GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

OFFICE OF ZONING

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

SEPTEMBER 24, 2020

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Video Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 4:00 p.m. EDT, Anthony Hood, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairman ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chair PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner PETER G. MAY, Commissioner MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON SCHELLIN, Secretary PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

CRYSTAL MEYERS

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

KIMBERLY VACCA, District Department of Transportation Planner

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on September 24, 2020.

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

	<u>I</u>	Page
Opening Remarks by Chairman Hood		4
Preliminary Matters		6
Applicant's Presentation		9
Report of the Office of Planning		52
Report of Department of Transportation		54
Report of other government agencies		71
Parties in Opposition		72
Applicant's Rebuttal and Closing		87
Adjourn		109

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	4:00 p.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we're ready to begin this
4	hearing. Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. We are
5	convening and broadcasting this public hearing by
6	videoconferencing.
7	My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me are Vice
8	Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner May, and
9	Commissioner Turnbull.
10	We are also joined by the Office of Zoning Staff,
11	Ms. Sharon Schellin, our secretary, Mr. Paul Young, who will
12	be handling all of our virtual operations. All others will
13	introduce themselves at the appropriate time.
14	Today's date is September the 24th, 2020. And
15	tonight's case is the subject of Zoning Commission Case
16	Number 20-09, Mr. Wagner, LLC, Consolidated PUD and related
17	map amendment at square, excuse me, 5740, Lot 337, 2419 25th
18	Street Southeast. Bear with me while I switch screens.
19	Copies of today's virtual public hearing notice
20	are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be
21	advised, this proceeding is being recorded by a court
22	reporter and is also webcast live which includes Webex and
23	YouTube Live.
24	Accordingly, all those listening on Webex or by

25 phone will be muted during the hearing until the appropriate

time. If by any chance you have any problems while this hearing is going on, I ask that you call 202-727-5471. Again that number is 202-727-5471.

And I will ask all those others to introduce themselves at the appropriate time or when they come on to speak. I would ask that you state your name and home address before providing oral testimony or your presentation.

When you are finished speaking, this is important, please mute your audio so that your microphone is no longer picking up sound or background noise and we can hear it as well. So remember, mute and unmute is the key to this operation running smooth.

All persons planning to testify either in favor, opposition, undeclared, and in this virtual hearing, we encourage you to sign up in advance.

At the time of sign-up, all participants completer the oath of affirmation required by Subtitle Z 48.7 and if you have not signed up, we will work through that and make sure you are able to participate in this process.

If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents during the hearing then please be prepared to describe and discuss it at the time of your testimony as we have not had time to review your submission.

This hearing will be conducted in the accordance

2.0

2.1

with provisions of 11 Z DCMR Chapter 4 as follows. Preliminary matters, applicant's case. I think in this case they've asked for 30 minutes.

Report of the Office of Planning and District Department of Transportation, Report of other government agencies, Report of the ANC, testimony of organizations and of individuals. Organizations will have five minutes, individuals will have three minutes, respectively. And we'll hear in the order from those in support, opposition, and undeclared after which we will have rebuttal and closing by the Applicant.

The Commission reserves the right to change the time for presentations if necessary to adhere in time as strictly as possible and no time shall be ceded.

Again, any reason you have any problems, please dial 202-727-5471. 202-727-5471 for any outstanding issues during this period. And I repeat that because I want to make sure everybody has the opportunity to be able to participate.

At this time, the Commission will consider any preliminary matters so does the staff have any preliminary matters?

MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Just a couple. The Applicant has uploaded their affidavit of maintenance in that sent order. They have proffered three expert witnesses. All three have previously been accepted by the Commission.

2.1

1	Stephanie Farrell in architecture, Nicole White in
2	transportation, and Shane Detman in zoning and land use.
3	They've all previously been accepted, would just
4	ask the Commission if they would accept them in this case
5	this evening.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm going to look at my
7	comments and see if they have objections to the requests.
8	No objections, okay.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: The Applicant's representative,
12	representatives are Kyrus Freeman and Jessica Bloomfield.
13	If Mr. Young could bring them on? And just to advise the
14	Commission, there is an ANC 8B Report. There's an OP Report,
15	a DDOT report and no other government agency report in this
16	case. Other than that, staff has nothing further.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you very much, Ms. Schellin.
18	That makes it a lot easier with all the government reports
19	so thank you very much.
20	All right. Mr. Young, can we bring everybody, I
21	see Ms. Bloomfield and Mr. Freeman are up, and Mr. Detman.
22	So if you all want to share your video, you can.
23	And then Mr. Freeman, you have the floor.
24	MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Could you also please admit
25	Robbie Miller, Stephanie Farrell, and Nicole White?

1	MR. YOUNG: Yes, I've got them all in here.
2	MR. FREEMAN: Okay.
3	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Mr. Chairman?
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, sir?
5	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just as a preliminary matter
6	since the applicant's, the principal of the applicant happens
7	to be named Robert Miller and I guess his nickname is Robbie
8	which was my nickname in the first half of my life or first
9	third of my life, I just wanted to state for the record that
10	I have no relationship or knowledge of the Applicant, Robert
11	Miller.
12	I did see him, I think I sat on a D.C. case where
13	his firm was representing another housing development and I
14	made the same disclosure there when I learned of this name.
15	So just as a preliminary matter, I have no
16	relationship, no friendship, no affiliation with the Robert
17	Miller in relation to this case.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So I actually heard that
19	but I figured I wouldn't comment, I would just go ahead and
20	let it ride but I'm glad you brought that up. Thank you for
21	doing it especially for the record so thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Do we call you Robbie now?
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sure.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: It's Vice Chair Robbie to you.
25	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.

All right. So I want --1 MR. FREEMAN: 2 COMMISSIONER MAY: I'll only do it off the record. 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thanks. All right. 4 MR. FREEMAN: I think, I hope our whole team is 5 on at this point. Thank you all for your time this, I'm used to saying this evening but I guess it's late afternoon since 6 7 it's 4 o'clock. 8 As you all know, we're here for approval of the 9 consolidated PUD and zoning map amendment to rezone our site 10 which is 2419 25th Street from the RA-3 zone to the RA-2 11 zone. 12 Our proposed development would result in a, what we think is a beautiful building at 2.59 FAR up to a height 13 Importantly, 67 units all dedicated for seniors 14 of 55 feet. with incomes up to 60 percent of MFI. 15 I have all my notes here that seniors technically 16 17 means 55 So we should think as if that's a 55 up up. community. 18 19 The comp plan, you will hear our witnesses go into 2.0 detail. Our application materials go into detail, how we 21 meet all of the applicable standards for approval of this planned unit development and map amendment. 22 23 As you, I think Ms. Schellin noted that at the 24 beginning we're happy to have OP support which is marked as Exhibit 21 in the record, DDOT support which is marked as

10 Exhibit 22 in the record. They have three conditions, all 1 of which we absolutely agree to, and the ANC has a resolution 2 3 and strong support which is Exhibit 20C in the record. 4 So again, we believe our submissions go through 5 in great detail how we meet all of the standards for approval of the project. 6 7 There was a letter submitted in opposition by a Mr. Watson, Junior. We would ask to maybe at the end be able 8 9 to submit our regular response to that letter just so that we can make sure that our response to those comments are 10 11 written in the records. So at the appropriate time, Mr.

Chairman, we'd be happy to respond to any of those questions in Mr. Watson's letter.

So Mr. Franklin, let me just tell CHAIRMAN HOOD: you that since Mr. Watson's a little late coming in, that was some of my questioning and hopefully you can respond to it where you can respond in writing later. As we go forward, we want, some of it's germane to us and some of it's not.

> Absolutely. MR. FREEMAN:

CHAIRMAN HOOD: We encourage you to try to go through that in your presentation if you can. If not, I'll ask questions myself on the back end.

