GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

JULY 8, 2013

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:34 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman
MARCIE COHEN, Vice Chair
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner
(AOC)

PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary JOHN NYARKU, Zoning Specialist PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

NEAL R. GROSS

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

HARRIET TREGONING, Director

JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director,

Development Review & Historic

Preservation

JOEL LAWSON
KAREN THOMAS
MAXINE BROWN-ROBERTS
ARTHUR JACKSON

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular meeting held on July 8, 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page I	No.
Preliminary Matters - SHARON SCHELLIN	6
Status Report - Office of Planning, HARRIET TREGONING	7
Consent Calendar - SHARON SCHELLIN	58
A. A.C. Case No. 12-10A (Office of Planning - Request for Technical Correction to Z.C. Order No. 1210)	
Final Action - SHARON SCHELLIN	
A. Z.C. Case No. 06-04D (Florida & O Street, LLC Two-Year PUD Time Extensions @ Square 3100)	60
B. Z.C. Case No. 13-02 (Jemal's Hecht's - Map Amendment @ Square 4037)	60
Proposed Action - SHARON SCHELLIN No.	one
Hearing Action - Office of Planning	
A. Z.C. Case No. 13-07 (David Belt Map Amendment @ Square 5081)	63
B. Z.C. Case No. 13-08 (Square 5914, LLC (Consolidated PUD & Related Map Amendment @	70
Square 5914 & Various Parcels) C. Z.C. Case No. 06-04C (Florida & Q Street, LLC Modification to PUD @ Square 3100)	97
ZRR Guidance - Office of Planning	
Correspondence - SHARON SCHELLIN No	one

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Table of Contents - Continued:

Page No.

Other Business - SHARON SCHELLIN

- A. New Cases.
 - 1. Z.C. Zoning Case No. 85-10B (Hillandale Homeowners Association Request for Minor Modification to PUD @ Squares 1312, 1313, 1319 & 1320)
 - 2. Z.C. Case No. 12-10A (Office of Planning -Request for Technical Correction to Z.C. Order No. 12-10)
- B. Orders Published
 - 1. Z.C. Order No. 04-08C
 - 2. Z.C. Order No. 05-3611
 - 3. Z.C. Order No. 06-11G/06-12G
 - 4. Z.C. Order No. 08-34B
 - 5. Z.C. Order No. 12-18

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	6:34 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we are ready
4	to get started.
5	Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.
6	This meeting will please come to order. This is
7	the July 8, 2013 public meeting of the Zoning
8	Commission for the District of Columbia.
9	My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me
10	are Vice Chair Cohen, Commissioner
11	Miller,Commisioner May and Commissioner
12	Turnbull. We are also joined by the Office of
13	Planning Staff, Ms. Sharon Schellin. Also, the
14	Office of Attorney General, Mr. Ritting. Also
15	from the Director of the Office of Planning, Ms.
16	Tregoning, glad to have her, Ms. Steingasser,
17	Mr. Lawson, Mr. Jackson, Ms. Brown-Roberts, and
18	Ms. Thomas.
19	Did I get everybody's name? That
20	usually doesn't happen. So, good.
21	Copies of today's meeting agenda
22	are available to you, and are located near the

1	bin near the door.
2	We do not take any public testimony
3	at our meeting, unless the Commission requests
4	someone to come forward.
5	Please be advised, this proceeding
6	is being recorded by a court reporter, it's also
7	webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to
8	refrain from any disruptive noise or actions in
9	the hearing room. Please turn off all beepers and
10	cell phones.
11	Does staff have any preliminary
12	matters, Ms. Schellin?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: If not, let us
15	proceed with the agenda.
16	What I plan on doing this evening,
17	we are going to have a presentation from our
18	Director of the Office of Planning. We are
19	going to rearrange the schedule, and I think it's
20	very important for us to all listen and hear
21	this, so we can start operating on one court.
22	So, what I would like to do,

colleagues, unless someone disagrees, is that we go to Ms. Tregoning first, and then we will get to our cases. Trust me, it won't be long, so you all can, hopefully, get some insight, and we can tell our neighbors and the presentation will be heard, and I think this will be up on the website at a later time.

But, I will now turn it over at this point to the Director of the Office of Zoning -- I mean, Office of Planning, Ms. Harriet Tregoning.

MS. TREGONING: Thank you very much, Chairman Hood. I'm really more than thrilled to be here tonight. It's a special pleasure to talk to you about our Comp Plan Progress Report. I think we sent copies of it over to you. Did everyone get a copy of it?

And, I'm really pleased with the report, but I'm also, particularly, pleased to be here with the Zoning Commission, because you play such an instrumental role in bringing the plans and visions of the City to fruition.

1	So, I'm going to just briefly go
2	through this report, but what I hope to be able
3	to do is to give you some examples of projects
4	that you are very familiar with that we've
5	featured in our report.
6	The D.C. Planning legislation
7	requires that the Office of Planning provide a
8	periodic progress report on the implementation
9	of the Comp Plan, which I think is very
10	important, things that don't get measured often
11	don't get done.
12	The Zoning Commission, I know, has
13	gotten a copy of our report, "Moving Forward,
14	Building an Inclusive Future." This
15	presentation provides some highlights in the
16	report which we submitted to the D.C. Council in
17	April of this year.
18	The report starts off with some big
19	picture observations about some of the major
20	trends that are shaping D.C. today.
21	First and foremost, as you know, the
22	City is growing. We've been a City planning for

growth for more than 30 years, but now we are really seeing it. Between 2000 and 2012, the District gained more than 60,000 residents. This followed five decades of population decline between 1950 and 2000. Much of this growth has taken place in the last two years, as the City grew from 601,000 residents to 632,000, just between 2010 and 2012.

We haven't seen growth at this pace since the 1940s when we had barracks on the Mall during World War II. And, many of these new residents are living in buildings reviewed by and approved by the Zoning Commission through Planned Unit Development.

We are building, the last few years have seen an unprecedented period of construction in the District, with almost 5,000 new housing units added, and almost 2,000 rehabilitated units.

We've seen the addition of almost 4.5 million square feet of office space, and the refurbishment of almost 3 million square feet.

NEAL R. GROSS

We've also seen almost a million square feet of retail added or refurbished, which is hugely important for us, since we had been making about a billion dollars in retail sales to our surrounding jurisdictions.

Most of this growth is taking place in locations identified by the Comp Plan, including central Washington, Metro station areas, the Anacostia waterfront, and many of these areas, as you know, many of these specific projects were reviewed by the Zoning Commission.

We are a working City. The District has added 32,000 jobs since 2008. Recent data from our Department of Employment Services showed that we had 714,000 jobs in 2012. Our unemployment rate has dropped from 10.5 percent in January of 2010, at the start of the Progress Report period, to 8.4 percent by December of 2012.

The Zoning Commission has played a welcome role in the review of the planning and development, by encouraging developers to

NEAL R. GROSS

document how they are meeting the District's goals and requirements for local employment, which we think has been key.

We are a learning City. We have turned the corner on school enrollment, and have seen big increases in the number of students enrolled in D.C. public and public charter schools in the last few years. There are now over 80,000 students enrolled. The number of students grew by 11 percent between 2009 and 2012, a rate of growth that we haven't seen in 50 years.

We invested more than \$860 million in school modernization construction projects.

And, I know that you've seen at least some of these projects here at the Commission.

We are moving. Bicycle commuting is one way we can measure how our travel habits are changing. In 2000, just over 3,000 D.C. residents commuted to work by bicycle. By 2010, that number had tripled, we had over 9,000 bike commuters, and that number continues to grow

today.

As bicycling becomes more popular and our transit network has expanded, the number of D.C. households who don't own a car has increased from 35 percent of households in 2010 to 38.5 percent of households in 2011.

The Zoning Commission has led the way in ensuring that new developments address all of the ways residents and workers move through the City, walking, biking, car-sharing and driving.

We are greening at the City. In 2012, more than 22.4 million square feet of construction in D.C. received LEED certification. On a per capita basis, that was ten times the rate of Virginia, and 20 times the rate for Maryland, New York and California. And, I realize it's a little unfair to compare the District of Columbia, the State of New Columbia, with these other states, but we are well on our way to being the greenest City in the country, the greenest City in the country,

NEAL R. GROSS

within the next 20 years. And, the Zoning Commission has contributed greatly to these efforts.

Between 2010 and 2013, PUDs proffered 41 LEED buildings, and approved PUDs proffered 470,000 square space of green roof. Last year, we put on more green roof in the City than any other city in America, even though there are many, many larger cities out there. again, the Zoning Commission led the way with green roof proffers as early as 2002, and many projects, such as Waterfront Station, Parkside Florida proffering and Rock PUDs LEED certification before this was required by the Green Building Act in 2006. So, in a lot of important ways, in educating the development community, and in making it sort of a new normal for us, the Zoning Commission, years before the Green Building Act, was really showing how green building was the way to go.

We are increasingly part of what some might call the sharing economy. It's

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

redefining how many of us live, work and travel. We've seen a remarkable growth in enterprises like Capital Bikeshare, Air B&B, the 1776 Technology Incubator, Car2go, ZipCar and enterprises like Union Kitchen, a commercial kitchen share, creating a new business model that will likely continue to grow in the future here in our City.

The Zoning Commission regularly requires applicants for PUDs to creatively and comprehensively address all forms of transportation, walking, biking, transit, car sharing and driving, and transportation demand management programs are now a standard practice, as is other kinds of sharing arrangements, shared parking, in particular.

