLACE: Oh, you would
3	absolutely have to have maintenance. That's,
4	I'm not saying that there's no maintenance,
5	but in the gardens that we've been designing
6	for years, we've been looking.
7	And those have been pretty
8	intense, the World War II Memorial, Martin
9	Luther King Memorial, so we have experience
10	in public spaces. So we know about how
11	people use the space.
12	And we really want people to
13	engage in this space. And so the berms
14	actually in the courtyard are designed so
15	people can engage with those plants. It's
16	really important. It's part of the overall
17	concept of that.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well,
19	they're not going to be going up the berms.
20	MS. DELPLACE: No.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay. I
22	would agree with Commissioner May's comment

1	about some kind of a pathway or something
2	that would lead through going to the north.
3	It has, there's an advantage.
4	There's an opportunity there that
5	you might be missing, whether it's a kind of
6	continuing on with that curvilinear theme
7	some kind of a path. My other thought is
8	that if those are commercial units, isn't the
9	courtyard feeling going to change?
10	Why would you have heavily
11	planted berms in an area where you're going
12	to have artist studios or something going on.
13	Now, you've changed the intensity of the use
14	of the courtyard.
15	You're introducing an element
16	that goes beyond. Now you're going to have
17	people coming in and out of a store or a
18	craft or a studio, and I'm just wondering
19	maybe you might want to look at, I mean the
20	plan is different.
21	But I'm just wondering if there's

change

again, there's another

22

of use.

1	There's another character that you might want
2	to be looking at as far as that area. I'm
3	just throwing that out.
4	It just seems that instead of
5	just having residents walking around, now
6	you've introduced or visitors walking and
7	meandering. There's another intensity with,
8	you've stepped up the use of it.
9	And it just seems like that being
10	the case maybe you might want to rethink how
11	that courtyard actually gets played out. I'm
12	just throwing that out. It just seems like
13	it's different.
14	You're creating something
15	different. It could be an opportunity with
16	either paving, different changes in paving or
17	something, but it's like you want it. If
18	you're going to change from residential, it's
19	something else.
20	I think the other thing is
21	there's a, we talked about lighting I mean in

this area, and the museum hours and you

talked about the commercial area being open 6:00 to 9:00.

And I don't know how late the museum stays open, if it's going to be 8:00 or 9:00 until 6:00 or go longer, but I'm just wondering about what the, again, I'm looking at the life of this courtyard.

You've got a dichotomy. You've got an exciting art facility. You want to have an intensity of bringing people in, but you've also got the residential character where maybe most of them are going to be artists so they won't care.

But I'm just wondering about the low lighting. If you're going for LEED I'm assuming you're having low lighting in the courtyard, not shining up into the building.

But I guess I'd like to see that described a little bit more as to what your intent is as for light levels and what that courtyard is going to look like at night when the residents are there.

1	I guess the other thing,
2	continuing on with I think Commissioner May
3	and Commissioner Miller both talked about the
4	penthouses, and I'm concerned, too.
5	I guess we don't have a drawing,
6	an actual drawing of penthouse roofs where
7	I'd like to see a section through where the
8	pools are. I don't think we have a sectional
9	drawing. I don't think. Do we?
10	FEMALE PARTICIPANT: L-16.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, L-
12	16's only a plan, but if we could have a roof
13	section through that. I'd like, again
14	looking at, although you've said you'd meet
15	the height restrictions back and forth, I
16	really don't have anything that shows me
17	that.
18	Some of the things looked higher
19	than 14'6" and 16'6" near the face of some of
20	those buildings. And maybe they all are
21	within, but I'd like to see a roof plan of

the various buildings showing either the 1:1

1	setback and actual section through it to make
2	sure that what we're looking at.
3	And maybe a little bit more on
4	the penthouse area for the pool, what's going
5	on with the roof up there. Bike racks, you
6	got a lot of bikes in the building. I only
7	see 12 bikes on the top right now, six and
8	six.
9	Am I wrong, or is there more? I
10	think there's a comment where you might be
11	having more or you're going to work with our
12	friends at the end of the year, end of the
13	dais here.
14	MS. BROWN: Yes, we have ten bike
15	racks now.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Ten?
17	MS. BROWN: Ten.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I gave
19	you 20.
20	MS. BROWN: Well, there's 20.
21	There's a total of 20
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Twenty.
	I and the second

1	MS. BROWN: capacity for 20
2	that we're showing both on the east and west.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, and
4	I think they were looking for some more, so
5	you're working on developing a plan to get
6	more.
7	MS. BROWN: Well, we have, I'm
8	trying to think about the proffers for the
9	capital bike share, and
10	MR. VANPELT: Yes, I mean I think
11	that there's, in our plans there's a proffer
12	or TDM plan to have capital bike share
13	contribution.
14	But I think one of the things
15	that DDOT notes in their staff report that
16	you've already picked up on, Commissioner
17	Turnbull, is that we're going to be working
18	with DDOT through the public space review
19	process to kind of define the right spaces
20	for those
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
22	MR. VANPELT: of the short