Okay, well why don't we MR. FREEMAN: Awesome. do this. Why don't, I know our Robbie Miller, not to be confused with the Robert Miller. Our Robbie Miller has some

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

opening statements and then we would move to Stephanie Farrell, our architect, and then Ms. Nicole White, our transportation consultant.

And then we'd have Mr. Detman conclude with a comp land analysis, if the Commission is inclined to proceed that way. All right. Robbie Miller is up next.

MR. MILLER: Hi and thank you, guys. Thank you, everybody for seeing us tonight. We've been looking forward to being able to advance this project. Our group has been doing business in the District for close to 20 years at this point in developing projects in close coordination with communities and trying to assemble really top notch teams from a design standpoint, community standpoint, and really across the board to be able to execute on top notch projects across the District.

This is a project which we feel very good about and have tremendous community support as well as what we believe is a great team that's presented an architecture and design approach that is very sensitive to the surrounding communities and adjacent parcels and so on and so forth.

We really rest on bringing the right design and close coordination with the community and those things have really sort of manifested themselves in this project.

So we're excited to proceed with this as Kyrus mentioned, all affordable seniors, we feel it meets a

2.1

tremendous need and in a really nice way. So with that I 1 will close and be on, be standing by to answer questions 2 3 moving forward. 4 MR. FREEMAN: So next up will be Stephanie 5 Farrell. She's going to, we brought our presentation. It's in the record as Exhibit 24. It's labeled Applicant's 6 7 PowerPoint Presentation. So I don't know, Mr. Young, if you 8 could pull that up, Stephanie and Nicole and then Shane will 9 walk through that. 10 MS. FARRELL: Okay, great. Can you hear me? 11 MR. FREEMAN: Yes. 12 MS. FARRELL: Okay. So as Robbie said, thank you very much for having us and we're really excited to present 13 the design for this project at 25th and Wagner Southeast. 14 15 Next? So just a quick introduction to the site. 16 The 17 site is located at the corner of the intersection of 25th and 25th is running north, south, Wagner is running 18 Wagner. 19 And you see the plan located here right at the 20 intersection at the corner. 2.1 And also some of the adjacent context, there's the 22 Stanton School across 25th, the rehabilitation center to the 23 west behind us, and then the mix of multi-family and single 24 family residential in the neighborhood. Next? And some

views of the site.

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: We've lost your audio.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: We'll give her a moment or so to
3	come back. We can't hear you.
4	MS. FARRELL: So
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: She
6	MS. FARRELL: Is that better?
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, there you go.
8	MS. FARRELL: Okay. So the photo in the center
9	top is looking west on Wagner. Wagner has quite a bit of
10	grade. It goes down pretty quickly. The view on the top
11	right is looking back up Wagner towards the intersection.
12	Bottom left is actually looking at the private
13	driveway to the south of our site that leaves
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So I think we lost you again. We
15	lost you again.
16	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Stephanie, we've lost you.
17	MS. FARRELL: into the
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So Ms. Farrell, you're going in
19	and out.
20	MS. FARRELL: Yes. Can you hear me now?
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, you might want to just keep
22	your video off. Maybe that, maybe
23	MS. FARRELL: Yes. Yes, let me try that.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
25	MS. FARRELL: Okay. So the bottom middle is the

25th Street looking north with the site on the left. And then the bottom right is the intersection of 25th and Wagner. Next?

This is just some images of the context, again some of the multifamily and single family that's existing in the neighborhood. Next?

So as Kyrus mentioned, we are proposing a 2.9 FAR. The building is 55 foot in height. There are 67 senior affordable units. They're primarily one bedroom, 58 one bedrooms and then there's a smaller amount of studios and two bedrooms. Six studios and three two-bedrooms. Next?

So this is a view that's at the corner of 25th and Wagner. So 25th is going to the left, Wagner is going to the right. And so the building is five stories from the corner and then as the building again moves down the grade, down Wagner, it steps down again, trying to be sensitive to the context and also taking advantage of the change in grade.

The building is trying to be sensitive also in its architectural treatment. There's a strong reading of an attic story with a cornice, bay windows that help break down the scale.

It's primarily masonry with also fiber cement, the corner entrance here at the main corner and then we also have a canopy that has been extended back over a small outdoor resident amenity area which is one of the comments that we

2.0

2.1

got from OP and has been incorporated into the project. Next?

This is a view on Wagner looking back up towards the intersection. And again you really see the moves that have been made to both step the building down and it also sort of steps back as well which helps break up the scale.

So the building is five stories plus a basement up at the corner then it steps to four stories plus a basement which starts to be exposed because of the grade.

And then at the end it's really three stories across the basement level or four stories total. So really stepping down and again, some of the moves that have been made to strongly articulate the top floor, bring the scale down, banding of the lower level and things like that.

And then also you see that a lot of attention has been paid to the landscaping and the green buffer that occurs between the building and the sidewalk. Next?

So this is the floor plan. So again because of the grade, this is at the lower level which is on grade at the west end of the site on Wagner where you enter the parking in the back.

And there's an entrance into the building at this lower level and then the residential is partially out of the ground. And we've added some landscaping at the parking area and some windows into the lower level, amenity space again

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

based on Office of Planning comments. 1 2 This is the first floor which again is on grade This is where the main lobby entrance is 3 from 25th Street. and that outdoor amenity space just to the north of the lobby 5 and this is now one level above the floor below that we just looked at. Next? 6 7 This is a typical residential floor. That's the 8 second and third floor. Next? 9 And then on the fourth floor you start to see as 10 he said, the stepping that happens with the building. 11 west side there is now а roof where we've also 12 incorporated a roof deck again giving multiple outdoor 13 amenity options for the residents. Next? So this is the next level up where the middle 14 15 section is we're looking at the roof and only the top portion 16 at the corner remains. Next? 17 And this is the roof level showing everything is stepped back as it should be. There's a mix of green roof 18 19 and solar. Next? 2.0 Just a quick section through the site. 2.1 you see the change in the grade from 25th Street on the left 22 hand side of the section and then the parking area on the 23 right and the step downs that are occurring. 24 And so the elevation views is what we just looked

So on the top that's the Wagner facade, the main

at in 3D.

street facade on Wagner. And then on the bottom is the main 1 street facade on 25th Street. 2 Next? 3 And then what you see on the top there is the south facade that's sort of facing the property to the south 4 5 which again continues to step down. And then the west elevation, so you'd see that 6 7 small four story portion in the front, and then the step up 8 happening beyond. And there's a small entrance there at the 9 back. Next? 10 And just а little more enlargement of t.he 11 elevations where you see the masonry and there's two colors 12 of masonry with an accent color being used for heads and 13 sills, the fiber cement panel as the attic story, and the 14 bays and, next? And then this is an enlargement of the elevation 15 to the south which again uses the mix of fiber cement panel 16 17 and siding being articulated with some Juliet balconies. Next? 18 19 And this is the material board with the color 2.0 So it's really kind of just a warm, neutral color 2.1 palate with the main brick on the left and then the accent 22 brick for the heads and the sills and the banding and then again sort of burn neutral fiber cement colors. 23 shows the signage which is 24 iust reallv

minimal for the residential project. There's one at the main

1	entry and one at the rear entry from the parking. Next?
2	And this is the landscape plan which again a lot
3	of attention has been paid to create a lot of green buffer
4	and screening between the building and the sidewalk. We've
5	also added the shade trees at the parking lot. There's the
6	bioretention.
7	You see the private patio to the north as well as
8	the curb extension on Wagner Street which when it was again
9	also a request that we incorporated some sit down.
10	That's it. Thank you.
11	MR. FREEMAN: That concludes our architectural
12	presentation. Next up is Nicole White who's going to walk
13	through the transportation analysis. I think Nicole might
14	have been having some difficulties getting on so why don't
15	we do this. If we could go to the comp plan analysis, Mr.
16	Detman's presentation?
17	MR. YOUNG: I just got her on here.
18	MR. FREEMAN: Okay.
19	MR. YOUNG: If she wants to try and speak.
20	MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Awesome. Well, go ahead and
21	go.
22	MS. WHITE: There we go. Okay. Can you hear me
23	okay?
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
25	MS. WHITE: Okay, great. Sorry my video, I don't