The Zoning Commission, of course, is very familiar with the Comprehensive Plan. Every decision you make must be deemed to be not inconsistent with the Comp Plan. You probably work more directly, and more regularly, than most bodies in the City, and your decisions are

1 pivotal, and directly or indirectly 2 implementing the plans, goals and objectives. The Comprehensive Plan provides the 3 4 same work for land use and development decisions 5 in the District. It's organized into 14 6 chapters, covering the topics that are shown 7 here. Each of these chapters includes 8 background information in the Comp Plan, with 9 10 maps, policies, et cetera, related to the topic. The plan also includes the City's 11 land use map, which provides the basis for 12 zoning, and the policy map, which shows how and 13 where D.C. will change over the next 20 years. 14 We completed a major overhaul of the 15 16 Comp Plan in 2006, and we also did an update in 2010. The next comprehensive update 17 scheduled to start late next year. 18 19 We delivered a first progress report to the Council in 2010, and the report that you 20 have in front of you focuses on what we've 21 achieved since 2010. 22

As you know, the Comp Plan is part of a larger family of plans. It's comprised of Federal elements, which are prepared by the National Capitol Planning Commission, and District elements, which are prepared by the Office of Planning.

The report focuses only on the District elements, but also on the small area plans that have been prepared since 2010. The District elements provide the framework for more detailed plans dealing with topics like parks, housing and transportation, kind of listed in the middle of the diagram. And, these plan shape the City's capital improvement plan and its annual budget. They also help to perform strategic plans, like the Mayor's One City Action Plan, the Mayor's Sustainability Plan, the Park's Master Plan, and other plans, and they inform decisions, of course, made by the Zoning Commission and other review bodies.

One of the things that makes this progress report a little bit different than the

NEAL R. GROSS

last one, is that we included a special focus on District small area plans. The small area plans provide real-time evidence of how the Comp Plan is working in our neighborhoods. They apply City-wide policies at the local level.

At this time, the District Council has formally adopted 22 small area plans. Six of these were, actually, prepared before the 2006 Comp Plan was adopted, going back to the Tacoma Plan in 2002, and the H Street Plan in 2004.

Between 2007 and '09, there were 11 small area plans adopted, covering areas like Brookland and Deanwood, and since 2010 we've prepared small area plans for five other neighborhoods, including the most recently adopted plan for Walter Reed.

The small area plans cover 10 percent of D.C.'s land area, but since 2010 they've accommodated 45 percent of the City's housing growth.

The Zoning Commission has adopted

NEAL R. GROSS

zoning to implement many of these plans and frameworks, including the H Street Plan, Takoma, the Southeast Federal Center Overlays, Hill East, and St. Elizabeth's.

Text amendments to facilitate the development of the old Convention Center site, rezoning parts of Deanwood, and PUDs in almost all of the areas, which have allowed for publicly vetted and carefully considered development in areas such Petworth, Brookland, and central 14th Street.

In putting this progress report together, we wanted to do more than just list what's been accomplished in the last three years. We've really aimed to tell a more compelling story about the value of planning, and its ability to create great places. The report combines descriptions of D.C.'s major planning initiatives with data, maps, photos and illustrations. It showed the ability of planning to create a social, economic and environmental set of benefits.

NEAL R. GROSS

A companion document, which we are calling the Data Book, accompanies the Progress Report, and it includes detailed data and metrics for measuring change.

The Progress Report itself uses three icons to profile specific types of projects and programs that illustrate our progress in implementing the Comp Plan. One we call The Vision to Reality Profiles, that look at new development projects or recent planning initiatives that exemplify Comp Plan principles.

in on how the small area plans are reshaping specific neighborhoods in the District. And, the Making It Happen Profiles, highlight the inner-agency nature of the Comp Plan. They focus on our different District agencies, and how they are implementing the Comp Plan in their day-to-day operations.

The Comp Plan was organized around five over-arching themes, as you know, and they

NEAL R. GROSS

are listed here. The Progress Report follows the same organizational structure, with a chapter on each of these five themes, and illustrates important ways the District has implemented the Comp Plan over the last three years.

The first theme is managing growth and change, central to, virtually, all of your decisions here at the Zoning Commission. The Comp Plan provides a strong policy basis for transit-oriented development. It directs that most new development in the District should take place within walking distance of the 41 Metro stations.

These policies are having a tangible impact on how and where development is taking place in the District. On this map, you can see the location of Metro stations and the location of new residential development projects built between 2010 and 2012. So, the circles are the half-mile radius around a Metro station.

Between 2000 and 2012, about 80

NEAL R. GROSS

1	percent of the new housing constructed are
2	within a half mile of a Metro station. 91
3	percent of the office space added, and 100
4	percent of the hotel rooms added, were within a
5	half mile of Metro stations.
6	While many of these developments
7	were by right, almost all of the development or
8	zoning applications considered by the Zoning
9	Commission are close to transit areas.
10	Of course, the ongoing Zoning
11	regulation review process is another critical
12	way that the Zoning Commission is implementing
13	policy related to managing growth and change.
14	The Comp Plan provides specific
15	direction on the development of large sites in
16	the City, including Walter Reed and St.
17	Elizabeth's. A very important tool for
18	implementing these plans is the establishment of
19	zoning.
20	The Commission recently adopted the
21	zoning for St. Elizabeth's, and OP will be

bringing zoning for Walter Reed later this fall.

The Commission will continue to be involved in the implementation of plans for other large sites around the City, such as the McMillan Reservoir and those located along the Anacostia River.

One of the major directives of the Comp Plan is to reconnect Washington to its waterfront. We've seen major achievements in this area in the last three years. The Capitol Riverfront now has nearly 3,000 housing units and over 35,000 daytime employees. More than 6,000 new housing units and 8 million square feet of new office space is in the pipeline.

Attractions like the Yards Park are drawing over 2 million visitors a year to the area, and the Zoning Commission played a critical role in establishing zoning which implemented the planning, including requiring development of this important waterfront park.

In the southwest, we've seen a replacement of Waterside Mall with the Waterfront Station development, also an ongoing

NEAL R. GROSS

1 Planned Unit Development, and the reopening of the \$135 million new Arena Stage. 2 And, the \$1.5 billion Southwest 3 4 Waterfront Wharf Project will soon break ground, adding over 1,500 new housing units, new office, 5 6 retail and hotel space, and a reconfigured 7 marina, again, as reviewed and approved as a Planned Unit Development through the Zoning 8 Commission. 9 10 The second theme, major theme of the Comp Plan, is creating successful 11 neighborhoods. Housing is the major focus of 12 this theme, and it's been a major focus of 13 District policy over the last three years, and 14 part of many of the projects or zoning changes 15 16 that you've reviewed. We've seen prices steadily rising 17 since 2010, with the median home price in the 18 19 District reaching \$460,000 as of March, 2015. couldn't believe that number myself. 20 The Comp Plan includes a number of 21 22 affordable housing measures to improve

production. There's been a significant amount of progress in carrying out these measures since 2010, including the production of units created to the inclusionary zoning requirements that were adopted in 2009.

These regulations require up to 10 percent of the units in new market rate development to be set aside at prices that are affordable to low and moderate-income households.

Of course, through PUDs you have regularly overseen the provision of additional affordable housing, or housing at deeper levels of affordability. There were over 1,500 affordable units added in 2011-2012, and there are 1,700 affordable units now under construction.

Just a few months ago, the Comprehensive Housing Strategy Task Force released its recommendations to accelerate affordable housing production, and preserve many of the units we are at risk of losing due

NEAL R. GROSS

to expiring subsidies.

The Zoning Commission was a critical agent of change in this realm through zoning, PUD housing amenity review, and, of course, the inclusionary zoning regulations.

Progress has also been made on reducing the retail leakage I mentioned earlier, and revitalizing our neighborhood shopping districts.

Neighborhoods like H Street NE, and Columbia Heights, have continued to offer more shopping and dining options, while generating jobs and revenue, and improving neighborhood safety.

Between 2010 and 2012, D.C. saw an 11 percent increase in retail sales revenue, sales tax revenue I should say. OP has published a number of plans and reports to foster retail success, including the Retail Action Strategy in 2010, and a vibrant retail streets tool kit in 2012.

The Zoning Commission has helped to

NEAL R. GROSS

facilitate retail in appropriate locations through Planned Unit Developments all over the City, and zoning actions along commercial corridors, like H Street and Georgia Avenue, and to encourage the provision of retail set asides for small and local business that help make our neighborhood retail offerings so unique.

The third theme of the Comp Plan, and the third section of the Progress Report, is about increasing access to education employment. The Comp Plan includes an economic development element and an educational facilities element, that focus on these goals.

One of the big achievements since the adoption of the Comp Plan has been the modernization of D.C. public school campuses.

Over the last six years, the District has spent \$1.4 billion to modernize 47 schools.

OP's demographic forecasts are being used now to guide decisions about school renovation and consolidation. Several schools are being repurposed for interim uses, but they

will be retained in public ownership in the event they are needed again as enrollment grows.

Investment in school facilities is paying off, both in terms of enrollment and academic performance. Investments in early education programs have been particularly important. Between 2006 and 2013, enrollment in Pre-K programs grew from 6,100 children to almost 12,000 children, a 96 percent increase, and it's still growing.

The Zoning Commission approved a PUD that enabled the development of a new Dunbar High School in 2011, and it has approved PUD amenity items, such as school building improvements and the establishment of student internships and scholarships.

A major objective of the Comp Plan is to link our public education system to our economy, creating a pathway to employment for more residents. This is also a major theme of the Mayor's One City Action Plan published in 2012.