1	term bike spaces and where they would be
2	located on the ground level.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
4	MS. BROWN: And for the record,
5	Mr. VanPelt just answered that question.
6	MR. VANPELT: Yes, that's right.
7	Dan VanPelt with Grove/Slade.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The
9	gates, you went quickly through the gates and
LO	showed a little drawing of it, but when do
11	those gates go up? Or what's the nature of
12	those? How does that work?
13	MR. HASSAN: It's the last one.
L4	They do occur only on the east and the west
15	here, and it's they're bifolding on rails.
L6	And I think Lisa could assist me in that as
L7	well because they also sort of pivot as well.
L8	So, Lisa maybe you want to elaborate on this
L9	more in detail?
20	MS. DELPLACE: So currently as
21	they're designed, they're designed to fold
22	flat against both buildings so that most of

1	the time they would be completely open.
2	But we did want to show how that
3	would function if the gate does in fact go in
4	because we wanted to make sure. So it's a
5	bifold rolling on a track and then folds
6	against the historic West wing and the East
7	wing and the new building.
8	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So would
9	they potentially close like at 10 o'clock at
10	night, or is the park open all the time or
11	are we, is there hours that the park is going
12	to be, the courtyard's going to be open then?
13	MS. DELPLACE: I think the
14	intention is that they would remain open most
15	of the time, that this is part of the
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
17	MS. DELPLACE: community
18	experience.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: All
20	right, so there's
21	MS. DELPLACE: And so if in the
22	future there needed to be gates, we imagine

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	them being very transparent and the ability
2	to fold completely back.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: If the
4	President shows up, you could close it off.
5	I see. Okay. I'm trying to think if there's
6	anything else.
7	Oh, ANC 6D sort of has in their
8	reported stated that you're working on a
9	construction management agreement with them.
10	Did I understand that correctly, or is that
11	one of their, I need to get the report out I
12	guess.
13	Exhibit 22, the issues that we,
14	they said that they voted conditionally to
15	approve it, but they did mention agreement
16	with the applicant on a formal construction
17	management plan. So I take it that's
18	something you're going to be working with
19	them on?
20	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes, and I
21	believe Chairman Litsky from the ANC is here
22	tonight.

1	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I do see
2	him in the back.
3	MS. MELKONIAN: And so we have
4	not, as he said in his report in the ANC's
5	report, which he transmitted
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
7	MS. MELKONIAN: we have not
8	had the opportunity to sit down and talk
9	about these items
LO	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
11	MS. MELKONIAN: that were
12	listed in the report and that
13	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: With the
L4	ANC, okay. All right. Thank you. Okay, I
15	think Mr. Chair, I'll relinquish. I'm done.
L6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I don't
L7	want to be redundant as all my colleagues
L8	have went forward, and a lot of my concerns
L9	and questions have been asked.
20	But I, too, am going to associate
21	myself with what Mr. Turnbull just asked
22	about the ANC and those four points. And you

1	clarified. I would be interested in seeing
2	how that's going to come back to the
3	Commission.
4	Also, I think you mentioned about
5	the penthouses. I would agree with what I've
6	heard from all my colleagues, not to be
7	redundant. The only other issue that I did
8	not understand or was not clear, and this is
9	way back when Commissioner May was asking.
10	I think one of the questions
11	that, and I also, too, appreciate the way
12	it's outlined. I just noticed that my name
13	is omitted, which was fine, but I think my
14	question was what are the impacts to the
15	Randall Recreation Center and baseball field.
16	I didn't understand that Mr.
17	Hassan, the way that you were saying that.
18	How do we access? In the report it says the
19	modification PUD will improve access to the
20	Randall Recreation Center. What about to the
21	baseball fields?

I didn't understand that because

1	I can tell you those baseball fields now are
2	being used quite frequently by a lot of
3	people across the city. And I just don't
4	understand. How is that going to better
5	improve?
6	Are we talking about the
7	recreation center and the baseball field or
8	just the entrance to the rec center? How is
9	the access going to improve?
10	MR. HASSAN: I mean the access is
11	going to be in general on the side of the
12	recreation center. I'm not sure.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, so in other
14	words
15	MR. HASSAN: I'm sorry. I'm not
16	understanding the question. I apologize.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, I guess
18	when I look at the report, and I heard a
19	conversation that you and Commissioner May,
20	sounds like the access is going to be more
21	difficult to get back to the ball fields.
22	So the report, at least the way I

understand it, what I see here on, behind Tab
C was not exactly what was testified to. So
I'm just trying to understand the access to
the baseball fields and that conversation
because that conversation was had.

MS. MELKONIAN: The access to the baseball fields will be along H Street, and that will be improved. And they'll be crosseasements given by the private owner, land that we own to make sure that there's access, public access, continuing to the baseball fields themselves.

The turnaround will also serve as a drop off for people going to the swimming pool, going to the baseball field, and there will be an improvement to the south side, I'm sorry, the north side of the building roadway you see. You don't see it on this side, but it's on this drawing here. Yes.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So help me understand, when you say improvement to get to the baseball field?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MS. MELKONIAN: So if you visited
2	it lately
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I have. That's
4	why I know. I know exactly what, I was just
5	on the baseball field about two or three
6	months ago
7	MS. MELKONIAN: Right.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: before it got
9	cool
10	MS. MELKONIAN: So that's a very
11	
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: for a
13	championship game. I want you to know I do
14	come around there.
15	MS. MELKONIAN: Needs adequate
16	paving and improvements that way, and I think
17	we have shown, I believe, well, yes.
18	MS. BROWN: If I may, Mr. Hood,
19	this area of H Street up here is private
20	property, and it's part of the Telesis or the
21	private property.
22	There will be an easement that

will allow the public to cross over this private property, and that's the access point that's going to be part of the cross-easements with the city.