link to the video but I will proceed with 1 2 presentation. 3 My name is Nicole White. Good evening. I'm a principle with Symmetra Design. Our firm, Symmetra Design, 5 was retained to prepare a transportation statement for the You can go to the next slide, please. 6 The transportation statement was coordinated with 7 DDOT and is consistent with the scale of the project. 8 9 stated in the DDOT report, DDOT has no objection to the 10 approval of the consolidated PUD and zoning map amendment with three conditions that I will 11 outline later presentation. Next slide, please? The site is well-served by bus stops and numerous 13 bus routes. Next slide, please? 14 15 As previously noted, site access is located on 16 Wagner Street. The 12 foot two-way driveway design is 17 appropriate for a residential project of this scale and is consistent with DDOT standards per the design and engineering 18 19 Next slide, please? manual. 2.0 The Applicant has agreed to the three conditions 2.1 outlined in the DDOT report for approval. The Applicant will 22 fund -- next slide, please? 23 The Applicant will fund and construct pedestrian 24 network improvements in the immediate vicinity of the site

encourage walking.

Specifically, the Applicant will

a sidewalk on the south side of Wagner southeast from 25th Street southeast to the nearest single family residential driveway directly west of the site, and will also construct the curb extensions on Wagner Street that were mentioned during Stephanie's presentation. Next slide, please? Applicant has submitted a transportation plan non-automobile modes of management to encourage transportation. DDOT concurs with the TDM that was submitted by the Applicant and no additional TDM measures have been requested. You can go to the next slide. I'm just showing two pages of a comprehensive TDM plan. Moving onto loading, the next slide, the Applicant has requested relief from providing a 30 foot loading berth and a platform. A 20 foot service space will be provided. Loading activities will occur in the service space. Use the 20 foot service οf space would be appropriate considering the size and the make residential units. The loading plan allows the Applicant to devote more space to landscaping impervious surfaces. slide, please?

DDOT concurs with the Applicant's loading management plan and

The loading management plan was submitted to DDOT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

1	the loading management plan adequately addresses loading
2	operations and management thus the request for loading
3	flexibility will not create any adverse impacts. Next slide,
4	please?
5	In conclusion, the project has great access to bus
6	stops and numerous bus lines with connections to Metrorail
7	stations. Cite access is adequate and consistent with DDOT
8	standards. DDOT has no objection to approval of the project
9	with three conditions.
10	The Applicant has agreed to the conditions to fund
11	and construct pedestrian network improvements and provide a
12	TDM and loading management plan. The project will not result
13	in adverse traffic, transportation, or parking conditions.
14	That concludes my presentation.
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Your mic's on. Put it on mute.
17	That helps.
18	MR. DETMAN: How's that? Can you hear me okay?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, we can hear you.
20	MR. DETMAN: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and
21	members of the Commission. These first two slides in our
22	testimony simply, they are slides that you've seen before.
23	They simply summarize what the intended purpose of the PUD
24	process is and what the standard of review is.

Essentially the PUD process exists in order to

provide higher quality development through flexibility in building controls provided the project results in a project that's superior than what would otherwise result from matter of right standards, offers a commendable number of quality and meaningful public benefits as well as protects and advances the public health, safety and welfare and is not inconsistent with the comp plan.

Next slide, please? Next slide? Back one slide. Thank you.

To approve a PUD, as you know the Zoning Commission must judge, balance, and reconcile the value of the public benefits authored by the Applicant. The degree of development incentive is being requested as well as the potential adverse effects of the project.

The Commission shall find three things. project inconsistent the find that the is not with comprehensive that it does plan, not result any unacceptable project impacts, that it includes public benefits and project amenities that are not inconsistent with Next slide? the comp plan.

With respect to the development incentives that are being requested in this case, a map amendment pursuant to the zoning regulations is considered a form of flexibility and in this case, we requested a map amendment from the existing R-3 zone to RA-2.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

In addition, in the terms of what that means for height and density, with respect to height compared to what's proposed and comparing that to the existing zoning, the project essentially gains through the map amendment an additional 15 feet of height when compared to existing matter of right zoning, an additional five feet when compared to existing PUD zoning.

In terms of density, again comparing it back to the matter-of-right and the PUD density, PUD zoning, essentially what we'd be looking at is a gain of about 0.79 FAR compared to matter-of-right and 0.3 FAR compared to the existing zone in their PUD.

In addition to the map amendment, the other areas of development flexibility being requested is a neighbor from the new PUD land area in excess of the 50 percent that the Commission is authorized to grant. The request here is an additional five percent or 55 percent reduction.

And then lastly, flexibility from the loading berth requirement as well as the driveway width requirement.

Next slide?

And so looking at the development incentives that are being requested and balancing that with the public benefits and project amenities in this case, the project provides superior public benefits in the form of urban design, architecture, landscape, as well as site planning and

2.1

efficient use of the site and those benefits are listed before you here. Next slide?

Certainly probably the most significant public benefit in this project would be the proffer in housing as well as affordable housing.

As Stephanie mentioned, the project will deliver 67 new dwelling units all of which will be affordable to seniors that make up to 60 percent MFI.

There's also public benefits in the form of transportation infrastructure, the sidewalk extension, and the curb extension that the Applicant has agreed to do as well as a robust transportation management plan.

Finally, other public benefits being offered in this project include a financial contribution towards laptops for schools in Ward 8 in the amount of \$20,000 as well as a couple other contributions that are listed here. Next slide?

So going look the potential I'm to at environmental impacts, potential impacts of the project and I won't go through these here in detail. Essentially we've conducted an extensive evaluation for potential impacts that could result from the project in the categories of organized according the District elements to in the comprehensive plan and overall I find that the potential impacts of the project are either favorable, capable of being mitigated, or in certain areas there would be no impact at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Next couple slides we can go through here? And one more. And next slide. Next slide.

And so quickly turning to the maps in the comprehensive plan, under the generalized policy map, the site is designated as a neighborhood conservation area.

Essentially, the guiding philosophy of a neighborhood conservation area is to conserve and enhance the established neighborhoods but it's certainly not to preclude the development in these areas and in particular when they seek to address the city-wide housing needs.

A new development, again it's not precluded but when it does happen it should be compatible with the existing scale, natural features, and the character of the surrounding area.

I believe that the proposed PUD is compatible with the scale and the character of the surrounding area and that it will provide 67 new housing units for seniors at the affordable level and certainly, and so certainly that's addressing the city-wide housing need. Next slide?

The future land use map designates the site as public split designation, local facility, οf а institutional. newly adopted and and as stated in the effective framework element under the FLUM quidelines, densities depicted in and intensities and are not

framework element when it comes to local public facility institutional.

What the FLUM guidelines do say is that when there is a change in use that occurs on the site, you should look to the surrounding context for guidance in terms of what's appropriate in terms of height and density.

The surrounding area is primarily designated as moderate density residential and under the framework element, the proposed RA-2 zone is identified as being consistent with the moderate residential category.

Looking at the actual surrounding context, it's a mix of two and four story multi-family, single family. Just up the street there's the Skyline Town Center which has been approved by the Commission at five stories and just behind the site is the three story nursing home. So I think in general the proposed building, a five story stepping down to three stories as it moves west is consistent with and compatible with that surrounding context. Next slide?

And so with that, Mr. Chairman, I believe in conclusion. overall the PUD is non-inconsistent with comprehensive plan. Any potential inconsistencies individual comprehensive plan policies are outweighed by competing priorities in the comprehensive plan, certainly in of housing, affordable housing, pedestrian areas circulation, and to the transportation improvements as well

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

as the contributions to the facilities and services.

