GOVERNMENT

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

IN THE MATTER OF:

-

 ${\tt 5Case\ No.}$ Minimum lot dimensions in the R 507-03

(Residential Districts - Text 5

Amendment) 5

W44444444444444444444444444444444444

Thursday
April 5, 2007

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 07-03 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson
ANTHONY HOOD Vice-Chairperson
GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner

JOHN PARSONS Commissioner (NPS) MICHAEL G. TURNBULL Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary
DONNA HANOUSEK Zoning Specialist
ESTHER BUSHMAN General Counsel

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JENNIFER STEINGASSER TRAVIS PARKER KAREN THOMAS

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on April 5, 2007.

A G E N D A

Office of	Plann	ing :	Repo	rt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
ANC 6A .						•		•	•		•	5
Persons ir Mr. W	n Supp Vard .			•		•		•				12
Persons in			on									16

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	6:51 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We will now
4	move on to Case Number 07-03 and have Mr.
5	Parker make the Office of Planning report
6	next.
7	MR. PARKER: Good evening, Madam
8	Chairman, Members of the Commission.
9	Travis Parker with the Office of
10	Planning.
11	I'm prepared to make a thorough
12	and eloquent presentation of this if you'd
13	like it, but I'm happy to stand on the record
14	if you'd prefer to if you feel comfortable
15	with the case.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. We'll
17	see if anyone has any questions, but we always
18	welcome your thorough and eloquent
19	presentations, Mr. Parker.
20	Anyone have any questions for Mr.
21	Parker on Case Number 07-03 which is the
22	amendment to 402.1.

1	Okay. Thank you.
2	Are there any ANCs here present
3	that would like to give testimony in Case
4	Number 07-03? Come ahead.
5	COMMISSIONER ALBERTI: Good
6	evening.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Hi.
8	COMMISSIONER ALBERTI: And thank
9	you for the opportunity to speak and speaking
10	on behalf of ANC 6A.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Please
12	identify yourself for the record.
13	COMMISSIONER ALBERTI: Yes, I'm
14	Nick Alberti, Commissioner in ANC 6A.
15	First of all, I'd like to say that
16	ANC 6A unanimously supports this text
17	amendment because it will eliminate a defect
18	in the current zoning law which allows
19	exemptions from compliance with required
20	minimum lot area and minimum width criteria of
21	401.3 even in the cases where there is a use

-- where the use of the property has changed.

Secondly, I'd like to strongly urge the Zoning Commission to adopt this amendment on an emergency basis. On February 13th, the Zoning Commission considered a related text amendment. It was the charter schools text amendment which really generated this issue and at that time, the Commission determined that it was appropriate to set down that amendment on an emergency basis. We believe that the conditions warranting that action still exist and apply equally in this case.

The pressures for charter school expansion have led -- and I'm going into the reasons why it should be an emergency -- set down as an emergency. The pressures for charter school expansion have led charter schools to look to inappropriate locations in residential neighborhoods and in R-4 neighborhoods.

In the short time since the Zoning Commission made its initial ruling on the

charter schools amendment, text we have already seen а test case involving the Appletree Institute in their matter-of-right claim to occupy an existing property on 12th Street and that property does not meet the lot area and lot width requirements set forth in 401.3. That same non-profit has already applied to the Public Charter School Board for two additional sites other than the 12th Street site.

Delaying the affect of this amendment would leave issues surrounding the location of charter schools in residential districts unresolved and the standards for future projects ambiguous. If the existing law is not amended promptly, there is potential for school campuses to cause severe harm to the character of our residential neighborhoods.

And lastly, I'd like to point out that this text amendment presents a partial solution to a larger problem in the Zoning

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	Code and that is the grandfathering
2	exceptions, those that deal with properties
3	prior to 1958, those exemptions fail to
4	specifically address changes of use.
5	The case that came just earlier
6	was another example of that and there are many
7	others I believe in the Zoning Code.
8	These exemptions were intended to
9	protect existing use not to provide carte
10	blanche for any and all future uses. We
11	recommend that the Zoning Commission and the
12	Office of Planning conduct a complete review
13	of the Zoning Code to identify other
14	exemptions for these pre-1958 buildings and
15	properties that should be eliminated when
16	significant use is occurring.
17	Thank you for the opportunity to
18	speak.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you,
20	Commissioner Alberti.
21	Any questions for, Mr. Alberti?
22	Any questions?