So that that's why the access to the ball fields will improve because the public will be allowed to cross this private property that is part of H Street that is closed right now.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, so your testimony is that it will be improved. Okay. I'll take your word for it. Other than that I think the way the make up is, especially with the view going into the historic part and just being able to see the art, I really like the way that's done.

I would associate myself with Commissioner Miller. I think that the project is going to uplift. With a few of the comments that I've heard today, if we do that, I think it's going to uplift the neighborhood. But I would be interested to

1	see what comes back from ANC 6D. Okay.
2	MS. BROWN: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.
4	That's all I have. Anything else?
5	Commissioner?
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
7	Chair, I just have one question. A building
8	permit, are you going to be going by March
9	2014?
10	MS. BROWN: And then that's one
11	of the issues that I did want to bring up
12	when we wrap everything up in the areas of
13	flexibility. We, this, our PUD extension
14	request does expire March 24, 2014.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
16	MS. BROWN: And in any approval
17	that we get for this PUD modification, we
18	would need the order issued prior to that
19	expiration or we would ask for an extension
20	during that time period in that gap that
21	might exist.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: When do

1	you think you might be going for a building
2	permit?
3	MS. BROWN: We would, well we'd
4	have to obviously get building permit
5	drawings together once this gets approved.
6	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right.
7	MS. BROWN: So I would, it's
8	going to take quite, at least another six to
9	12 months before we could pull that together.
10	So
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So you're
12	looking at a one year extension or two year
13	extension or one year extension?
14	MS. BROWN: I mean ideally we
15	would have the gap between March 24, 2014 and
16	the issuance of this new PUD modification
17	order so that the, it would be stayed I would
18	say until this new order were issued. And
19	then, if that makes sense.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
21	Yes, I just don't, I guess we'd have to, I
22	don't know whether we need a follow extension

1	or whether we
2	MS. BROWN: Right, and we can
3	talk to the Office of Attorney General may be
4	the best way to handle that.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
6	All right. Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to, I neglected
9	to mention a couple of the other exciting
10	uses when I was going over all the exciting
11	uses of the project and I don't want to leave
12	them without being mentioned.
13	The restaurant and the upper
14	terrace is fantastic, and the culinary
15	incubator if that's what that commercial
16	space turns out to be or the art studios I
17	think that's all great.
18	And public benefit of, is it
19	still a public benefit that there's free
20	admission to the museum, or what is the
21	actual admission cost or policy?
22	MS. MELKONIAN: It is in our

1	agreement with the ANC. There will be free
2	admission to residents of Southwest.
3	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Right.
4	MS. MELKONIAN: Sure. Whether
5	it's free always we don't know, but it may
6	be.
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: You mean
8	free always for outside Southwest?
9	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER MILLER: So I think
11	that is an important neighborhood community.
12	MS. MELKONIAN: Yes, but it will
13	be free to Southwest residents.
14	COMMISSIONER MILLER: And I think
15	the, I do think that the training, even
16	though it's not paid, Madam Vice Chair, I
17	think training neighborhood youth in art
18	education and how to maybe lead tours could
19	be a very beneficial opportunity that might
20	lead to other paid opportunities for them in
21	the future. I didn't want to discount that.
22	VICE CHAIR COHEN: And I don't

1	disagree with the way you've presented it,
2	but the way it's been presented. I would say
3	that it's a greater benefit to the museum and
4	you get volunteers since, I just don't, I
5	think it needs to be elaborated if intended
6	the way you've mentioned it. That's all.
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I saw it as
8	a win-win.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I just wanted
10	to bring to attention, I know the Vice Chair
11	mentioned about some of the public benefits
12	that we're going to be, I'm going to be
13	looking for the response of the 2403 of our
14	new regulations.
15	We used to it call the, I used to
16	like the old name baffle order or buffle,
17	whatever it was. But now we do 2403.15 with
18	some of these new things because I'm looking
19	at some specificity on some of these public
20	benefits.
21	So we'll be looking for that at

the appropriate time. Are there any other

1	questions or comments? Okay. Oh, cross, I
2	was just trying to see where we were. Thank
3	you. It's always good to have somebody to
4	help you. Okay, let's do cross examination.
5	Chairman Litsky, you have any
6	cross? Okay, if we don't have any other
7	parties, we'll go now to the report of the
8	Office of Planning and the District
9	Department of Transportation.
10	MR. JESICK: Thank you, Mr.
11	Chairman and members of the Commission. My
12	name is Matt Jesick. The Office of Planning
13	strongly supports the proposed modification
14	application.
15	We are very pleased to have
16	worked with the applicants and sat down and
17	are pleased with the results of some of the
18	design changes they made including locating a
19	break in the northern facade and using glass
20	in the bridges or hyphens.
21	We feel that those changes help
22	to break up the mass of the building.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	There's also been a significant increase in
2	bicycle parking, which we strongly support,
3	and the use of rounded class on the corner
4	elements of the building.
5	Since our report has been issued,
6	we had further discussions with the applicant
7	on the items in which we requested more
8	information. And I believe they've addressed
9	all those items.
10	Two small things that I wanted to
11	bring to the attention of the Commission,
12	which I think may have been discussed to some
13	extent this evening, one item we were looking
14	for was the commitment to a no idling policy.
15	And I don't think that has been
16	mentioned this evening, but in my discussions
17	with the applicant they did say that that
18	would not be a problem, that above ground
19	loading dock.
20	They could commit to a no idling
21	policy. Also there was some discussion about

the hours of the security gates at the

1	entrances to the courtyard.
2	In my discussions with the
3	applicant, there was some talk of placing
4	definitive hours on that. I think we'd be
5	looking for a little bit more elaboration,
6	either in a future submission or in the order
7	on what, if any, those particular hours would
8	be. But other than that, we fully support
9	the project and recommend approval. Thank
10	you.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr.
12	Jesick. Mr. El-Amin?
13	MR. EL-AMIN: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairman and fellow Commissioners. We have a
15	brief presentation. Overall, DDOT supports
16	the, excuse me, we found that the proposed
17	modifications to the previously adopted
18	Randall school PUD is not likely to have
19	additional impact on the District's
20	transportation network.
21	The applicant's proposal to
22	reduce the onsite parking and also relocate