The project will not cause any unacceptable impacts. The public benefits balance the degree of development incentives being requested.

And finally, I believe that the PUD is consistent with zoning maps and will not create conditions that are, that will create conditions that are favorable to public health, safety, and welfare and that concludes my presentation.

MR. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, that concludes our direct presentation but we're all available to answer any questions you have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you all for your presentation. I do want to make a note. A lot of people ask can you hear me. The reason why we don't unmute and mute and say yes to most is because sometimes that causes even more of a problem.

If we can't hear you, believe me, we will let you know. So I don't want you to think we're ignoring you but if we can't hear you, we will definitely let you know.

So just understand that the reason we don't respond right away because every time you hit mute and unmute, you're running the chance of being wiped out or whatever the case is, so if you can just, if we can't hear you we'll shake our heads if you can see us. So we're trying

2.1

1	to minimize technical impacts.
2	Okay. So with that, I want to thank you all for
3	your presentation. Next commissioner is, let me look. Let's
4	go on I guess our regular order, Commissioner May?
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Sure, thank you very much. So
6	I'm going to start out in a very unusual way. Brace
7	yourselves. I just want to say that I do appreciate Mr.
8	Detman's comprehensive plan presentation and the very simple
9	straightforward analysis of the comprehensive plan issues for
10	this case.
11	I think seeing it in that way is not only helpful
12	to the Commission, I think it's helpful to the public. So
13	there you have it. I started off with a compliment to the
14	legal team no less. So very unusual.
14 15	legal team no less. So very unusual. MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded.
15 16	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded.
15 16	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also
15 16 17	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in
15 16 17 18	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in response to OP's comments. I agree with OP's comments. I
15 16 17 18 19	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in response to OP's comments. I agree with OP's comments. I think that that makes the most sense.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in response to OP's comments. I agree with OP's comments. I think that that makes the most sense. I do have a couple of small architectural
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in response to OP's comments. I agree with OP's comments. I think that that makes the most sense. I do have a couple of small architectural questions. So if Ms. Farrell is on, Farrell, Farrell. I'm
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FREEMAN: And this is being recorded. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I know. So okay, I also want to recognize the Applicant's improvements are made in response to OP's comments. I agree with OP's comments. I think that that makes the most sense. I do have a couple of small architectural questions. So if Ms. Farrell is on, Farrell, Farrell. I'm not sure what the is she here with us still?

COMMISSIONER MAY: Very good, thank you. So first question is, I saw that on the projecting bays have sort of an off center roof on them. Can you explain to me what that design rationale is for that? It just seemed a little odd. MS. FARRELL: Yes, I think the goal was just to create maybe a little bit more interesting shape than just a standard, you know, two foot offset bay. COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. But that was really the only goal. MS. FARRELL: COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I mean, does it actually relate to some other aspect of the design? MS. FARRELL: Well, but if you look on 25th Street, the building and the street are not exactly parallel so I think that it kind of plays off of that a little bit. COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. MS. FARRELL: But. COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I'm just curious about I mean, I don't see, I don't have any problems with it, it. I just thought it was unusual. The one concern I have about the design is the extensive use of fiber cement panels on fairly prominent I mean, you know, we have seen like this, things facades. like this in the past but it seems very prominent at that 24 front corner and I'm, you know, and unfortunately I think in from my perspective you're sort of the victim of, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

1	bad versions of using fiber cement panels because it's a very
2	common thing particularly with stick built structures around
3	town and a lot of them, you know, aren't done very well or
4	they don't age very well and they kind of look a little
5	crummy.
6	So I'm just wondering if, you know, do you have
7	examples or experience in using it in other locations in such
8	a sort of prominent place? Do you have photographs of where
9	it works out right and because I'm just, I'd hate
10	particularly when it's a very light color like that, if it's
11	not detailed properly you get streaking, you get, you know,
12	the joints start to show up a lot more, it's, I don't know.
13	I just, I want to, I'm looking for some words from
14	you that will give me confidence that this is going to look
15	really good.
16	MS. FARRELL: Right, I agree. No, yes, it is very
17	important to pay attention to the detailing in the fiber
18	cement as well as the craftsmanship that you get.
19	I think we do have examples of where it's been
20	done well. I think we do have examples where the fiber
21	cement also is at a prominent locations. Now sometimes
22	that's because actually more of the building is fiber cement
23	
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right.

MS. FARRELL: -- than this one but I think that

1	also means we're dealing with a, actually a smaller amount
2	of it that we need to get right. So I think it is definitely
3	possible to have it executed well.
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. All right, well, you
5	know, if it's possible to submit something onto the record,
6	you know, photographs of where you think it's done well. And
7	not just sort of done well on the day it's finished but, you
8	know, still looking good a few years later, I think that
9	would give me some greater confidence.
10	I'm very curious and I think I've asked this
11	question about architecture before and I just don't remember
12	the answer, but when you bring the panels together at a
13	corner like that, I mean, how is that joint made? Is that
14	just sort of a reveal at the corner?
15	MS. FARRELL: Exactly. There's a special aluminum
16	reveal that's specifically for an outside corner.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
18	MS. FARRELL: That's sort of an L, an open L that
19	receives both panels.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And is that an aluminum
21	color or is it the same color as the panels?
22	MS. FARRELL: So it can either be anodized
23	aluminum or it can be painted to match the panel.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. And what do you intend
25	to do?

1	MS. FARRELL: I personally tend to think it looks
2	better in the anodized aluminum.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
4	MS. FARRELL: It looks a little bit cleaner than
5	the painted version.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I mean something more
7	likely to wear well because over time
8	MS. FARRELL: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: the aluminum can lose the
10	paint. Okay. So that's it for my architectural questions
11	but if you could submit some sort of photographs of that I
12	think that would be helpful.
13	MS. FARRELL: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER MAY: Including even a photograph of
15	that detail just so I can see that if you have that. I'm
16	sure that the manufacturer has something he can provide.
17	The, let's see, on the community benefits
18	agreement, and I'm not sure who answers this one but I've
19	moved off of architecture.
20	The community benefits agreement indicated that
21	the laptops will be purchased within 30 days of the execution
22	of the agreement and it looks like the agreement was
23	executed. So does that, like back in July. So does that
24	mean that the laptops have been purchased and distributed?
25	MR. FREEMAN: So Robbie Miller, the short answer

to that is yes, so Robbie Miller can talk a little bit more about that. The ANC was very concerned about making sure that the laptops were there for that school year. I'll turn it over --

COMMISSIONER MAY: Me too.

MR. FREEMAN: I'll turn it over to Robbie to talk about his engagement with the ANC on that issue.

COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.

MR. MILLER: Yes, we had and we did it. It was interesting the timing that this came up was mid-summer, late summer, and we knew that the school year was pending and we knew that virtual learning was going to be taking place and played a big part of these kids' day to day lives from a school standpoint.

It was interesting because there was some discussion about when this would happen based on what stage of the project it was and we just determined pretty early on in connection with the ANC that this was just going to need to happen sooner than later to have any effect because certainly delivering laptops in, you know, sometime next year or late this year wasn't going to have any particular value for the current requirement.