1	The Workforce Investment Council
2	has continued to advise the Mayor and Council on
3	workforce development initiatives leading to
4	new incentives for hiring District residents.
5	Programs like One City, One Hire, have helped
6	more than 5,000 residents, actually, 6,000
7	residents, to find jobs in the last two years.
8	Other advances in this area have
9	been the development of the UDC Community
10	College program in the fall of 2009, and the
11	development of UDC facilities in northeast and
12	southeast D.C.
13	One of the pathways to a more robust
14	economy is to attract creative capital, and we
15	created D.C. Action Agenda which lays out
16	strategies to grow the creative sectors of the
17	D.C. economy, including arts, media,
18	communications, museums, design, performance
19	and culinary arts.
20	According to reports released just
21	a couple weeks ago, we have more working artists

in D.C. per capita than any city in America.

Thanks to a focus on the creative sector, the City has been shedding its image of the Government town, and earning a global reputation of the center of creativity and innovation, helping to make D.C. a magnet for young professionals, and also attracting more start-ups and entrepreneurs.

The Commission's role in this has been very active, supporting the creation of new education and employment opportunities, by having developers how to meet the District's job creation goals and objectives, challenging developers continually to do more.

The fourth theme is connecting the whole City. Its focus is on transportation and on bridging the divide within the City. The Comp Plan aims to increase transportation options for D.C. residents, a goal that was echoed in the Action Agenda published by DDOT in 2010.

D.C. has one of the highest walk scores for major cities in the country, meaning

NEAL R. GROSS

a lot of stuff within walking distance in most of our neighborhoods.

One of our goals is to encourage greater connectivity, more options for moving throughout the City, and more services closer to where residents live.

In 2010, the D.C. Council approved a three-car system plan, which provided the guidance needed to get operating street cars at H Street, and the longer term direction to extend the proposed 37 mile street car system into all eight wards.

In 2011, we prepared a street car landing study, which looked at ways to link street car improvements with land use decisions along street car corridors. We are also working to expand other transportation options, including the expansion of the circulary system across the Anacostia River, and new Metro express bus lines on Pennsylvania Avenue, 16th Street, and Wisconsin Avenue.

We've also seen amazing growth in

NEAL R. GROSS

car sharing services. Car2go was launched in March of 2012, and it got expanded to a fleet of 250 cars with 19,000 members. Both for Car2go and for Park Mobile, we weren't their first city, but we were their fastest launches, some of their fastest launches anywhere in the world here in Washington, D.C.

We are continuing to make progress toward making the District a favorite easier place to walk and bicycle. We've seen dividends from these efforts as a percentage of bike and pedestrian commuters continues to rise.

In 2009, DDOT published the Express Pedestrian Master Plan, to help provide the framework for crosswalk improvements around the City, including flashing beacons, new pedestrian pavement signals, and about ten miles of new sidewalks.

Between 2010 and 2012, we've added 8.3 miles of bike lanes, 3 miles of cycle track, and 9.2 miles of shared bike lane. The Capitol Bike Share Network has continued to grow,

NEAL R. GROSS

expanding from ten stations in 2008 with our former system, SmartBike, to 215 stations in 2012. There are now 22,000 members of Capitol Bike Share, making 7,000 daily trips, and offsetting carbon output by 1.5 million pounds a year.

The District also is expanding its regional canal system, with a three-mile section newly done along the Anacostia River Walk under construction, and continue expansion of the Net Branch Trail and other trails.

The Zoning Commission has helped the City to achieve these goals by regularly requiring creative transportation demand management plans, through redevelopment, and by approving the provision of new bike facilities trail connections and to and along the waterfront.

The fifth and final Comp Plan theme is building green and healthy communities. The Comp Plan includes an environmental protection element that's provided the basis for many of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

sustainability initiatives moving forward in
the City today, including Sustainable D.C.
And, that has been one of our most significant
achievements over the last three years, an
ambitious plan to make Washington the greenest,
healthiest City in the Nation by 2032.
The initiative started with last
year's Vision for a Sustainable D.C., laying out
goals and targets for nine topic areas,
basically, saying what are we trying to do, when
are we trying to do it, and why.
It was followed by the Sustainable
D.C. Implementation Plan that answered the
important question, how are we going to get this
done. It was published just this February, and
lays out 143 separate actions.
The District is already moving
forward with community gardening, City
planning, composting, solar energy and green
purchasing programs, to carry out the

At the time the Comp Plan was

Sustainable D.C. Vision.

21

adopted, we had only eight re-certified buildings in the District. By the time of the last progress report in 2010, there were 165 buildings. Today there are 333 and the number is growing. Likewise, the number of green roofs in the District is increasing, from 79 in 2009 to 138 today.

The District is considering new building regulations, a new building code that will reduce energy by 30 percent and cut potable water use for landscaping in half.

We are a leader also in low impact development, with projects like the K Street Greenway and Mount Vernon Triangle, or the bio swales on 1st Street, N.E., working to improve water quality in the Anacostia and Potomac.

Here again, the Zoning Commission has been instrumental in moving the development community forward in its provision of low impact design, through the review of PUDs and the adoption of zoning for waterfront areas intended to lessen impact on our river system.

The Zoning Commission has especially re-certified encouraged developments, and with provision of green roofs and low impact design. Often, challenging applicants to do more, helping to create a new green standard or expectation in the City, well in advance of the Green Building requirements.

For example, the Zoning Commission led the way in the provision of green roofs with proffers, as I said before, as early as 2002.

The zoning regulations for the District also incorporate green development principles, and you recently adopted the Green Area Ratio and pervious surface requirements that create incentives for green roofs, green walls, pervious pavements and other features that reduce environmental impacts to stormwater, in particular, and we've directed OP to address other green building initiatives in the zoning review process.

Over the last three years, we've

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	also worked to bring grocery stores to more
2	neighborhoods, especially, east of the river.
3	Many of the PUDs that the Commission has seen
4	recently have included grocery stores,
5	including developments on H Street, N.E., in
6	Ward 6, on Georgia Avenue, N.W., in Ward 4, on
7	East Capitol Street in Ward 7, at Skyland in Ward
8	8, at the Southeast Federal Center in Ward 6 and
9	on Wisconsin Avenue in Ward 3. This provides
10	the double advantage of increasing access to
11	healthy food and reducing retail leakage to our
12	surrounding jurisdictions.
13	In 2010, the Council passed the Feed
14	DC Act to attract supermarkets to the District,
15	which has helped to attract a number of
16	additional major markets.
17	In 2009, almost 43 percent of
18	residents were within a 15-minute walk of a major
19	grocery store. By 2014, this will rise to 54
20	percent, as a result of new and planned grocery

The Happy Corner Store Program,

NEAL R. GROSS

stores.

21

operated by D.C. Central Kitchen, is also providing fresh produce to 30 corner stores, many in neighborhoods without full service supermarkets.

Related to all five of the Comp Plan major themes is the update of the District's zoning code. Adoption of the new zoning code will be an important step for creating a more sustainable and inclusive City, and will propel many Comp Plan policies forward. The zoning code is central to how the City manages growth and change, and central to the implementation of many Comp Plan policies.

This will be the first major update of D.C. zoning rule since 1958, although we have, certainly, updated it many times, like 1,000 times, small tweaks here and there since then.

I'm sure the Zoning Commission has experienced many times how this kind of franken-document makes implementing current policies and objectives, even just understanding the regulations, more difficult

NEAL R. GROSS

than it should be.

We are nearing the completion of a process, and as you know we are going to be getting a new proposal for the zoning revisions at the end of the month.

We've held over 260 public meetings to date, including Zoning Commission roundtables in 2007, focus workgroups in 2007 to 2011, 81 of those, task force meetings 2007 to present, 38 so far, Zoning Commission public hearings, 20 so far, Zoning Commission public meetings, 57 so far, broad-based community meetings, just in 2012 and 2013 eight so far. Additional ANC and community meetings, about 100 so far.

So, we are going to be getting the document to you, and you are going to decide how and when to hold your process of public hearings. This will involve creating greater consistency between the Comp Plan and zoning, as well as making the zoning code clearer and easier to use.

Throughout this process, we are

NEAL R. GROSS

looking at addressing Comp Plan policies related to transit-oriented development, green buildings, neighborhood character, streetscapes, success with apartments, parking, loading and neighborhood retail, just to name a few.

The new regulations will also address many topics not well covered by existing zoning rules, like urban agriculture, rooftop gardens, and special standards for development near Metro.

The final section of the report sums up where we are with respect to Comp Plan actions. It had a whopping 639 specific action items, all of which we are tracking. Some of these actions are, actually, our responsibility, but many are designated to other D.C. agencies.

Those other agencies have been great about providing periodic updates, allowing us to prepare quarterly reports on implementation status.

When the Comp Plan was adopted, all of the actions were classified as either being immediate, short term, mid-term or long-term, and these bar charts provide an indication of the progress so far.

The two-column bar chart on the left compares the status on the short-term and immediate actions from January, 2010, when the report was last filed, and January, 2013. The number of completed actions group of 19 to 35 percent, and most of the remaining actions are underway.

The four-column bar chart on the right shows the status of actions based on four priority rankings. As of January, 2013, you can see here that 75 percent of the immediate actions have been completed, and each of the other three categories, short, medium and long-term, at least 70 percent of the actions are either completed or underway.