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	the primary loading docks to the underground
2	garage are very positive changes.
3	DDOT is concerned about the back
4	end truck movements for the museum that would
5	encroach into public space. However, the
6	applicant has agreed to work with DDOT to
7	resolve all outstanding public space issues
8	as we've outlined in our report.
9	This also includes the public
10	space bicycle parking as well as some of the

This also includes the public space bicycle parking as well as some of the design issues that were brought up in their presentation this evening.

There was one issue related to their TDM plan concerning the proffer for the capital bike share station. The condition in the comprehensive transportation review indicates that a proffer would only be offered if there was not a capital bike share station constructed within a two block radius of the site.

There already is a station within that vicinity, so that almost rescinds the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	proffer. So we would ask that particular
2	element be struck and that the offer would
3	stand without that condition. And that
4	concludes my report. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you
6	both, Mr. Jesick, Mr. Henson, Mr. El-Amin.
7	Any questions of either DDOT or Office of
8	Planning? Vice Chair?
9	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
10	Chairman. I would just like to ask DDOT what
11	they're going to do about I Street SW and
12	South Capitol Street.
13	There seems to be an
14	extraordinary number of crashes that occur,
15	and it seems like we shouldn't wait for
16	another couple of years. I think something
17	needs to be done, and I'm referring to Page
18	50 of the traffic impact study.
19	MR. HENSON: Jamie Henson with
20	DDOT. We have an \$800 million South Capitol
21	Street corridor reconstruction project that's
22	in the process of working its way through the

1	environmental process to address that and
2	many other issues in the area.
3	That'll reconstruct that area,
4	bring M Street and South Capitol Street at
5	grade, re-imagine the area where you're
6	referring to at I Street and then do away
7	with the hanging ramp that goes under the
8	bridge there, which is one of the problems.
9	And then rationalize the ramp
10	that's coming down from 395 onto South Cap,
11	but
12	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Can you
13	MR. HENSON: Sure, go ahead. I'm
14	sorry.
15	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Can you give
16	me a better estimate of timing though? I
17	know that it's
18	MR. HENSON: Oh, I wish I could.
19	I don't. I'm
20	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Could that
21	MR. HENSON: But you're looking
22	at build out in five to seven year range give

1	or take at least for all of those.
2	There's some pretty complicated
3	things going on there with a lot of different
4	movements between the off ramp and the ramp
5	coming down and then I Street and then M
6	Street diving and service roads. We're
7	working on fixing that, but that's no, those
8	are not quick fix items.
9	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay, so there
10	are no priorities for that?
11	MR. HENSON: Well, the priority
12	is to replace the bridge that's
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: The whole
14	bridge, okay.
15	MR. HENSON: in pretty bad
16	shape. And I don't know what comes next, but
17	then there's three or four other sub-
18	projects.
19	VICE CHAIR COHEN: So when you
20	replace that bridge, does that contribute to
21	eliminating or reducing the number of crashes
22	because it's really disturbing.

1	MR. HENSON: Not necessarily at
2	that intersection, but as we move through the
3	project and begin to rationalize the
4	capacity, make it a little more neighborhood
5	focused, take away some of the service lanes,
6	that will because you start removing some of
7	the conflict points. But no, it's not going
8	to be a quick operation.
9	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I'm going to
10	avoid that intersection from now on.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. That will
12	be less traffic down there for me, so I can
13	go in that area. Any other questions?
14	Commissioner Turnbull?
15	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes,
16	thank you, Mr. Chair. This is for Mr. El-
17	Amin or Mr. Henson. The order for this,
18	although this is a modification, it's a
19	significant modification.
20	I mean if you go back to the old
21	order of 2008, it makes a lot of reference to
22	the Corcoran this. The operation has

1	changed, and I didn't look and I meant to ask
2	the applicant.
3	But shower facilities for
4	cyclists? Does a shower for cycle, it's in
5	the original order? As I say, we have, this
б	is a modification, but I don't know if we've
7	talked.
8	I think you need to go back and
9	look at this original order. And it also
10	talks about the Corcoran. It says the
11	Corcoran will incorporate transit information
12	in the new employee student orientation
13	information.
14	And then for the residential
15	building, transit information will be
16	provided to new residents upon moving. Have
17	you talked about screening?
18	MR. EL-AMIN: Yes, sir. Transit
19	screens are actually offered. They
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
21	MR. EL-AMIN: updated their
22	TDM in the comprehensive transportation