So we did, we went through a procurement process in close connection with the ANC. We delivered over 50 laptops which were delivered directly to the ANC which had

2.0

2.3

developed a process for distribution among the full ANC and 1 they were delivered I think August 31. 2 3 We had some, there were procurement and delivery 4 issues just with some of the shipping things but there was 5 sort of a full press on getting that done and they were all delivered and handed off to the students. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I appreciate all that 8 extra information but I would have been happy with the short 9 So that's great. I'm glad to know that they were, 10 that they've already been delivered. The other donations that were unspecified but 11 12 you're reporting in particular causes, has that been decided who that's going to go to and when that would happen? 13 14 Yes, it has and Kyrus, you can MR. MILLER: 15 probably speak to that because I think it's, I don't recall the names of the organizations but they did provide the names 16 17 of the organizations, what those funds were going to be used for and when that would happen, yes. 18 19 short COMMISSIONER Okay. So MAY:answer, Commissioner May is yes. 2.0 In our 20 day submission which is 2.1 Exhibit 20 on the record we indicated that 7000 -- so these were organizations that the ANC identified and they kind of 22 told us what, how much and what it should be used for. 23 24 So Families on the Rise is to fund programming to

provide mental health serviced for youth and ANC --

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm going to cut you off, okay.
2	Short answer is good enough. Just point me where it was
3	because I read that submission and I didn't see it but I will
4	look for it.
5	MR. FREEMAN: Yes. It's
6	(Simultaneous speaking.)
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: the Commission.
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: who it went to.
10	MR. FREEMAN: Exhibit 20.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Beginning at the bottom of Page 2.
13	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Very good.
14	MR. FREEMAN: And Page 3.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: So I think that for the, you
16	know, for the record on this, I assume that, you know, what
17	we get in a draft order would spell out exactly who it's gone
18	to, or who it would be going to as opposed to what's in the
19	CBA which is too vague for our normal purposes?
20	MR. FREEMAN: Correct. The record now says the
21	exact entity names that the money's going to.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. Very good. Now a
23	minor traffic question which is the, would the residents of
24	this building be eligible for residential parking permits?
25	MR. FREEMAN: That's a Nicole White question.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: I'm trying to hit all, every,
2	you know, ask the question for everybody.
3	MS. WHITE: Can you hear?
4	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
5	MS. WHITE: So first to point out that we have
6	adequate parking for the site.
7	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
8	MS. WHITE: But second is there is not RPP
9	adjacent to the site is not currently designated as RPP
10	parking so there would not be the need to register RPP
11	parking.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. I'm not asking about
13	need. I'm, you know, there was indication in a map that
14	there was RPP restricted parking on some of the streets
15	nearby?
16	MS. WHITE: Yes, not directly adjacent to the
17	site.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Oh, I thought it was on that.
19	MS. WHITE: I don't believe so. I can double
20	check that and get right back to you. I don't believe
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I just want to know. I
22	mean, if they, the question is whether people in that
23	building would be eligible to receive RPP is the question.
24	MS. WHITE: Right. No, I'm clear on the question.
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, okay.

1	MS. WHITE: Let me, I'll double check that while
2	you move onto the next question.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Well, I'm done with my
4	questions.
5	MS. WHITE: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: But you can circle back with
7	us later on that.
8	MS. WHITE: Okay. Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: And I was going to ask about
10	that concerns raised by the neighbor who's in opposition.
11	But since the chairman is keen on that topic I will just wait
12	to hear what his questions are.
13	So Ms. White, we'll just wait to, you know, you
14	can chime in at some point later and answer that question.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner
16	May.
17	Commissioner Shapiro?
18	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	Just a few quick questions. I'll start with
20	solar. So there's a small solar array on this. Have you all
21	explored integrating additional solar with a green roof? So
22	to extend the solar array?
23	MR. FREEMAN: Right, right, so let me, so that's
24	an architectural question, Commissioner Shapiro. What I
25	would say is that the solar that is there now has been added

since the beginning and I think we've maximized the amount 1 of solar but I'll let Stephanie respond in more detail to 2 3 that. 4 MS. FARRELL: I think we can look at that. Of 5 course we are trying to balance several things, you know, the green roof that we need for the stormwater management, the 6 7 mechanical, the outdoor rooftop amenity space. 8 And so we're trying to sort of balance all of 9 those components and still also meet the requirements 10 terms of height and setbacks for any of those elements so that they would not be visible from the street. 11 So that's 12 kind of how we came up with the mix of green roof and solar and rooftop amenity. 13 14 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So I appreciate that and 15 it can be complicated and I think the one piece that I'd like clarification on is, and perhaps it's a conversation with the 16 DOE but it is very possible to integrate solar with a green 17 roof but it still counts for the ratio and it's just a 18 technical issue but there's lots of solutions to it. 19 2.0 So if you could look at specifically extending the 2.1 solar array with all the parameters that you're talking about 22 but just combining it where the green roof is as well as much as possible. 23 24 MS. FARRELL: That will work.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thanks. Other question and

I agree with Commissioner May. I think he's sort of infected me about this issue as he has with other commissioners but that the fiber cement panel, the color Number 1, it looked very, very light on the elevations. It looked less light colored on, when you, in the material board.

So it was hard to get a sense of what it was but, you know, I think our sense tends to be to err on the side of less light because then it wears better. And I would agree with everything else you said about that.

So can you talk a little bit about the public amenities? And so I think I saw there's an indoor common space about a thousand square feet, is that right?

MS. FARRELL: Correct. There is an indoor amenity space that's on the lower level and again it's about a thousand square feet and again, in response to the comment from OP, we've extended the bioretention which also acts as a window well to allow natural light into that space.

And then there's the main lobby and then the two outdoor amenity space, the one on the ground floor near the main entrance and the one on the roof of the top floor.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: That was the big one I wanted to hear was, just to be clear, and building on what OP said to make sure that that amenity space was well lit, not buried.

And I know because of the elevation it isn't

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

buried in the basement but from these plans it could look like it's buried in the basement so I hear you that is not your intention to follow suit.

But building on the bioretention, I just had some

But building on the bioretention, I just had some questions about what you've done to sort of make something about the runoff from the paved area on the back and if there's any special additional, maybe some bioretention on steroids to help with the runoff because of the slope as well. So you're combining asphalt and slope and that's always problem.

MS. FARRELL: Right. Well, the parking area is partially permeable pavers, so at the parking area it's permeable pavement which will also help with the retention of the water in that area.

And then I would probably have to defer to civil on the way the rest of the water is going but I think it's not excessive in that area.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. FREEMAN: We have our civil, Craig Atkins, who should be kind of I guess in the waiting room if that's how it's described. If you want to hear more about the bioretention I'd ask to have Paul let Craig in and Craig can talk more specifically about the bioretention.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'd appreciate that if that's all right, Mr. Chair?

2.0

2.1

1	MS. WHITE: While we wait for Craig to enter the
2	room I can respond with the question, in regards to the
3	question about RPP parking, and so the site is adjacent to
4	RPP and thus residents would be eligible.
5	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. And I'm sure, okay.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thanks.
7	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Atkins is on.
8	MR. ATKINS: Yes, so actually I serve as the
9	landscape architect for the project. We also have our civil,
10	Aaron Armstrong, available if need be.
11	But just to pick up where Stephanie left off to
12	kind of explain further, yes, we do have some areas back in
13	the parking lot to accept the storm runoff to deal with that
14	water.
15	We also have the permeable paving to assist with
16	that as well. And we have been working through I think and
17	you'll have to look it through. Some of the plans that we
18	have some inlets up in the low corner near the adjacent
19	property where we're actually collecting water so it doesn't
20	run off that edge, down that hillside, and obviously onto the
21	adjacent owner's property.
22	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Okay. All right. It
23	sounds like you've thought through this. Fine for me. Thank
24	you very much.
25	MR. FREEMAN: I was going to say, Commissioner

1	Shapiro, if you wanted to hear one more person, Craig, Aaron
2	on our team could give more information on that as well, but.
3	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: It sounds like it's not
4	something that slipped by and that you're on top of it so I'm
5	fine with that.
6	MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I think those are all the
8	questions that I have, Mr. Chair, I'll leave it at that.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Let's go to Mr.
10	Turnbull.
11	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12	I guess I will start off the way Commissioner May
13	did. I would just want to say that I think that the Steve
14	Sher mantle is resting on very capable shoulders now.
15	I think Mr. Detman is taking over in very fine
16	form so I again I want to appreciate Shane's comments. That
17	was a very good presentation. I would agree with
18	Commissioner May wholeheartedly.
19	I really don't have a pardon?
20	MR. DETMAN: I was just saying thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Oh. I just, I don't want
22	to repeat a lot of the common sentiments here but I just want
23	to do a couple of, point out just kind of a few random
24	comments on the architecture.
25	I think I appreciate what's being done

architecturally and I think it's a very, it's a difficult site. It's a small site and you're trying to make this building work on a very short site and I think it's for the most part working very well. But if we go back, we could show A-11, just that corner view. I think it's A-11.