The progress report includes a preview of what's coming up over the next few

NEAL R. GROSS

years with the Office of Planning and its partner agencies. Some of the major new initiatives include Public Safety Master Plan, the Parks and Rec Master Plan, and the Multi-Modal Transportation Master Plan. They all have wonderful names, Play DC, Move DC, and the Public Safety Proposed Master Plan is still the Public Safety Proposed Master Plan.

We are also launching a new small area plan in mid-City east, Bloomingdale and Eckington, and the near southwest area. Some of these initiatives may result in recommendations for new zoning actions, and in the next year or so, as I mentioned, we'll also be starting the next cycle of Comp Plan amendments.

So, that concludes my presentation.

I'd be delighted to answer any questions or hear
any comments that you might have.

And, let me just thank you again for the amazing work that you've been doing to get this Comp Plan implemented, and the actions you take each and every day.

2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very
3	much, Director Tregoning. When you sit down and
4	look at all this in one place, it looks like a
5	lot of positive movement for the City. You have
6	captured it all in one place. We deal with it
7	so many times in different increments, but this
8	is very positive. I really like this report,
9	and I'm sure this report is going to be available
10	some time on the website for the public?
11	MS. TREGONING: Absolutely. It will
12	be on our website by tomorrow.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, great.
14	Okay. Let's open it up. Any
15	questions, colleagues?
16	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Can I?
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure.
18	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	Ms. Tregoning, we have constructed
21	quite a number of housing units. You did
22	mention some that would be available to
	NEAL R. GROSS

Thank you.

1	low-income residents. And, I would suggest to
2	you that we really have major, major problems in
3	the City, when you have 50,000 households on the
4	public housing list.
5	And, our inclusionary zoning, and
6	the affordable housing that's being delivered is
7	for households making between 60 and 120
8	percent. So, I personally call that workforce
9	housing, which is enabling you to meet that need.
10	But, one of my major concerns is the
11	low-income people who are being pushed out of
12	their neighborhood, who can't afford to live in
13	their neighborhoods. And, I was wondering how we
14	can work together to address that.
15	MS. TREGONING: Thank you,
16	Commissioner.
17	We just developed a presentation
18	that we gave to the agencies implementing the
19	Mayor's Comprehensive Housing Strategy, that
20	might be of interest to the Zoning Commission.

if we had the time, but maybe ${\tt I}$ could come back

at some point and give you that presentation.

But, I think, you know, it's a very interesting presentation, and if you feel urgency that's a good thing to feel. I think that we have unprecedented growth in the City, unprecedented housing demand, and looking for ways in which to accommodate that housing demand is critically important.

You are going to see some proposals coming forward with the zoning review that you'll get at the end of the month that deal with accessory dwelling units, which I think are going to be a tremendously important way to keep older households, people retired, long-time residents who may not have the same house full of kids that they had, you know, in the 1950s and '60s, who, nevertheless, are in an urgent place. It offers them some options to be able to do that, and to bring some additional income to the City, and restore some historic levels of population to many of our neighborhoods. We have a lot of proposals that are going to help address housing

affordability that you'll see, but I do commend your concern about it. It's something I'm also very concerned about.

VICE CHAIR COHEN: And under this initiative I would like to -- I know that you were a part of, the Director of the Office of Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development, when we have -- and I was very concerned about St. Elizabeth's and the affordability levels achieved there, if we do not use land that the City owns to help driving down the cost of housing, I just think no, we are going to always be in the same position.

So, I just wanted to state that again for the record, as I will many times in the future, I'm sure.

MS. TREGONING: I will tell you, we have some studies underway now that look at the street car routes, and, specifically, looking for affordable housing opportunities along the street car routes, for some of the reasons that you suggest.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioner
2	May? We seem to go in the same order, then we'll
3	go to Commissioner Turnbull, Commissioner
4	Miller, and then me. That's our normal we
5	don't do that for everything, the same order.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm happy to go
7	at a different time.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm just
9	noticing we do the same order all the time.
10	VICE CHAIR COHEN: It's just that
11	you guys are so reluctant to start, and I get
12	impatient.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: You know I
14	always have questions. I just, you know, don't
15	always want to go first. All right.
16	So, first of all, I really
17	appreciate seeing all this laid out in this
18	particular manner. And, I did look at the
19	report, which seemed to have some slightly
20	different information, or it is just presented
21	a different way, it's more information.
22	MS. TREGONING: Yes, much, much

more.

COMMISSIONER MAY: This is -- okay, but I appreciate seeing both, and, especially, you know, I'm often quite tired and now I know why, because we've been so busy. Many, many people have contributed to the effort I know, and we are just doing our part, I guess.

The one thing that sort of stood out to me is that, I haven't seen much, and maybe it was in the bigger report, but I haven't seen much in the way of things about the efforts of D.C. Water to address some of the bigger problems that they are dealing with. And, I know it may not be, specifically, Comp Plan issues, but there are things that touch on Comp Plan issues. Certainly, their LID program, I've forgotten the name they are using for it, but they are -- no, not the Clean Rivers one, but the one that they are doing to try to reduce or eliminate the Potomac tunnel of Clean Rivers, which is the green infrastructure?

MS. TREGONING: I think every part

NEAL R. GROSS

of it is still helping rivers.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, all right, but the green infrastructure portion of it, in particular, has impacts throughout the City, and streets, and parking and such. And so, is that -- is that, I mean, using the broader context, in the Comp Plan either being addressed, or will be addressed in future updates and incorporated, because it has been -- the green river research portion of it could have a huge impact.

MS. TREGONING: You know, you are exactly right, and we are following it with excruciating attention, because we think it's very important, not just for what it's going to do for stormwater, but, you know, the difference is that the pipes that we built to capture the stormwater, according to our agreement with the Federal Government are great on the 80 days a year that it rains more than 1/4 inch, although this summer it might be more than 80 days.

But, it does nothing for the City on

NEAL R. GROSS

those other days. And, every bit of the equipment, and the labor, and everything to do with all those big pipes comes from outside of our economy.

A green infrastructure approach, as you know, helps to cool the City every day, and it helps to beautify the City, it provides habitat, it reduces the energy bills of the people who benefit from the shade trees. It makes the City much more lovely and convivial to walk around, and it needs to be maintained.

So, it's a steady stream of permanent employment of great career ladder jobs, for which there will be global markets, but they don't, necessarily, require college degrees. So, they are a very important part of our diversifying, our strategies to diversify our economy.

So, we are following it very closely. It has not yet even been approved for implementation on a pilot basis, so that's why you don't see something like it in this report.

It would certainly be in the next report, but we are following it very closely, and it's an enormously important part of both our more diverse economy and our smart air approach to trying to solve our infrastructure problems in the future.

COMMISSIONER MAY: So, it seems we will have a very smart and very progressive thinking that's going into the planning for the City in the last ten years, and the results that we are seeing now, that even if the pilot program is not approved that there is more that can be in green infrastructure. And, I wonder if that's something that might be addressed more aggressively in updates of the Comp Plan, you know, regardless of --

MS. TREGONING: Well, as you may know, we have the most stringent stormwater requirements in the country. And so, even without D.C. Water's efforts we'll be doing everything we can possibly do to manage stormwater, and finding very creative ways to do

1	that.
2	So, those regulations are making
3	their way through the Department of the
4	Environment's regulatory process, but they are
5	very innovative, and they are going to do things
6	that will encourage a lot more green
7	infrastructure throughout the City.
8	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thanks.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
10	Commissioner Turnbull?
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Sure.
12	I want to thank you for your report
13	tonight. It feels a little awkward at times.
14	I wish there was more people to hear
15	the good work of the Zoning Commission. That
16	was the intended goal, that's why you wanted to
17	go first. Sorry, I thought it was it felt
18	like a plant on the dias up here, but thank you
19	very much.
20	The Green Building Program, I think,
21	is something very I'm lucky I think we are
22	lucky in D.C., we've got a lot of local

architects that are very creative. I think we've had a lot of good buildings using green building principles. So, I think we are very fortunate in our market that we've got very talented individuals, and we've had a very good response on the PUD projects and everything.

So, I think, you know, in one way we are lucky, and, hopefully, we are setting some goals and standards for other cities around the country. But, thank you again.

MS. TREGONING: Thank you,
Commissioner Turnbull.

I would just say, it's not just that we are lucky. I think this Zoning Commission has been, and you in particular, have been assiduously educating our development community for quite a long time. And, I think we are very lucky in having a responsive and very competent development community, who have taken the requirements and jumped out ahead of them, to achieve more than was required by law, and done it much more quickly. But, it really began with

the dialogue that they had with the Zoning Commission over PUDs early on. I think that really helped to get very widespread acceptance of the practice, and I think it's made an enormous difference to the City.

So, I thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right back at you, thank you for all your efforts.

COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have any questions. We have people waiting for us to make some decisions here, but I'll just associate myself with my colleagues' remarks, and thank the Office of Planning Director, Harriet Tregoning, for all of her leadership in planning and for the presentation tonight, which is excellent, and the full progress report, which is excellent, one of the best I've ever seen.

And, in terms of other -- a wider audience seeing that presentation, Commissioner Turnbull, we could put a link to -- we have a live web -- we have a live streaming of this meeting

1 right now, and we have Video on Demand, and we probably can put on our own website a link to 2 tonight's meeting. And, OP can do the same. 3 4 Thank you. And, I'll CHAIRMAN HOOD: 5 Okay. begin, again, by thanking the Office 6 7 Planning. When I first started here on 8 Zoning Commission, the City Council and the City 9 10 at that time had the wisdom of putting money into the Planning Office. 11 A lot of people don't realize, we 12 didn't have an opportunity for citizens like 13 myself, who lives in Ward 5, to sit down and come 14 up and work with the Planning Office, because we 15 16 didn't have enough staff. I think we had one or two Planning 17 folks who would come down and present cases, and 18 19 I just remember David Colby, I don't know if anybody ever talks to him, I think his name was 20 Mr. Colby, and maybe Mr. Farmer Smith, and maybe 21

one other person. But, that was the wisdom of

the City Council at the time, and the Office of Planning, of staffing up, and this shows the fruits of the labor.