1	review, and so they did include, the transit
2	screens are included.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess I
4	missed that.
5	MR. EL-AMIN: Okay. It's
6	included.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: But I
8	think we'll want to make sure, I mean when we
9	talk about a modification I think we're going
10	to, the order's going to have to be
11	significantly changed, the language and
12	everything in it. That was it.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
14	comments from either one? Commissioner May?
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Office of
16	Planning, so what does the future hold for
17	the Randall Rec Center and athletic fields.
18	MR. JESICK: To my knowledge,
19	there are no plans at this time to do away
20	with the rec center or the fields.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: But there have
22	been, I've seen proposals in the newspaper

1	that people are, I don't know offering
2	unsolicited proposals to redevelop the area.
3	Is any of that gaining any sort of traction?
4	MR. JESICK: Not that I know of.
5	There was one proposal for I believe a
6	school, which we may be referring to, and as
7	far as I know that is, well I can't say it's
8	off the table. But I haven't heard anything
9	lately about that proposal.
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. All
11	right. Well I know that the land is
12	transferred to the ownership of the District
13	as part of the D.C. Federal Lands Act some
14	years ago, and I think you, I mean I don't
15	know what the thoughts were at the time.
16	But I always had the impression
17	that there might be some other development
18	there, but that's not moving along, so okay,
19	thanks.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, no other
21	questions. Do we have any cross examination
22	Ms. Brown?

1	MS. BROWN: No cross.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Chairman
3	Litsky, you have any cross examination?
4	Okay, other government reports, and I'm just
5	going to read into the record straight from
6	the Office of Planning's report.
7	Mr. Jesick, I appreciate you
8	summarizing this. He says that in a November
9	5th email, DACB states that the reuse of the
10	school is appropriate and should enliven the
11	I Street area.
12	They also note that the
13	provisions of affordable housing supports the
14	core mission of DACB. The Metropolitan
15	Police Department and D.C. Water have no
16	objections to the project though D.C. Water
17	notes that some of the water infrastructure
18	near the project, which is over 100 years
19	old, would likely need to be replaced by the
20	applicant.
21	D.C. Water will conduct a more
22	detailed engineering review at the time of

1	building permit, and that saved me from
2	having to look at all three of those letters
3	and read all that. I really like the way you
4	captured that.
5	Okay. Again, thank you both Mr.
6	El-Amin and Mr. Jesick and Mr. Henson. Let's
7	go to the report of ANC 6D. Mr. Litsky? Ms.
8	Brown, I think we have an extra seat, so you
9	all don't have to move.
10	MR. LITSKY: Thank you
11	Commissioners. My name is Andy Litsky. I'm
12	the chairman of ANC 6D, and the project falls
13	within our ANC. I'm here this evening with
14	Commissioner Roger Moffatt, who's in the back
15	who actually may be our incoming chair in
16	January.
17	But tonight I'd like to thank you
18	for allowing us to testify and support this
19	project. This is something that ANC 6D has
20	been very interested in getting underway for
21	a very long time.

Indeed at the Randall school we

had our offices for a number of years, and the community knows the Randall school very well. Those who had not attended the Randall school when it was a junior high came to our ANC meetings there for a period two years as well.

So everybody and anybody's very interested in making sure that this project gets underway. When, I guess it was Monument that had originally had this with the Corcoran and we weren't terribly thrilled with what it is that was going to come to pass in terms of the building.

We've seen such tremendous difference between what had been presented in 2007 and what BTA has done over the course of the past several years, and particularly due to we believe the vision of Marilyn Melkonian and Bing Thom and Mera Rubell.

We are really thrilled at the ANC that we're going to be able to activate this portion of Southwest. This is going to be,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	we'd expect, the largest building on the
2	northeast section of Southwest.
3	So we don't expect that the
4	fields are going to be built on. We don't
5	expect that Randall Rec is going to be built
6	upon. We expect that this is going to be the
7	signature in that corner of Southwest.
8	We are particularly thrilled that
9	there is going to be a major museum there.
10	It's going to be a huge benefit to the
11	neighborhood and to the District of Columbia.
12	And it's going to really help
13	establish Southwest as an arts corridor going
14	all the way from some of the things that will
15	be happening on the Southwest waterfront at
16	the wharf to the arena stage and linking to
17	this wonderful facility once it's built.
18	So we're extraordinarily
19	enthusiastic. I also just really want to
20	speak to the fact that the applicant has
21	worked with community groups throughout and

especially I wanted to talk to them working

with the condominium associations.

I think it's CP4 that's located closest to where this is going to be built, and I spoke with the president of CP4 and she told me, and the vice chair of CP4, said that they spent the better part of the day walking the property with the architect.

And they actually were very interested in what the community had to say and how they could kind of, I think that what we've seen with the use of the courtyards is not too dissimilar from what we see with the way that the courtyards are designed at CP4 because you have all of these wonderful little pathways that are going through.

And what this, although on a larger scale does, is to similarly have, it's not exactly seamless but you have that same flavor worked into this much larger development.

And I think that that's extremely positive in terms of recognizing what the

NEAL R. GROSS

1	community is and how to build things that
2	will acknowledge the kinds of community that
3	we had before and that we're seeking to grow
4	into.
5	So I also want to apologize for
6	not having written testimony submitted to
7	you. This is a travel day for me. My
8	computer crashed, and so rather than to give
9	a slap dash I'd prefer to send something in
10	if I can do that in the next couple of days.
11	I also wanted to acknowledge all
12	the work that Commissioner Ron McBee had put
13	into this project. Commissioner McBee as you
14	know, may know, had passed away last month.
15	And so it has, he spent a lot of time on this
16	proposal going all the way back to 2007.
17	It was he who, along with
18	Commissioner David Sobelsohn did most of the
19	negotiation with the Corcoran and with
20	Monument. And so Ron followed through with
21	this applicant as well.