MS. FARRELL: It's before the plans. Yes, you have to --

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Go back. Okay, now does the lot, you know, it's that age old architecture question you get in school, how do you turn a corner.

And I could appreciate what you're trying to do. You're trying to offer some relief to the brick and try to introduce a new, a sense of change.

But I guess what troubles me about this is this is the entry and I see how this thing comes out and then there's, you've punched out, you've opened out the ground floor and it's the entry then there's this column that stands there.

And it's just like something happened, something's falling apart and you need a column there. And I might be biting my tongue on this because I usually don't go out on a limb and encourage architectural elements and try to, but I almost want to see the reverse going on here. I almost want to see, I wish you would blow away that column, have that thing wide open, and I wish you'd almost bump out that

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

corner and so that it's projecting out beyond that brick, you know, the reverse of what you're doing.

And just try to make a feature of this, on the, I just want this, you know, I'm old enough to go in this building. I could be in this building and I don't want to go in -- if you go to A-13 now.

If you go to that. Yes. Now I, this is a funny view but I don't want to go in that entrance. I'm scared. It's not inviting.

I mean, you know, if I'm retired and this will go into my home in here, I want to be happy. I want to go happiness in my house and I just feel that this is kind of a dark entrance.

I wish you would step back, get out of the box, look at this and say, let's blow this away, let's do something. Let's make a feature of this entrance and maybe that's not in your budget.

I mean, I think you can do something there that would really open it up, reverse the, instead of going in with the brick, go out and really try to do something with the, make this a feature. Make this open. Make it almost like, you know, almost like an oriel-type corner feature and do something. And maybe that's, but still fit it in with the context of what you're doing.

But I just wish you could really open that up and

2.0

2.1

1	rather than just having that little, that column, just when
2	I saw that column I'm like, what's falling apart here. I
3	mean, structurally it's possible but you could do it without
4	a column.
5	It may take a little bit more money and a little
6	more steel to do it but you could really open that up and
7	make that a feature that would really
8	I mean, this is at the corner of two streets.
9	It's going to stand out. Make it read that way. Make it
10	read that, hey, this is where you're coming. This is what
11	I'd like.
12	Anyway, I'm just throwing it out there. It's not
13	enough to make me not want to like this project but I just
14	think that there's so much more to be done at that corner to
15	make this really, a really fascinating apartment building
16	and, Mr. Chair, those are my comments.
17	But I think otherwise the building is coming along
18	quite nicely. I just wish there would be something to bump
19	up that corner and make it really read. I love it so far but
20	I think you could do just a little bit more out there. Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Turnbull. Now
23	we're going to go to our Rob Miller, the vice chair of the
24	Zoning Commission. Vice Chair?

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And

I thank the Applicant for their presentation and their responsivness to the comments of Office of Planning and DDOT on the sidewalk and other conditions and the roof deck addition and ground floor patio and other lighting and landscaping changes that were made since the application was first filed.

And the engagement with the ANC on providing community benefits. So I generally am very supportive of this project, an all senior, all affordable at 60 percent MFI income level project.

The Department of Aging and Community Living, however, said that they wanted to see the price level reduced even though there was no requirement that it be reduced except to the extent that we're evaluating public benefits and a PUD and to accept, they also requested that the Applicant accept housing vouchers.

The OP summary of the Aging Department's, of course the Applicants responded that they are willing to consider accepting housing vouchers and lowering in the rental price in the future.

So I just wanted to ask the Applicant about that, if they can elaborate on their response to Department of Aging and Community Living's comments?

MR. FREEMAN: So I will quickly say that our housing proffers up to 60 percent of MFI. So if we're able

2.1

to secure funding that enables us to do some at less than that, we will certainly do that.

And in terms of, look what obviously it's a function of what type of subsidies are available at the time we go to the market then. And secondly, with respect to the housing, we have to take vouchers. So that's the answer to that. We have to -- we cannot turn people away if they have a voucher.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Okay, thank you for that response. I saw that they are, I think the design, I like the design, but I do agree with the comments that all of my colleagues had made on various design aspects, elements of this project.

I saw that there were balconies, I think are there just a total of nine balconies on that south side? Is that -- if I'm recalling correctly. I'm not really looking at anything, but I think there were nine maybe total and they're all Juliet balconies, maybe Ms. Farrell or somebody can answer that question.

MS. FARRELL: Correct. There are, I believe that's correct, nine -- it may actually be a little bit more, no I believe it's nine because it's not at the lowest level. Nine Juliet balconies on the south side, and then we really did decide to, you know, again, focus on the common outdoor space that would be available to all residents and could be

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

used for a variety of different purposes.

2.0

2.1

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Well I'm glad you had the nine even though they're only Juliet, I'm glad you do have that outdoor amenity space both at the ground level and at the roof deck level.

But for me, I'll always -- for a residential project, the more balconies you have and the more usable balconies that you have, particularly in this environment that we're living in, which has shown the value of outdoor -- particularly private outdoor space, that it will always would be an improvement in my estimation.

So can you make any other design changes, if you want to add balconies or more usable balconies, I think that's always a public benefit and a public amenity. On the parking, either Ms. White or somebody from the, well Ms. White, I realize that you're meeting the zoning requirements providing six spaces I think and a seventh car sharing space I believe.

And you availed yourself of the 50 percent reduction in the minimum requirement that's in the zoning regulations because you're I think, a certain distance from major metro bus carter, I think it's half mile maybe, I think the Metro itself I think is over a mile.

But I guess I wanted to ask, this is maybe more of a question to ourselves and DDOT and we'll get to DDOT,

1	is six spaces or seventh with the car sharing sufficient for
2	an all senior building? And then I don't know if anybody
3	wants to, it has 67 units.
4	MS. WHITE: Yes, so I guess is that a question for
5	DDOT in terms of like or a policy question for DDOT?
6	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, I guess that it a policy
7	question. Do you have, Ms. White, do have any opinion about
8	that?
9	MS. WHITE: Yes. I'll certainly, I don't know if
10	Aaron from DDOT is one the call, and I'll let DDOT speak from
11	their perspective, but in general and on just about every
12	project we work on DDOT's desire and goal from a
13	comprehensive standpoint is to minimize parking as much as
14	possible.
15	So I can speak, you know, big picture from that
16	regard. And our approach to this once we realized DDOT was
17	satisfied with the parking ratio, we didn't look specifically
18	at anything beyond DDOT's, in the zoning requirements in that
19	regard.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So
21	MR. FREEMAN: Well I would just add, in terms of
22	the zoning requirement, we are meeting the amount of parking
23	that zoning required to build it.
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Right, I
25	MS. WHITE: Yes.

1	VICE CHAIR MILLER: acknowledged, yes. I
2	stated that at the outset. So I was really speaking of the
3	yes, I recognize that you are. The RPP that's adjacent
4	to the building, you don't know how many spaces there are
5	adjacent that the residents, based on your previous
6	testimony, might avail themselves if they're not able to snag
7	one of the six off street parking spaces that are part of the
8	project? You don't know many RPP spaces?
9	MS. WHITE: No, I have the curbside restrictions
10	pardon me?
11	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I just, you don't know how
12	many parking spaces would be available adjacent to the
13	building?
14	MS. WHITE: Yes. No, we weren't required in this
15	case to do a
16	(Simultaneous speaking.)
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: No, I understand. Yes, I
18	understand:
19	MS. WHITE: just a restrictions themselves.
20	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. All right. I'll ask,
21	maybe follow up with DDOT on that, Mr. Chairman. Again, I
22	thank the Applicant for all of their work on this project,
23	and that's the end of my questions. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. Let me just ask
25	iust a few questions before I got to Mr. Watson's letter.