Whether you agree with the position or not, I think the City is going in a positive position. Yes, we might have some differences of getting there, but I think we are achieving that, and I think we are going in the right direction.

I want to commend the Office of Planning. All the research that you all do to help us to make informative and intelligent decisions, we greatly appreciate it.

Okay. Any other questions?

Okay. We want to make sure -- and I want to thank you all, thank you, Director, for the presentation -- I want to also thank those who were in the audience waiting for us to make some decisions on your cases tonight, but I think it was very important that we hear from the Director and what's going on. And, I think we need to start doing that more, so we can all be

NEAL R. GROSS

1	on the same page as we move along.
2	Okay. Let's go right along with our
3	agenda, and, hopefully, we won't hold you long.
4	Let's go to the Consent Calendar
5	item, Zoning Commission Case No. 12-10A, Office
6	of Planning Request for Technical Corrections to
7	Zoning Commission Order No. 12-10.
8	Ms. Schellin?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir.
10	This is a request from the Office of
11	Planning to make a technical correction to the
12	Zoning Commission order that was recently
13	issued, Order No. 12-10A. They'd like to make
14	a correction to Section 3401.3, and if the
15	Commission takes action favorably this evening
16	that would authorize a proposed rulemaking to be
17	published for the public to have a 30-day comment
18	period.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
20	Ms. Schellin.
21	Commissioners, you see Exhibit 1.
22	Any objections? If not, I'd like to make a

1	motion that we approve the recommendation in
2	Case No. 12-10A, in the fashion that it will just
3	be approving for the public to have a 30-day
4	notice to provide written comments.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
7	moved and properly seconded. Any further
8	discussion?
9	All those in favor?
10	(Ayes.)
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
12	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
13	record the vote?
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the
15	vote 5:0:0 to approve Case No. 12-10A, to have
16	a proposed rulemaking published, to approve the
17	technical corrections to Zoning Commission
18	Order No. 12-10, Commissioner Hood moving,
19	Commissioner Turnbull seconding, Commissioners
20	Cohen, May and Miller in favor.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next under
22	Final Action, we have Zoning Commission Case No.

1	0604D. This is the Florida and K Street, LLC,
2	two year PUD time extension at Square 3100.
3	I would like to move that down to
4	hearing action, under Hearing Actions, we'll
5	review those at the same time under the Hearing
6	Action item, which is associated with the
7	two-year time extension.
8	Let's go to Zoning Commission Case
9	No. 13-02, that's Jamal's Hecht's Map Amendment
10	at Square 4037.
11	Ms. Schellin.
12	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Staff has
	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Staff has nothing further to add to this. We would just
12	
12	nothing further to add to this. We would just
12 13 14	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action
12 13 14 15	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action this evening.
12 13 14 15	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action this evening. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
12 13 14 15 16 17	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action this evening. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, as you know, I don't want to say
12 13 14 15 16 17	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action this evening. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, as you know, I don't want to say this is a love fest, but I'm sure that the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nothing further to add to this. We would just ask that the Commission consider final action this evening. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, as you know, I don't want to say this is a love fest, but I'm sure that the community has been actively working very hard to

1	come together. It looks like it's going to be
2	a benefit for that neighborhood over by New York
3	Avenue and others.
4	Had full support of Chairperson
5	Henderson and others in that community, and even
6	a few young folks who came down and at least just
7	introduced themselves. I think that's a positive
8	setting in that particular situation, and I
9	think it meets our approval, but I will open it
10	up for any further discussion.
11	Not hearing any, would someone like
12	to make a motion?
13	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr.
14	Chairman?
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I would move
17	to approve the Zoning Commission Order No.
18	13-02, Jamal's Hechts, LLC map amendment at
19	Square 4037, and note that this is a development
20	of a long vacant site, and that the development
21	will benefit the community, and they also have
22	that convertability for housing in the future

1	that the Office of Planning encouraged them to
2	provide in the construction of the building.
3	So, I move that.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's moved and
6	properly seconded. Any further discussion?
7	Are you ready for the question?
8	All those in favor, aye?
9	(Ayes.)
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
11	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you record the
12	vote?
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the
14	vote 5:0:0 to approve final action in Zoning
15	Commission Case No. 13-02, Commissioner Miller
16	moving, Commissioner May seconding,
17	Commissioners Cohen, Hood and Turnbull in
18	support.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next let's
20	go to Hearing Action.
21	Zoning Commission Case No. 13-07.
22	This is David Belt, map amendment at Square 5081.

Mr. Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Zoning Commission, on April 19, 2013, David E. Belt petitioned to rezone several lots on Square 5081 from C-3-A to R-1-B, a zoning thought more consistent with the moderate density residential designation on the current generalized land use map of the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan.

The Comprehensive Plan considers C-3-A to be consistent with a moderate or medium-density commercial land use designation. However, the R-1-B proposed in this petition is associated with low-density residential designation.

The zoning districts is being consistent with the planned moderate density residential designation are R-3, R-4 and R-5-A.

Based on this plan guidance, comparing with the building around the subject properties, and other reasons outlined in our report, the Office of Planning recommended

1	amending this petition to proposed rezoning from
2	C-3-A to R-5-A.
3	After receiving an explanation from
4	the Office of Planning, the applicant, Mr. Belt,
5	did not object to this change.
6	The Commission could also set down
7	R-5-A in the alternative to the R-1-B originally
8	requested by petitioner.
9	That concludes a brief summary of
10	our report, and I'm available to answer
11	questions.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
13	Mr. Jackson.
14	Colleagues?
15	Commissioner May?
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: I just have one
17	quick question.
18	I assume Mr. Belt, the petitioner,
19	is the owner of one of the properties that's
20	affected?
21	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. This is
	NEAL R. GROSS

1	fairly unusual. We don't usually see a single
2	property owner petitioning for a change like
3	this. I mean, you've done other ones that were
4	changes that were brought on by the Office of
5	Planning, but it certainly seems to make some
6	sense and has some support from the ANC.
7	That's all.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
9	Commissioner Miller?
10	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes. I just
11	wanted to thank you, Mr. Chairman I wanted
12	to affirm what the Office of Planning, my
13	understanding based on the record that we have,
14	that the Comprehensive Plan that was maybe you
15	said this in your presentation but it was
16	change for this site in 2010, from medium density
17	commercial to moderate density residential?
18	Did I read that wrong?
19	MR. JACKSON: No, the report didn't
20	say that, but what we are just saying that that's
21	the current designation.

So, does anyone know whether there

1	was a change in 2010?
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: I don't believe
3	so, but we can verify that before the public
4	hearing.
5	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions
7	or comments?
8	I will note that we did receive a
9	letter from the ANC. Typically, we don't deal
10	with that positioning of it, but it will give
11	them great weight until the hearing, because we
12	haven't set down yet, it may not even be set down,
13	but we will implore the ANC to come back and make
14	that same call at the hearing, if it is set down.
15	Also, we need, colleagues, we need
16	to see whether we are going to set it down in
17	R-5-A in the alternative, along with what's
18	being proposed as an R-5-A only, which is being
19	recommended. We must have that discussion.
20	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Mr.
21	Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I'm out of
2	turn, but
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, that's right,
4	we need to start with yes, that's all right,
5	let's go out of turn, we need to switch it up
6	sometimes.
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: I agree with
8	the Office of Planning's recommendation just to
9	advertise it as R-5-A, rather than the
10	originally requested R-1-B. The applicant
11	doesn't object to that, and the R-1-B would
12	place, as the OP report notes, much many
13	aspects of the property in non-conformity, and
14	I think it would be better to the purpose is
15	to preserve what's there, protect what's there,
16	and the R-5-A proposal would accomplish that.
17	So, I would be in support of just
18	going that way.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments,
20	Commissioners?
21	Commissioner Turnbull?
22	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.

1	Chairman, I would concur with Commissioner
2	Miller on this.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anyone
4	else?
5	Okay, would someone like to make a
6	motion?
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair,
8	I would move that we approve Zoning Case No.
9	1307, the proposed zoning map amendment, to
10	bring a portion of Square 581 into consistency
11	with the 2012 D.C. Comprehensive Plan, looking
12	for an R-5-A, and looking for a second?
13	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
15	properly seconded. Any discussion?
16	Commissioner May?
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I just want
18	to clarify, you said to approve, I assume you
19	meant set down.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Set down,
21	I'm sorry, you are right. Correct.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: To approve set

1	down.
2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Perfect.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, we have
4	a motion, it's been moved and properly seconded.
5	Any further discussion?
6	All those in favor, aye?
7	(Ayes.)
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
9	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you also
10	rephrase the language?
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff would
12	record the vote 5:0:0 to set down Zoning
13	Commission Case No. 13-07, as a map amendment to
14	R-5-A, as a rulemaking case, Commissioner
15	Turnbull moving, Commissioner Cohen seconding,
16	Commissioners Hood, May and Miller in support.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next let's go
18	to Zoning Commission Case No. 13-08. This is
19	Square 5914, LLC consolidated PUD and related
20	map amendment at Square 5914 to rezone various
21	parcels.
22	Ms. Brown-Roberts?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
2	Chair.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I like the way
4	Office of Planning does that. When I look down
5	to see whose case it is, they always shake their
6	head. That's good.
7	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr.
8	Chairman and Members of the Commission.
9	Square 5914, LLC submitted an
10	application for consolidated PUD and related map
11	amendment to rezone various lots on Square 5914
12	from the R-5-A to the C-3-B zone, properties in
13	the Congress Heights neighborhood, in the
14	southeastern quadrant of the City.
15	The proposed 5.03 FAR mixed use
16	development around the Congress Heights Metro
17	station would consist of two buildings, an
18	eight-story office building, and a nine-story
19	residential building, both with ground floor
20	retail uses, and together would have,
21	approximately, 44,000 square feet I'm sorry

440,000 square feet of space.