1	Okay. Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER ALBERTI: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Parker,
4	I'm going to ask you for the benefit of my
5	colleagues, rather than have me testify, can
6	you put Mr. Alberti's comments in context for
7	the Commission?
8	MR. PARKER: Comments in terms of
9	the
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The appeal
11	case that came before the BZA that led to
12	this.
13	MR. PARKER: The appeal case which
14	I believe was heard in January or maybe
15	decided in January determined in part that
16	Section 401 does not just grant the right to
17	expand a pre-'58 building that's on a
18	nonconforming lot, but grants an unstated
19	right to also change the use of that building
20	to something that would otherwise require a
21	variance.
22	In that particular case, a school

was attempting to locate on a lot that did not
meet the width and I believe area requirements
for a school and it was determined by the
Board that because it was allowable for that
building to be expanded under Section 401.1,
therefore, there was no need for that use
change to be granted a variance and OP
believes that this is an incorrect
interpretation in that it would open the door
for any nonconforming lot in the R-4 or any R
District to be converted to any other use
regardless of what the lot area and width
requirements for that use would otherwise be.
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
So, the reason that we have this text
amendment before us is to rather than leave it
open to interpretation is to clarify it. So,
that's the background for it and then the
Appletree it was the appeal of the
Appletree denial of a building permit that was
in mout the begin for the Coming
in part the basis for it was the Zoning

1	not the width and lot occupancy limitations
2	related to public schools should apply.
3	So, I just wanted you to have that
4	context and I think what we'll do is we'll
5	just for the moment not address specifically
6	the emergency request, but on Monday, since
7	we're having a meeting on Monday, to the
8	extent that the Commission wants to take that
9	up, we can do that then. Okay.
10	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So, what
11	happened with the appeal?
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The appeal
13	was let's see. I just want to say this
14	right because that was a grant deny of the
15	appeal. The denial of the building permit was
16	the
17	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Appeal.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: The denial of
19	the permit was appealed and the appeal was
20	granted.
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh. Okay.

1	clear things up, the order granting the appeal
2	has not yet been issued and, therefore, the
3	affect of the ruling is not in place.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. All
5	right. Just wanted to have the Commission
6	more aware of the whole thing. All right.
7	So, any other ANCs who'd like to
8	testify?
9	Then those who would like to
LO	testify in support of Case Number 07-03.
11	MR. WARD: Good evening, Madam
L2	Chairman and Members of the Board. Thank you
13	for allowing me to testify this evening.
L4	My name is Chris Ward and I'm
L5	representing the Northeast Neighbors for
L6	Responsible Growth, a civic organization
L7	formed from the North Lincoln Park
18	Neighborhood of Capitol Hill.
L9	We were formed in response to the
20	efforts of the Appletree Institute that you
21	just mentioned to locate a large charter
22	school at an inappropriate location in our

community.

2.

We're aware that when the Zoning Commission passed the original text amendment on school locations in September of 2006 that you intended to protect all the city's neighborhoods, permit appropriate sitings of schools and we're very grateful for the work you and the Office of Planning have done on that issue.

None of us foresaw that Section 401.1 of the Code could be misapplied to allow any organization to establish a school in a nonconforming property to circumvent the intent of those regulations.

Today, every traditional public and charter school in the city does comply with this regulations but that could change before the text amendment you're considering tonight is placed into affect. That's why I join ANC 6A in asking that you move to set it down on an emergency basis.

Let me explain a couple of points

for background. On March 19 of this year at the Public Charter School Board meeting Board Chairman Thomas Knight had told our group president Herb Failing that the Public Charter School Board issues permissions for charter schools to locate without public hearing and without public notice. This was reported in both The Washington Post and The Voice of the Hill.

We should point out the Public Charter School Board has held board meetings for more than ten years with no transcripts. Their documents are not public record. They claim to be exempt from Sunshine Laws and Freedom Of Information Act. ANC 6A has asked for clarification of this from the Office of the Attorney General.

What this really means for our community or any other community in Washington is that any charter school could be given permission needed to obtain a building permit without anyone being notified. That's our

2.

1 concern. Consequently, before the action is 2. 3 set down, any school could approach the Zoning Administrator for a permit for a nonconforming 4 building if it was built before the date we've 5 discussed, 1958. 6 7 Without this text amendment 8 place, the Zoning Administrator has not clear 9 quideline on which to act leaving the city open to litigation we believe. 10 11 We respectfully request that the 12 Zoning Commission provide clear guidance to 13 the Administrator of the intent of the original text amendment on school siting and 14 15 close this window allowing expectations -for nonconforming 16 exceptions, excuse me, 17 buildings by setting down the amendment on an 18 emergency basis. 19 Thank you very much for allowing 20 me to testify. Thank you, 21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN:

Any questions for Mr.

Ward.

Mr.

1	Questions? Thank you very much.
2	MR. WARD: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right.
4	Mr. Williams, did you want to testify again or
5	just
6	MR. WILLIAMS: No, as I signed in,
7	I said I was opposed as drafted. You have the
8	rest of my suggestions.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Terrific.
12	And anyone else who'd like to testify in
13	opposition in Case Number 07-03? Anyone who'd
14	like to testify in opposition?
15	Okay. Then I think we'll leave
16	the record open in this case for two weeks as
17	well and so, the record will close at 3:00
18	p.m. on April 19th.
19	And unless there's anything else
20	from my colleagues, then I thank you all for
21	your participation here this evening and be
22	sure and tune in on your computer if you don't

1	want to come down to our meeting on Monday for
2	various and sundry decisions that we'll make.
3	Thank you.
4	(Whereupon, at 7:03 p.m., the
5	hearing was concluded.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	