And Mr. Freeman, you can direct my questions wherever they need to go. First let me ask, what is the age, I think you said 55 is what we classify for this building as a senior? You're on mute.

MR. FREEMAN: 55, yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So and Ms. White, I believe there are 22 possible, is it -- how many bicycle parking spaces are there?

MS. WHITE: 22 long term, three short term.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Do we, has the fact finding and the research been done to know, and I guess my earlier question I wrote down was the amount of bicycle spaces and when I think seniors, I'm thinking about older seniors not myself.

I guess I'm getting there because I'm over 55 so I'm senior too now. According to this building, which is fine but I'm just curious, are we putting a lot of bargain, and I know there's a requirement and I agree some seniors do ride bikes and they ride them well but I'm just making sure that we're not over parked, over parking bicycle parking.

Or even an alternative use, that's my concern and that's what I'm kind of just putting out there. I don't necessarily need an answer but I was just trying to think that through not just necessarily for this case but in cases to come.

2.1

1 Are we over parking when we talk about bicycle --2 because let me ask this, Ms. White, in your professional and your experience, do you see a lot of seniors riding bicycles? 3 4 When I say seniors, I mean okay, so we'll take 55, 5 55 and above, I'm sure they deal with 55 and maybe 70. I'm thinking 70 and above. Well I know Commissioner May, I knew 6 7 that was going to happen, but I'm just saying what are we seeing out here? 8 9 I don't have any specific data on age 10 breakdown of at what age people are more likely to, I mean, you know, what the cut off is for higher bicycle usage. 11 12 know, in this case we certainly wanted to follow the zoning requirement. 13 14 And the requirements that are consistent with what 15 DDOT likes to see, but I mean I think it's a good question 16 to want to have the best use in any development project, one 17 we can certainly follow up with DDOT on for future reference. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. I'm going to ask that the Office of Planning for this case, that's something I 19 2.0 think we need to look at and make sure we're 2.1 parking. 22 The other thing is, let me ask the Applicants, 23 Robbie Miller, where are your residents coming from? 24 the pool coming, has there been discussions with the ANC?

I didn't see that in the letter but I saw the enthusiastic

support.

2.0

2.1

MR. MILLER: I think the intent is that the residents would come in throughout the Ward and throughout this ANC specifically.

I think that was the -- sort of the affinity for the project is the demonstrated need that the ANC Commissioners have seen within the community. And that just seemed to reflect in the meetings we had with them.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: So they did lobby for, I'm not going to say, so they did lobby for preference, which I think they should but they did kind of lobby for seniors who live, who are around there right now who are in need. They kind of lobbied for that, would that be a correct assumption?

MR. MILLER: I don't know if lobbied for it is the right word, but they seemed to accept the notion that the need existed there and they thought the need would be would be welcome, you know, meeting the need would be welcome by the community.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Well lobbied or whatever, whatever they're trying to achieve, I think it would be very beneficial especially in the area to help those in need, and I appreciate the affordability of this project as well.

I'm always trying to, as a Vice Chair I was trying to look at deeper affordability, but I appreciate at least where we are. Let's go to the ANC, and this, let me preface,

I do know Mr. Watson and he has, he pretty much has his ear to the ground. He has his finger on the pulse of the community.

I've worked with him in Ward 8 across the city in a lot of things, so I was kind of taken aback when I looked his letter versus the ANC letter and I can ask him some of these questions when we get there, get to that point.

But let me go to Mr. Freeman and I guess we can directly, I'm just going to call out a few things. We already talked about the parking but the number -- he has seven specific things that he outlined in his letter, and let me go back to you, Mr. Miller.

Why now, one of the things that he asked was why now? Why now are we talking about doing this? His question, why now?

MR. MILLER: I can answer that, or I can defer to Mr. Freeman. I think that these are addressed in the letter. Why not now, I guess is one way to start that -- the need is identified, the need is expressly identified throughout the city in a series of objective statements from different agencies within the city.

The need was identified within the community at the ANC level. To look to, I know there was some references to pandemic related concerns, this is a project that is some years away from delivering right now.

2.0

2.1

So to look at it in the lens of why is this delivering today, you know, without gauging the merits of that, that's not really the question. This is a project which will deliver two, three, four, five years from now.

So I think that the why now question, I think the demand is there, the need is there and that's the why now. Because it's a needed use within the community.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. And I think I asked that question because I wanted it for the record. I already knew that it wouldn't happen, hopefully COVID-19 will be gone by that time, so I kind of knew that but I'll wait to hear more from him.

One of things he also talked about was the impacts of parking, and apparently, Ms. White, according to this and I'm going to read it verbatim so I don't get it wrong, the increased parking area will be needed to accommodate the five story senior building including a basement will present a serious problem for our community.

Our Advisory Neighborhood Commission 8B, supported and approved Single Member District 8B01's site where 219 25th Street is located. Request for residential parking on the 2400 block of Wagner Street Southeast.

This application for residential parking was approved by the D.C. Department of Transportation over seven years ago. With this building, and I know we talked about

2.0

2.1

1	RPP, I have my own views of how it actually works here in the
2	city, so I don't want to get into that tonight, trying to
3	Will this continue to put an undue burden or more
4	pressure on the parking pressures in that area in your
5	analysis? And I've read your report, I'm just asking
6	questions for Mr. Watson, his submission. And that can go
7	to Ms. White.
8	MS. WHITE: Yes, so in all honesty we have not,
9	we were not required to do a detailed parking demand analysis
10	to understand the occupancy levels within the street network
11	here. We understand the parking restrictions, and so it
12	would be difficult for me to say that, you know, the impacts
13	of the project in that regard.
14	Again, the project meets the zoning requirements,
15	it meets DDOT's need, and so we didn't, you know, DDOT did
16	not request that we take a detailed look at the on street
17	occupancy levels in that regard.
18	MR. FREEMAN: Can I jump in here, Mr. Chairman?
19	Because we should have a written response that goes through
20	each of those points, and specifically I'm looking at the
21	DDOT report on page 2, DDOT
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: What exhibit is that where you
23	responded to them?
24	MR. FREEMAN: Exhibit 22 is the DDOT Report.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, the DDOT report, okay.

1	MR. FREEMAN: Oh, sorry. We just got that letter,
2	so we weren't able to
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, I thought you said you
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MR. FREEMAN: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I thought you said you were going
7	to submit some answers to each one of these, but okay. So
8	I don't have that.
9	MR. FREEMAN: You don't. But I'm going to read
10	from it. So on Exhibit 22 DDOT Report says, because the
11	project's trip generation is far below DDOT's trip threshold,
12	it did not require further traffic analysis.
13	They say, based on the assumed mold split the
14	project is only anticipated to generate 7:00 a.m. and 9:00
15	p.m. peak trips, which is far below DDOT's threshold for
16	further analysis, and that the trips generated by the project
17	are expected to have a minimal impact on the transportation
18	network. So that's all from the DDOT Report.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm not going to ask, I'm going
20	to ask Mr. Watson the rest of the letter. If, Mr. Freeman,
21	as you mentioned earlier, if you could submit something
22	answering each one of these for the file, I think that would
23	great.
24	MR. FREEMAN: Absolutely. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I want to go back to a couple of

the questions, if I could, this is dangerous, if I could remember everything that some of my colleagues said. I thought my colleagues addressed a lot of, had a lot of good points, a lot of things to look at, and I'm hoping that the Applicant will consider it.

I know Mr. Turnbull mentioned about the fifth reverse, and I was actually looking at the picture trying to figure out and look at the reverse. I don't know, you know, that may be something, I'm not sure where he is on that. I don't believe, it may not be a showstopper, but it would be good to even look at that.