The retail uses at the base of both 1 buildings would have extended ceiling heights 2 and circling the Metro Plaza, as well as fronting 3 4 on the Alabama Avenue and 13th Street frontages. The residential building would have 5 6 a height of, approximately, 93 feet, while the office building would have an approximate nice 7 4 feet in height. 8 Parking and loading would be at the 9 rear of the building, and access from a new 10 private alley along the eastern and southern 11 property lines. 12 13 The requested C-3-B zone allows a medium density development, with a density 14 residential 15 incentive for use, 16 recommended for rapid transit station among other areas. 17 The future land use map designates 18 19 the site for mix of medium density residential and medium density commercial uses. 20 generalized policy map designated site as a 21

neighborhood enhancement area, as well as a new

1 neighborhood center at the Congress Heights Metro station. Proposed C-3-B zoning and land 2 use is not inconsistent with these designations. 3 4 The Comp Plan elements provide policy guidance for mixed use development around 5 6 Metro stations, and at the Congress Heights station, in particular. 7 The most significant flexibility 8 the applicant has requested is the increase in 9 10 height above 90 feet allowed by the C-3-B PUD. Section 245.3 allows the Zoning Commission to 11 approve up to 5 percent increase in height if it 12 is necessary for the successful functioning of 13 the building and project. 14 The applicant states that they are 15 16 providing 15 foot floor to ceiling heights to provide superior retail space, nine feet for 17 office floors to provide superior office 18 spaces, and residential floors at 9.3 feet. 19 Other areas of flexibility include 20 having two buildings on a single lot, not 21

provided the required 5 foot loading dock for the

residential building, due to the difficulty associated with large truck access in the front from Alabama Avenue or 13th Street, and having multiple roof structures of one equal height and some standard setbacks.

The applicant has offered amenities and benefits that include landscaping around the building frontage, in addition to pulling back the building from the property line, and providing additional space for landscaping and pedestrian ways of, approximately, 90 feet along Alabama Avenue, and 22 feet along 13th Street.

The mixed use development and the proposed density would better utilize the Metro station that is served by several Metro bus lines. Transportation features would also include 140 bicycles in the building, 30 spaces on the sidewalk, in addition to 15 extra bicycle spaces.

The building would be designated to meet LEED's silver certification at a GR score of 0.25, which is a requirement for the C-3-B

NEAL R. GROSS

1	zone.
2	The applicant is also proposing to
3	provide space for neighborhood retailers at a
4	reduced rate, and will continue community
5	engagement to advance an appropriate level of
6	amenities.
7	The applicant is meeting the 8
8	percent residential IZ requirement, but is not
9	but it has not been offered as a public
10	amenity. As the community has emphasized the
11	need for market uses in the area.
12	The Office of Planning, therefore,
13	recommends that the proposed PUD and related map
14	amendment be set down for public hearing, and
15	that the applicant provide information on the
16	items outlined in the OP report.
17	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm
18	available for questions.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
20	Ms. Brown-Roberts.
21	Commissioners, any questions for
22	Ms. Brown-Roberts?

Commissioner Turnbull?
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
Mr. Chair.
Ms. Brown-Roberts, thank you for
your report. You've got a lot of items that
you've listed on the front page of your report
for the applicant to follow up with the
applicant, so you've hit a lot of the things that
I was concerned about.
You said the requirements of 2516.1,
you don't highlight any of them, but I'm sure
you've got them it's your second item
requirements of 2516.1, wondered if you might
expound a little bit on that.
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes, actually,
we had because they are asking for the two
buildings on the lot, that's where that
requirement comes in
COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: right.
MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: however,
we've been advised by the Attorney General's
office that that is not included. The

1	applicant, actually, didn't request that.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay.
3	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: So, that's not
4	something that's going to be included.
5	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay,
6	thank you.
7	I wonder if one of the things that
8	I'm concerned about with the building, we need
9	just like always, I'd like to see some better
10	drawings that highlight the materials. Right
11	now, they are sort of far away, I'm not sure I
12	would the brick, the store front, I'd like to
13	see some renderings along the ground floor that
14	show what the retail picture is really going to
15	look along there.
16	This is a significant development,
17	in an area that I think really needs it, and it's
18	going to be right across from St. E's, it's right
19	by Metro, I think it's going to be a fantastic
20	project. But, I'd like to see a little bit more
21	of what the character of what we are looking to

22

see on this building.

1 MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: And, I'm also concerned about the back of the building. 3 4 This is one of those structures that's got a lot of low-rise buildings around it. And, to me, 5 6 it's not like it's in downtown on 12th Street or 7 whatever, where you've got an alley and the back of the building can somehow sometimes get 8 reduced in the quality of materials. 9 10 This is a very three-dimensional building, and so the neighbors who are behind it 11 are going to be seeing this building. 12 hoping, and I can't tell by the elevations yet, 13 is what the character of sort of the rear facade 14 office 15 of the the apartments of or 16 residential building are going to look like. And, hopefully, it's not just a bare 17 bones building at the back, I mean, because this 18 19 is going to be a very visible structure to all 20 the residents in the nearby area. So, I'd like to -- hopefully, like 21 to see a better idea of what the character is 22

really going to look like as this building turns around.

MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm also concerned a little bit about the retaining wall on the back. There's no real idea of what that looks like. They call it a retaining wall, it looks like -- the pictures that I see show it's like a fence. And, I'm not really sure what that -- hopefully, it's not just going -- I mean, it ought to have some character to it also. So, I'd like to see a little bit more about what's going on with this fence that surrounds the building, maybe a cross section through the retail, that store front area.

You've got the Metro station coming up in the courtyard. That's, obviously, a subset of the project. I have no idea what that's going to really look like, but, I mean, as it relates to the rest of the building, I'd like to see a clearer idea of what that plaza sort of looks like in the middle.

NEAL R. GROSS

The only other thing, I'm concerned about, there's one penthouse on the roof that faces in this courtyard, which they are asking for relief. It's only four feet from that interior courtyard.

Now, a lot of times we grant relief on interior courtyards, but, usually, they are at the back side of a building. This is very visible in the front of the building, the main entrance of the building, and when I looked at this I saw the key thing there is the stairwell. But, the stairwell is back far enough that there's simply some mechanical elements that they've attached onto it.

I think the architects and engineers need to look at that, and if see if those elements can be relocated so that we can create the setback from that facade. It looks like there is some efforts that can be done there. That one penthouse seems to be sticking out in the front too much, and I think there ought to -- I think there is a way to alleviate that.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	And, I guess, again, as I said, just
2	to reiterate, some street views looking at the
3	retail at the ground floor, what that's really
4	going to look like.
5	And, Mr. Chair, I think that's it for
6	my questions.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair, we are
8	going out of turn, but okay, Commissioner May?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. So, the
10	first question is, what we only recently did
11	the zoning for St. Elizabeth's across the
12	street, what was the zoning for the section
13	immediately across the street? What was the
14	it was ST-18 or something like that.
15	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: It was ST-18
16	and 19, which gave you a height up to 70 feet,
17	between 50 and 70 feet.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, 18 was 50,
19	and 19 was 70, or something like that?
20	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: 19 was the high
21	yes.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Is the higher.

1	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Actually, no,
2	19 was at 90 feet, but there was a setback to 70
3	feet.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, it's 70 feet
5	along Alabama.
6	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: And then, it
8	goes up to 90 behind it. And, is it a one-to-one
9	setback?
LO	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: I'm not sure
11	about that.
L2	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, it
13	would be interesting to know, and, in fact, one
L4	of the things I'd like to see in the way of
15	drawings, there's some street sections that show
L6	the relationship between the potential
L7	development across the street and what we are
L8	seeing here.
L9	And, the primary reason I have this
20	concern is that this just looks like a great big
21	box, and it feels highly out of place for the
22	context. I understand that the Comp Plan calls

for a taller building or greater density in this area, but I just feel like this is too much, by, I don't know, 20 feet or so. And, I'm completely not sold on the idea of adding extra height so they can have more generous office space, you know, that doesn't work for me.

And, in terms of the minimum standards for retail, you know, I would think that that would be sufficient as well. So, the idea of even giving more than 90, you know, not even in my thinking at this point, I think that they need to justify that this kind of height is appropriate, and that even this zone is appropriate when we are rezoning it from R-5-A to this, because it just, you know, it's easy enough to paint a color on a map when we are trying to figure out what the direction should be, but then when you try to look at it in context it needs to be something that's sensitive to the context.

I mean, I remember we had lengthy discussions for St. Elizabeth's about what the

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

appropriate height was, and I remember very clearly the neighbors stating that the heights were too high along Alabama Avenue. And, you know, well again, I understand the need to have greater density in the proximity of the Metro. This just feels like it's too much.