And so we've had a considerable

NEAL R. GROSS

1	amount of study up since his passing to kind
2	of get the details of what it is that he was
3	working at, at the time of his death.
4	They were not on paper, and so
5	when we put in our report that we approve
6	this with, we're going to put provisionally.
7	Indeed there were some things that we just
8	did not have answers to and that we felt
9	needed greater clarification.
10	And so we're really looking
11	forward to working with Marilyn Melkonian and
12	the team to get those things resolved. There
13	were a couple of things that I just wanted to
14	as well mention because of the discussion
15	that you had with the applicant.
16	To Commissioner May's point about
17	the potential nexus between the buildings and
18	whatever may be the eventual use at Randall
19	Field, and we expect it's going to remain
20	recreational, we're in the middle of the

NEAL R. GROSS

we

are

Southwest neighborhood plan right now.

so

And

21

22

that

doing

visioning, if you want to use that word, of what will happen with all of those sites, that site being among them. And our neighborhood feels very, very strongly that it remain a recreational site.

Maybe baseball fields, maybe one field, but also recognizing that we have all of this area being built south of the expressway, we have a virtually new city being built down there.

And so we want to make sure that we have recreation fields that are also going to serve the residents of that neighborhood since most of the parks that we currently have are very passive.

So, but I agree that having access from your facility and making sure that there's access to the park would be very important to me, too. As well, I just wanted to thank Commissioner Cohen for her question about what it take to have a percentage of the affordable housing at 60 percent versus

80 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm not suggesting that that's something that we would embrace for this project. I think it's pretty well set, but I think frankly as Department of Planning makes suggestions to projects that come before them and as the Zoning Commission has an opportunity, workforce housing isn't just at 80 percent.

I mean people who work in retail are also part of the workforce, and they have to be able to be afforded places to live in this city. We shouldn't just be talking about affordable housing. We ought to be doing something about it.

And particular in Southwest for new developments that come up, we expect that good percentage of that or existing affordable housing be was going to disappearing. It's going to be revamped, whether it's going to be a Hope 6-ish kind of thing, we don't know.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	But there are residents there who
2	would like to stay in Southwest, so as you
3	look at future projects coming to you, I
4	would encourage you to encourage the
5	developers to include greater affordability
6	within their projects.
7	I also want to thank Commissioner
8	Turnbull for bringing up how the courtyards

Turnbull for bringing up how the courtyards are going to be maintained. That's critical.

I know that we have encountered that same situation positively with Harbor Square that has terrific landscaping throughout.

It's part of the hallmark of what it is that they provide to their own residents, and I hope that similarly the landscaping is going to be kept up here as well.

It's going to be not just important to the folks who are living in the high rise but the people who come to the and actually the neighborhood's museum because that's going to be part

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	neighborhood as well. So again, I would just
2	like to commend this project to you and
3	encourage you to support it.
4	We look forward to coming back to
5	you, in the next several weeks we hope, with
6	agreements and some of the answers to the
7	questions that we were not able to have when
8	we voted on this several weeks ago, so thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you,
11	Chairman Litsky. Let's see if we have any
12	questions. Commissioners, any questions?
13	Commissioner May?
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I was
15	just curious. Several weeks you think before
16	we have finality from the ANC?
17	MR. LITSKY: Well, we haven't sat
18	with the applicant yet. Additionally, our
19	next ANC meeting is going to be next week, so
20	if we have an opportunity to sit with them
21	and work out all of these details and present
22	it to the Commissioners, then we can wind up

1	having that done on the 9th.
2	That's when our next meeting is.
3	It's next Monday. We additionally have two
4	new ANC commissioners, one of whom took
5	office today and another one who will take
6	office on Thursday, so there's a little bit
7	of study up there.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: So I think
9	when it comes time for us to figure out how
10	we're going to schedule this, we'll need to
11	get, have some certainty about when
12	MR. LITSKY: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: you and the
14	applicant think that you can get together and
15	get us what we need before we start to take
16	action.
17	MR. LITSKY: I appreciate that.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, and just be
20	mindful of this. This is a two vote case, so
21	we might be able to deal with it and give you
22	all more time, so okay. All right, any other

1	comments? Vice Chair?
2	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman. Mr. Litsky, I have an odd question
4	for you. I mean as you said, Southwest is
5	really developing a new city and there's been
6	a lot of PUD approvals along the way ever
7	though I've been sitting for the last two
8	years.
9	The question to you is the ANC
10	spends a lot of time negotiating proffers.
11	How do you monitor whether they've been
12	delivered or not as so stated? Do you
13	actually have ways of doing that?
14	I'm really curious because I hear
15	things in the community. I don't live in a
16	bubble, and people are saying promises have
17	been broken. I don't know if that's true.
18	MR. LITSKY: And I don't know
19	that that's true either. Nobody's come to me
20	and stated that. One of the things I know
21	that you know that we have this community

benefits coordinating council.

That was really why they were established, to help to coordinate some of these things over a long period of time. So it's really very difficult for us, as volunteers, to do this.

keep records, and we have We institutional memory. And we have trying to pass on that institutional memory as best as we can to the folks over the course of time. Clearly these larger projects that are being built, we know what's happening with them.