The second thing about it is, the design itself doesn't seem to do anything to mitigate its height. It tries to make itself even taller, with things like the embellishment.

And, I just don't think that that's the right move here.

I mean, we've seen some very, very sensitive developments of projects within the last couple of years, where commercial developments are being put in close proximity to residential, not even residential -- I mean, residential is even more dense than this, but still just low-rise residential. And, there are attempts to sculp the building, to set back from the street, not just step back in space to create sidewalks, which is a benefit, but may not

1 be the right benefit here. But, to step the building down, and to step the building down as 2 it approaches the neighbors. 3 4 Granted, there's a street, Street does mitigate some of that jump in height, 5 6 but still, that's a huge jump and it's right 7 across the street. I'm just -- I'm not feeling like this building is a comfortable fit into that 8 context by any stretch of the imagination. 9 10 So, I'm not sure how, you know, what they can do with that, but I think that's 11 something that's much more sensitive to the 12 context that would be helpful, and I think that 13 some drawings that really demonstrate how it is 14 sensitive to the context would also be helpful. 15 16 So, maybe it's street elevations that show more of the Malcolm X School to the 17 east, and what's across 13th Street to the west, 18 street sections, things like that, that would 19 20 help us show the context. As far as the buildings and what we 21 see in the drawings, I agree with Commissioner 22

Turnbull that we need to see more development of the drawings. I won't go into that in any great detail.

I do feel that the architecture of the residential building is, at this point, it doesn't have much of a residential feel at all. And, I think that that would go a long way to mitigate its presence in а residential neighborhood, and it just -- and we are seeing things that are -- I mean, it looks like it would be in the southeast riverfront area, Capitol Riverfront area, rather than in this context, and I'm looking for something that would be, again, more sensitive to the context.

The alley system, within, you know, the retaining wall in the back, and all of those garage doors, I mean, it just looks like it's going to be awful from the back. And, I wonder if there's a more efficient way to do circulation on this site, and maybe it has to be interior to the site, and maybe that's one way where they can sort of spread their footprint out a little bit,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and reduce their mass some, because right now, just a huge amount of the area is devoted to this alley system that wraps around the entire building. It just seems kind of odd, particularly, when, you know, a good portion of the back of the building is butting up against the school field, which I assume is owned by the District and is going to stay open space in perpetuity.

So, it just doesn't need to be separated by this giant moat of an alley that's been created all the way around it. So, I would love it if they could take more of a look at that.

You know, it's helpful to hear a little bit more about what the other amenities, benefits might be that reduce rent is a help, but so far I'm not seeing any, the kind of detail in the benefits and amenities package that we would need to see in order to justify approving this as a PUD.

I'm glad that's first on your list of things to get, and I think there's a lot of

NEAL R. GROSS

1	work to do there.
2	Last thing, and this is a minor
3	thing, is that there's a request for relief from
4	roof setback on the courtyard that surrounds the
5	Metro, and it faces Alabama Avenue, which is the
6	front of the building. And, I don't believe
7	that there's any reason to approve that. I will
8	not approve, or I will not vote to approve a roof
9	setback in that sort of circumstance, because it
10	is very, very painfully obvious from the main
11	facade of the building on Alabama Avenue.
12	So, it would be wise for them to find
13	a way to avoid that need for relief.
14	That's it. Thanks.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
16	Vice Chair?
17	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.
18	Chairman.
19	I, actually, have a couple of
20	similar reactions that my colleagues had, and
21	the term "moat" is the term that I came up with

as well for the alley system.

1	And, in the line of driveways, it
2	just didn't feel that it was soft enough, or
3	compatible enough, probably, to what is facing
4	it. There is a fence, but I'm not sure that
5	that's going to also be appropriate.
6	The other thing, I thought that
7	rooftop embellishment was very severe, and I
8	wanted more information from, you know, the
9	architect to explain either why you needed that
10	on the building. It just didn't work for me.
11	Maybe another or more organic design would suit
12	my taste at least. But, I just felt that it was
13	out of character.
14	I wanted to know more about WMATA's
15	role in the long term on this project. I wasn't
16	I didn't catch what they may be doing, other
17	than they currently do on some of the land.
18	And then lastly, I just want to
19	confirm that the IZ is not a public benefit, is
20	that correct?
21	MS. BROWN-ROBERTS: That's
22	correct.

1	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay. And so
2	again, more additional information about public
3	benefits would be helpful.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
6	Commissioner Miller?
7	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chairman.
9	I would associate myself with the
10	comments of my colleagues, who requested street
11	view renderings, in the context of the
12	surrounding neighborhood, including a
13	development at St. Elizabeth's and the adjacent
14	Congress Heights neighborhood.
15	And, I would encourage, as
16	Commissioner May did, the consideration of
17	setbacks and step downs at the top of the
18	building.
19	I think one of the things that might
20	that also maybe should be considered, that
21	would, I think, make it seem more residential,
22	at least on the Alabama Avenue facade, is that

1	they continued the 13th Street red brick facade
2	around the corner, for the Alabama Avenue
3	facade. And, the OP report notes that the
4	commercial building and the residential
5	building on Alabama appear as one, but I don't
6	think we really, necessarily but they don't
7	function as one, there are no internal
8	connections. They are just abutting up against
9	each other. I'm not sure we want it to appear
10	as one. I think it would look less bulky if it
11	had the red brick facade on Alabama Avenue that
12	the 13th Street side has, as well as maybe
13	consideration of additional balconies as well.
14	That might help with the residential as well.
15	That's all, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
17	I would agree with what I heard from
18	my colleagues, especially, the issue about the
19	proffered benefits and amenities package.
20	And, I also would like to make sure
21	that the community is engaged. I'm not sure, I
22	don't, necessarily typically, we don't see a

1	whole lot of evidence of that at this point, but
2	I'm going to be looking to see if this is set
3	down, the engagement of the community, and ANCs
4	and the community.
5	And also, I, too, want to see what
6	the character of the building is with the
7	surrounding area, especially, what we approved
8	for St. E's and other sites in that neighborhood,
9	how that building fits in, the style of it, and
10	also what's going on up on top of the roof.
11	These are some of the questions that can be
12	asked, that I can inquire upon at the set down
13	for a hearing, and it looks like it's going to
14	be that way.
15	So, that's my list my laundry list
16	of a few things I would like to see at the
17	hearing.
18	So, anything else? Okay.
19	Anybody like to make a motion to set
20	down, not set down?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr.
22	Chairman, I just have one comment. I think I'm

NEAL R. GROSS

1	willing to set this down, I think after hearing
2	all of our comments I think we should make it very
3	clear that we are setting this down with a lot
4	of reservations.
5	There's, actually, an opportunity
6	to, actually, send them back, but this is such
7	a significant project I'm hesitant to do that.
8	But, I think we've got to make it
9	clear that we have a lot of reservations about
10	the way the project is set up right now.
11	Commissioner May, do you have
12	anything?
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I thought
14	about going there. I don't feel this issue has
15	come up in the past in other cases, and I don't
16	feel as strongly about this one as I have in some
17	past cases, where I argued against setting down.
18	In this circumstance, again, you
19	know, it is an important project, and I think it
20	will be helpful to have the discussion, some of
21	the discussion here, but it does need a whole lot

of work before it comes back for a hearing, and

1	I think that's I think that on this one I feel
2	fairly confident that working with the Office of
3	Planning, the development team here, and the
4	architect, can make a lot of progress, and
5	address some of the concerns that we have.
6	So, yes, I think I'm slightly in
7	favor of setting down over not setting down.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: The vote will
9	decide if it's set down, but I will tell you right
10	now I will not support setting it down, but I
11	think the more important part of this whole
12	discussion is that the applicant has heard the
13	different comments that have come from this
14	Commission, because the votes do not favor not
15	setting it down.
16	Anything else?
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, I would
19	move that we set this down, Zoning Commission
20	Case No let me make sure I have the right one
21	13-08, with the the Vice Chair wants me to

read the whole thing -- that we set down Zoning

1	Commission Case No and I read it before I
2	introduced it, but anyway, Zoning Commission
3	Case No. 13-08, Square 5914, LLC, consolidated
4	PUD and related map amendment at Square 5914 and
5	various parcels, with the caveat that the
6	applicant has heard the serious comments, even
7	though the vote will be taken to set this down,
8	but the serious comments coming from the
9	Commissioners, and I ask for a second.
10	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and
12	properly seconded.
13	Any further discussion?
14	All those in favor, aye?
14 15	All those in favor, aye? Any opposition?
15	Any opposition?
15 16	Any opposition? Staff, would you record the vote?
15 16 17	Any opposition? Staff, would you record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the
15 16 17 18	Any opposition? Staff, would you record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5:0:0 to set down Zoning Commission Case No.
15 16 17 18 19	Any opposition? Staff, would you record the vote? MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 5:0:0 to set down Zoning Commission Case No. 13-08, as a contested case, Commissioner Hood

1	expound upon much more than what Commissioner
2	Turnbull said, it's very important that the
3	applicant hear what my colleagues said about the
4	reservations. The vote dictated to set it down.
5	This Commission will send it back.
6	It depends on when we do it, do we
7	do it now, or do we do it later. So, I would hope
8	that the applicant would take heed to all the
9	comments that they heard, especially, from our
10	colleagues, the architects.
11	COMMISSIONER MILLER: And, also
12	from the Office of Planning and their report.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, anything
14	else on this case? Okay, thank you.
15	Let's move right along.
16	Now, I think I need to call both of
17	these at the same time, Zoning Commission Case
18	06-04C, Florida and Q Street, LLC, modifications
19	of PUD at Square 3100. Secondly, the second
20	part is Zoning Commission Case NO. 06-04,
21	Florida and Q Street, LLC, two-year PUD time

22

extension at Square 3100.

1	Ms. Thomas.
2	MS. THOMAS: Good evening, Mr.
3	Chairman, Members of the Commission.
4	The Office of Planning is requesting
5	set down of Florida and Q Street, LLC's
6	application for a modification of its approved
7	PUD for the development of the parcel at 1600
8	North Capitol Street NW.
9	The development team has now
10	proposed to lower the buildings from 86 to 72
11	feet, through the removal of the penthouse and
12	lowering of the tower element.
13	In the low residential units it will
14	also be increased from a maximum of 85 units to
15	a maximum of 95 units.
16	And, one of the two levels of the
17	below grade parking structure will be removed,
18	and it is to satisfy the zoning requirements for
19	on-site parking.
20	In addition, the amenity package
21	will be modified to the extent that what was
22	identified in the original order no longer

Those cash contributions will 1 exists. apportioned among the remaining group to the 2 targeted request. 3 OP would require the applicant to 4 provide additional details regarding allocation 5 6 prior to the public hearing. 7 Flexibility is being requested from the court loading and restructure requirements, 8 as well as to convey the proposed retail selling 9 10 space to residential use, if it cannot be leased for retail purposes. 11 The modification would continue to 12 provide height and density consistent with the 13 affordable 14 previous approval, units in accordance with the IZ requirements at 15 16 percent of the area median income, green roof element, streetscape improvements, and sidewalk 17 renovation, according to DDOT standards, as well 18 as the approved amenities package in the order. 19 The site redevelopment would remain 20 consistent with the Comprehensive 21

policies and maps, and OP recommends set down of

1	the application for a public hearing.
2	Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
3	available to take any questions.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Ms.
5	Thomas.
6	Commissioners, any questions,
7	comments?
8	Commissioner May?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, one
10	question.
11	The thing that struck me about this
12	project, and I did not hear the original case,
13	but the relationship of the parking garage
14	entrance to the corner and that right-turn lane
15	seems pretty scary.
16	So, I'm wondering if there and I
17	know that at one point the City was planning to
18	do something different with that turn lane, can
19	you tell me, is there something else planned?
20	Is that going to be fixed, straightened out,
21	something?
22	MS. THOMAS: Right now, I believe

NEAL R. GROSS

that DDOT is in some conversations with the Mideast City plan that we have going on right now, and we are having some discussions as to potential changes to that, and maybe having a meeting with them on the 18th to discuss what their plans might be for that.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

MS. THOMAS: And, they have been keeping the applicant informed.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. But, it seems to me that something needs to be done there with the corner, with the right-turn lane. I know that at one point the City was seeking to take ownership of that triangle, and we tried to facilitate that, but Congress had different ideas, I guess.

But, at the very least, the City has jurisdiction over that triangle. It used to be Park Service triangle that's formed by that right-turn lane, and it seems to me that something ought to be done to slow the vehicles coming through there. And, I think that the

NEAL R. GROSS

1	alignment could be changed or something like
2	that.
3	MS. THOMAS: That's the intent with
4	working with the Mideast Plan.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well, I'm hoping
6	that that starts to gel before it comes back, and
7	I look forward to hearing about it.
8	Thanks.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other
10	questions?
11	Commissioner Turnbull?
12	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chair.
14	I just I wonder if sitting on this
15	project, I think maybe you and I were the only
16	ones left that were sitting on it, but it was a
17	very early process at the time, and the project
18	changed several, I think, Mr. Parsons, I think
19	it was when he was here, there was a lot of
20	comments on it.
21	And, I like the way it's going. I
22	mean, I think this the architecture is really

1	it's a lot different than what we saw
2	originally. And, I think it's I'm looking
3	forward to seeing a full presentation on it.
4	So, I think it's really beginning to
5	we looked at character, in the last project,
6	we talked about, I think here we can see that the
7	architecture has got the language is more
8	articulated and developed. It's making a nice
9	strong residential statement.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I, actually, concur
11	with you, COmissioner Turnbull.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: I completely
13	agree, and we saw you know, we saw the earlier
14	approved version, but I think at one point we saw
15	an image somewhere in here of an earlier version
16	of that, and I can see the progress that's been
17	made all the way through it, and I think it's in
18	a really positive state right now.
19	So, I'm quite pleased with it.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Vice Chair,
21	do you have any comments?
22	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Thank you, Mr.

1	Chairman.
2	I would like OP to confirm that IZ
3	applies to this modification, is that correct?
4	MS. THOMAS: IZ applies.
5	VICE CHAIR COHEN: Okay. Then we
6	need an analysis that's required by 2403.9,
7	please.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And also if I could
9	add, we need the reprogramming of the
10	allocations of the benefits package. I think
11	there's some at least we had noted that there
12	was some organizations that may not exist, or
13	they still exist, either one, but we need to have
14	that fine tuned.
15	Ms. Thomas, if we can check that last
16	statement about whether IZ does apply again.
17	We've been advised that it possibly doesn't, and
18	if we could clarify that before the well, we
19	haven't set it down yet, have we.
20	MS. THOMAS: The original order
21	approved affordable housing, specific to
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, the way I read

1	this regulation, under the original PUD, which
2	was set down and approved before the IZ trigger
3	date, the applicant promised to set aside 5,695
4	square feet for a household making 80 percent of
5	the AMI.
6	According to the OP report,
7	applicant will satisfy the IZ requirement as the
8	8.1 percent.
9	If we can we don't want to rehash
10	this up here if we can confirm it, you all can
11	confirm it.
12	MS. STEINGASSER: We would be happy
13	to. If the Office of Attorney General feels
14	otherwise, we are happy to hear that also.
15	We were advised by the Office of
16	Attorney General that IZ would apply.
17	MR. RITTING: I'm sorry to
18	interrupt, Ms. Steingasser. There's just some
19	confusion about whether it does or does not
20	apply, and the only thing that our office would
21	like to confirm is whether the applicant
22	believes it applies or not, and state that in the

1	prehearing statement.
2	If they believe that it does apply,
3	then we would recommend that the applicant
4	provides the analysis required by Section 2409
5	2403.9F in its prehearing submission.
6	If the applicant believes that IZ
7	does not apply, it should explain why in its
8	prehearing submission, and that's not in any way
9	to be considered a judgment on the Attorney
10	General's offices part, that we believe that it
11	does or does not apply.
12	We are not saying whether it does or
13	does not apply. We would like the applicant to
14	make that statement on the record. And,
15	depending on that position, justify it, prior to
16	the hearing.
17	I hope that sheds some light or
18	things.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Ms. Thomas,
20	are we clear?
21	MS. THOMAS: Sure.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Steingasser,

NEAL R. GROSS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	are we all right?
2	Commissioner Miller?
3	COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you.
4	Mr. Chairman, I would move that we
5	set down for hearing Zoning Commission Case No.
6	06-04C, Florida Avenue and Q Street, LLC,
7	modification to PUD at Square 3100, with a
8	request for the information that has been
9	requested by my colleagues, and by the Office of
LO	Planning, and the Office of Attorney General.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been
13	moved and properly seconded.
L4	Any further discussion?
15	All those in favor, aye?
L6	(Ayes.)
L7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Not hearing any
18	opposition, Ms. Schellin, would you please
L9	record the vote?
20	MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the
21	vote 5:0:0 to set down Zoning Commission Case No.
22	06-04C as a contested case, Commissioner Miller

1	moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
2	Commissioners Cohen, Hood and May in favor of set
3	down.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you,
5	and I'm sure we'll work all that out.
6	Ms. Steingasser, do we have an
7	Office of Planning report?
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Oh, excuse me,
9	Chairman Hood.
10	With regard to Zoning Commission
11	Case No. 06-04D, is the Commission what is the
12	
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, okay, thank
14	you, Ms. Schellin. Give me one second on this.
15	Commissioners, I think that through
16	legal advice and how we move forward with this
17	modification, I think before we consider the two
18	here, and I think we are being requested that we
19	consider the extension after final action,
20	depending upon which way final action goes for
21	the modification.
22	So, we will hold that in abeyance.

Does anyone have do I need to do a we don't need a motion okay, we have a
a we don't need a motion okay, we have a
general consensus on that.
Okay, Ms. Steingasser, do we have an
Office of Planning report?
MS. STEINGASSER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Can you give
us the status maybe on when we can expect to see
possibly ZRR and I'm doing this really for
those not for those in the audience, but for
those who are watching this on the computer.
MS. STEINGASSER: We will be
bringing to the Commission a complete draft of
the zoning regulation revisions on July 29th.
We are not bringing it for set down on the 29th,
but we will be bringing it to the Commission.
As you know, the Commission hasn't
seen these regulations in over a year, and we
would never expect you to set down 600-700 pages
of regulations, it was a ten-day review period,
so we want to get it to you in total, so that you

can look at it, decide then in September how you

1	want to proceed.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, well,
3	thank you. We are looking forward to seeing
4	that at our next meeting, which is the 29th, and
5	they will be filing it with us, and we'll just
6	take it from there.
7	Okay, thank you very much.
8	Anything else, Ms. Schellin?
9	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I want to
11	thank everyone for their participation tonight,
12	and this meeting is adjourned.
13	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
14	matter was concluded at 8:07 p.m.)
15	
16	