We know what is going to be coming forward, but some of these projects take a very long time. And so you're right to question what happens ten years down the pike when finally we have the waterfront built out.

And those of us who are currently on the ANC are no longer sitting on the ANC, and we have to develop a better tracking system to ensure that everything that was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	developed and approved and the promise to the
2	community comes to pass.
3	The larger things I believe do
4	and have been. If you're aware of anything
5	that has not, that slipped by, it would be
6	helpful to let us know and make sure we move
7	on it.
8	VICE CHAIR COHEN: The benefits
9	council, that's not a volunteer group. They
10	actually are supported financially?
11	MR. LITSKY: Not really. The
12	CBCC, it's the CBCC that had been part of the
13	discussion that we encountered with workforce
14	housing issues and employment issues as part
15	of the wharf discussion.
16	Reverend Hamilton testified.
17	She's the chairman of that group. And the
18	CBCC has people who sit on it from many of
19	the different organizations in Southwest, and
20	so you have different hats that are coming to
21	the table to help monitor these agreements.
22	That's really what they're

1	supposed to be doing and focusing on, but
2	you're right. We just need a better system
3	because they're absolutely so much before us.
4	VICE CHAIR COHEN: At the risk of
5	probably pissing off somebody, but I do that
6	a lot, apparently some promises were made
7	about Greenleaf.
8	And they were never met. They
9	were never engaged, so just there. I don't
10	know if that's true or not, but it's what
11	I've heard. Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Speaking of
13	Greenleaf, I think they came once before.
14	The Commissioner that represents this is a
15	young lady. I'm sorry. It was. Okay. He
16	was the one representing.
17	MR. LITSKY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, I know they
19	were very well represented. Okay. Any other
20	questions? Thank you, Chairman Litsky, and
21	thank you for everything that your commission
22	does, you and Mr. Moffatt and all the other

1	commissioners. We appreciate it. We know
2	it's a lot of work, but you all are
3	definitely taking the task. Thank you.
4	Cross examination
5	(Crosstalk)
6	MS. BROWN: No cross.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You know what,
8	most of the time when somebody supports and
9	his testimony, you usually don't have cross.
10	I'm waiting for that. But let me ask, do
11	you have any cross?
12	MS. BROWN: No cross.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
14	Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.
15	MS. MELKONIAN: I do want to
16	thank the ANC commissioners and particularly
17	the loss of Ron McBee, which was very heart,
18	it touched all of us and the hard work that
19	this commission has done over these few years
20	to truly work as partners in bringing this
21	about. So I do want to thank each and every

one of them.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you.
2	Is there anyone else who's here who would
3	like to testify in support of this project?
4	Anyone else who's here who'd like to testify
5	in opposition to this project? Okay, Ms.
6	Brown do we have any rebuttal or any closing?
7	MS. BROWN: No, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Hold on a
9	second. I happen to see somebody's hand in
10	support or in opposition. You want to
11	testify? You need to come forward. You want
12	to testify, come forward, introduce yourself
13	and let us know if you're in support or in
14	opposition.
15	MR. CARTER: I'm sorry. My name
16	is Fitzgerald Carter. I am the pastor of the
17	church that's right next door to you, Bethel
18	Pentecostal Tabernacle, and I just basically
19	wanted just to say I'm not in support or
20	opposition.
21	But I would like to have the
22	opportunity to sit down with you and have

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	lunch or something so that we can be able to
2	talk about the way forward as we move
3	forward.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
5	MR. CARTER: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And then again do
7	you attend your ANC meetings, too? That
8	would be another.
9	MR. CARTER: I don't get to
10	frequent them as much as I used to because I
11	do work now. So that's kind of tied me up a
12	little bit more between church and working,
13	but I understand that they're doing a great
14	work. And I appreciate all that they've
15	done, and we look forward to working with
16	them in the future.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: To help you with
18	your assistance in getting some of that great
19	rate, you might want to tie into them also.
20	MR. CARTER: Amen.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Now
22	I'm not a preacher. You don't want to say
	NEW B ODGG

1	amen around me. I'm just playing. Thank
2	you. All right. Thank you very much. Hold
3	on. Did anyone have any cross examination?
4	Ms. Brown? Any cross of the
5	gentleman? Okay. And we did get your name,
6	Pastor? Fitzgerald. That's Fitzgerald
7	Carter. Okay. Thank you for coming out. We
8	appreciate it. Okay, Ms. Brown?
9	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Mr.
10	Chairman and members of Commission. We
11	appreciate the opportunity to present our
12	case this evening, and we appreciate your
13	comments on the various components that we've
14	presented.
15	And it looks like we have some
16	additional materials to submit for the record
17	for you, and I think we probably need to set
18	up some dates on getting those materials to
19	you.
20	And I guess I'm looking for your
21	guidance then on when you would like to see
22	those materials. And maybe Ms. Schellin can

1	help us on that.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. From what
3	I'm hearing, looks like January is out. We'd
4	probably start with February and work our way
5	forward.
6	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Looks like, I
8	know the ANC, you all are not meeting no
9	more, or this month you have your meeting.
10	I'm sure your agenda has already been
11	agenized, so we're looking to January.
12	And the conversation that
13	Commissioner May had, we're looking at
14	January to start, right? Am I correct,
15	Chairman Litsky? Okay. So.
16	MS. BROWN: Perhaps if we can
17	maybe establish when we could have
18	preliminary action and work backwards from
19	that on when materials should be submitted in
20	order to make that deadline.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Is February the
22	month we can start? We can start in

1	February, right or maybe our second meeting
2	in January? It depends on
3	MS. SCHELLIN: The second meeting
4	in January is January 27th. I think what
5	he's trying to get to is that you guys need
6	to get with the ANC.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And now you're
8	all going to have lunch with the pastor
9	because, so we've got a number of things
10	going on here.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: So you got to be
12	able to meet with the ANC and report back.
13	MS. BROWN: I think that we
14	should be able to, and I think the
15	outstanding issues for the ANC, some of them
16	are very easy and I, but others may be more
17	involved. Yes, right. So, yes, but I think
18	if we can try to target for the second in
19	January, I think that would be helpful.
20	
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, do we want
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay, do we want to go through the list first to make sure you

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Does everybody
2	need a list, or do we need to go through?
3	Let's go through the list.
4	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
5	Commissioner May asked that they take a look
6	at the space between the two buildings. That
7	looks like dead space, and it doesn't look
8	very attractive. Maybe stagger the unit
9	windows between the two buildings.
10	He is not fond of the treatment
11	of the penthouse. He's drawing A-17
12	reference, looks like three different heights
13	on the penthouse. Actually, I think he may
14	have said five. And looks like the same
15	materials as the buildings.
16	He would prefer that the higher
17	height come down, and Commissioner Miller
18	agreed with that comment. Commissioner Cohen
19	asked that they clarify who gets the free
20	admission to the museum.
21	Commissioner Cohen asked that
22	there be some additional information provided

on the metal, how it ages, provide some samples of how long it lasts, where it's made, the life cycle history.

Commissioner Cohen asked how deep would the subsidies have to be, go down to hit the 60 percent of AMI for the affordable units. Commissioner Miller asked for a better rendering of the Juliet balconies and approximately, with the number of units that will have those balconies.

Commissioner May and Turnbull asked for some kind of pathway, felt that there should be some kind of pathway going to the north. Commissioner Turnbull stated that if there is commercial use instead of residential then the courtyard use landscaping may need to be rethought. maybe not just the landscaping but the paving also.

Commissioner Turnbull asked what the intention of the lighting for the courtyard would be when residents are there

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	at night, maybe some type of rendering for
2	that.
3	Commissioner Turnbull asked for a
4	screen section through the pool and then
5	brief plans for the various buildings showing
6	all the heights of the penthouses.
7	Commissioner Turnbull asked if
8	they concerned of providing showers for the
9	cyclists as stated in the original order.
10	Commissioner Litsky asked that the record be
11	opened so he could provide written testimony
12	from the ANC, and that's all I have.
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: I would just
14	add that they were asked to expand and
15	clarify some of the proffers as to the length
16	of time that they were being offered.
17	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And we
18	still have to deal with the extension.
19	MS. SCHELLIN: If they're asking
20	for an extension, then they need to file a
21	time extension. Have you filed a time
22	extension?

1	MS. BROWN: The current one
2	expires March 24, 2014. It's just whether or
3	not this process would conclude before that,
4	and we'll coordinate with OAG and get
5	something filed if we need to. In theory
6	this could conclude prior to the expiration.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: I'm not sure
8	you'll get an order.
9	MS. BROWN: Yes, and I think
10	that's the way we're heading.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: I would suggest
12	that you file a time extension.
13	MS. BROWN: Yes, that's what it's
14	looking like.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I don't think
16	you're going to get an order done by then, so
17	I would suggest that you file a time
18	extension.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You're running
20	real close, so yes, you want to do the file
21	extension.
22	MS SCHELLIN: Because vou're

1	figuring 30 days for final action. This is
2	July, I'm sorry, January 27th going into our
3	February second meeting. I think it's going
4	to run you into March.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Even at that,
6	it's not good. Whenever we come back,
7	there's no guarantees, you know, the more we
8	will grant it.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, I can't
10	remember off the top of my head, but I just
11	did the schedule for 2014. I don't think
12	it's going to happen.
13	MS. BROWN: Okay.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: So I would
15	recommend that you file a time extension.
16	MS. BROWN: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right.
18	Anything else? We're all on the same page?
19	I just had one announcement I have to read,
20	and maybe eventually I'll learn this
21	announcement by heart.
22	The Zoning Commission will hold a

1	further hearing on Zoning Commission Case
2	Number 08-06A. This is the Zoning
3	Regulations Review on Thursday, January 30,
4	2014, from 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. to hear
5	from the Advisory Neighborhood Commissions
б	and Commissioners.
7	This hearing will be held in
8	conjunction with Chairman Mendelson's ANC
9	quarterly meeting and will be held at the
10	John A. Wilson building in Room 412. Notices
11	will be mailed to every commissioner in the
12	very near future. Thank you. So with that -
13	_
14	MS. SCHELLIN: I need to give
15	dates. I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay.
17	MS. SCHELLIN: I didn't give the
18	dates yet.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The dates.
20	MS. SCHELLIN: If we could have
21	the additional filings Mr. Litsky or your
22	testimony also by January 10th, 3:00 p.m.

1	Any responses to the ANC would be able to
2	respond to those additional filings by 3:00
3	p.m. the 17th of January. And then we'll
4	take this up on the 27th of January.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, anything
6	else?
7	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I
9	want to thank everyone for their
10	participation tonight, and this hearing's
11	adjourned.
12	(Whereupon, the hearing in the
13	above-entitled matter was concluded at 8:48
14	p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1

2

3

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701