I'm not trying to redesign, I'm definitely not to redesign your project but I think there's something to look. And the other thing I will say, and I think my colleagues kindly alluded to, but I'm going to have to say it my way.

Fiber submit number one, it looks very light and in the past we've noticed that they, the test of time they're always, it gets very filthy, which causes the buildings to start looking bad over some years, so I would ask that we look at that or if you all can give me some -- give us some assurance that it can be cleaned or something.

Because after a couple years it looks bad, and there's another building that this Commission, we voted on and every time I see that, I see something light, I always think about that.

2.0

2.1

2.3

1	So Mr. Freeman and the team, if you all could re-
2	look at that, and I'm not sure where the others are but I
3	just know that buildings look bad after, after we've gone so
4	I think it's important to keep that in mind.
5	I think that's all oh, the unit mix. Can you
6	tell me, I've read it, but could you tell me what the unit
7	mix is of the project? How many units, how many rooms,
8	studios, one bedrooms, two bedrooms?
9	MR. FREEMAN: I'm looking at it right now, so I'm
10	not trying to take Stephanie's thunder, but we have six
11	studios, 58 one bedrooms and three two bedrooms.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So how did we and I actually
13	have it, I have it so I just want to make sure I wrote it
14	down right. Six studios, 58 one bedrooms and three two
15	bedrooms. How did we get to that unit mix?
16	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to let Stephanie respond
17	to that.
18	MS FARRELL: That's actually a fairly typical unit
19	mix for senior because obviously what you find is a lot of
20	either single people or couples. And actually typically in
21	these communities you cannot have anyone under 55 living in
22	the unit with you. So that's why it's a predominant on
23	studios and ones, it's typical for a senior project.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we've had some cases in the

25 past, and my questions remain the same, not that we're going

to ever resolve it but I'm always going to bring them up.

There are people who may be a senior who have many other avenues they could take who would probably qualify for something like this, and they in turn take care of an older parent or guardian or older sibling and sometime -- and that's why I was wondering about the three, I mean the two bedrooms.

Because sometimes somebody who -- a senior may be there but they need a caretaker. So is that in the consideration when we're doing these type of projects, and I'll ask you, hold on a second, Ms. Farrell, if you could answer it or if, Mr. Freeman, if you could direct me to somebody who can kind of help me with that?

Because this unit mix to me, I don't necessarily understand it. I mean I think it's, I'm not making a big deal but I just want to know why we only have three two bedrooms. What if somebody's taking care of someone?

MR. FREEMAN: So what I would say is one of the things we did ask for is flexibility on the unit mix so that if by the time, I think Mr. Miller mentioned it, you know, we're two years out from permit, maybe up to two from permit, three to construct.

So during that time I think they're doing market analysis and if they're determining that there's that demand, we either at least ask for that flexibility to tweak this mix

2.0

2.3

1	some in order to vary the mix to get maybe some of those
2	larger units if the demand is there. But we have asked for
3	flexibility in the plans on that unit mix.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Flexibility of it going up
5	not going down. Is that that's the flexibility you're
6	asking for?
7	MR. FREEMAN: Flexibility in terms of the mix
8	of the
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ten two bedrooms as opposed to
10	three? If the market research shows it and the need shows
11	it, dictates it? That's what I'm saying.
12	MR. FREEMAN: If all of those are ifs but yes.
13	If there's more of a demand for two bedrooms than studios,
14	I'm sure we would try to accommodate that demand.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, okay. I'm not making that
16	a hard, fast rule. I'm not going to, I didn't put on, I'm
17	just trying to figure this kind of stuff out. So all right.
18	I don't necessarily have any other questions I don't think.
19	Okay. All right. Any follow ups, Commissioner
20	Shapiro?
21	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes, thank you. It's just
22	a quick question for Mr. Miller. Where are you with the
23	financing, and did I read somewhere this is supposed to be
24	a LIHTC deal?
25	MR. MILLER: That's correct. This is to be a

1	LIHTC deal, and so we will be standing by pending the next
2	funding round of the LIHTC.
3	(Simultaneous speaking.)
4	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: 9 percent?
5	MR. MILLER: This is a 4 percent actually I
6	believe. This represents 4 percent.
7	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So you're likely to get it,
8	it's not competitive and
9	MR. MILLER: That's correct. It's not
10	competitive. It'll be with the bonds and yes, that's
11	correct. I think there is a gap financing component, which
12	may be required out of DHCD on this project so that's where
13	we run into more of an allocation issue I think on this.
14	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And what projection, what
15	is at this point what's your estimate of the total
16	development cost?
17	MR. MILLER: Let me actually have a look at, I
18	think total development cost on this project is \$22-\$23
19	million, somewhere in that range, and I'll verify that for
20	you.
21	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes, please.
22	MR. MILLER: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. That's all I
24	had, Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May.

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Thank you. I wanted to follow
2	up on your questions about the bicycle parking. Not
3	necessarily in the same vein, but I actually had a question.
4	Is there a plan to provide power in the bicycle storage area
5	for e-bikes to recharge?
6	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Just say yes.
7	MR. MILLER: I think we that's forward
8	thinking, but we love that. Yes, absolutely. We're looking
9	at that now on a whole series of projects moving forward so
10	yes.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. Well there should be, and
12	there should be adequate ones. I mean I think it's, you
13	know, we're seeing a lot more e-bikes and certainly as many
14	of us seniors get older, you know, being able to have that
15	power boost is very helpful.
16	And Mr. Chairman, you know, I would strongly
17	suggest you check out a Capital Bikeshare e-bike or maybe one
18	of the jump bikes because it'll rekindle your lost love for
19	cycling I'm sure.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well Commissioner May, I don't
21	have a lost love for cycling, I actually enjoy it.
22	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Except the last motor bike, I fell
24	off of it so I'll just leave it at that.
25	COMMISSIONER MAY: All right. Anyway it's a lot

of fun, and it is I think actually a really important future 1 method of transportation for many people. 2 3 CHAIRMAN Sounds good. Commissioner HOOD: 4 Turnbull? 5 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ι just want to go back, I want to just clarify that I think Mr. 6 7 Farrell and the Torti Gallas team are very talented architects, and I'm not being -- I just saw an opportunity 8 9 at the corner of the building especially on a very prominent 10 site, that there might be an opportunity to go back and re-11 look at that. 12 And when I was talking about reversing it, the panels are retreating behind the brick. The brick's sort of 13 on the face are going and then the panels go back, and I 14 15 thought of something where you maybe reversed that and make 16 that corner more prominent and I wanted to blow away the 17 column. 18 Because I wanted it wide open. I mean I'm a 35 year old in an older body, but I like to have a nice opening 19 2.0 and so I just thought that there might be an opportunity to 2.1 re-look at that corner and try to tweak it and just make it, I mean it's in a prominent spot on the corner site there. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

to try to enhance what they've already -- what Mr. Farrell's

And I just thought there might be an opportunity

So just a thought, just throwing it out

team already done.

23

I can just briefly say we'll commit 2 MR. MILLER: 3 We really appreciate the comments on the to do that. architecture, our job is to deliver great buildings and great 5 projects, and we'll commit to taking that look very closely. 6 I will say though of about the CHAIRMAN HOOD: 7 architecture, and I don't usually comment a lot, but I do like the way it's stepped down. 8 Ms. Farrell mentioned that 9 in her comments but I did -- I noticed that as soon as I 10 looked at it and I thought that was very well done. Very 11 tasteful. 12 Any other questions, Commissioners? Okay. Not seeing any. Ms. Schellin, do we have a representative from 13 ANC, let's see --14 15 MS. SCHELLIN: Let me see if --16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I don't know if they have any 17 ANC 8B, Chairperson Johnson. 18 MS. SCHELLIN: Let's see. Don't see her on. Т 19 think, let's see, all of the callers, we do have three call 20 ins. 2.1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let iust this, if me do 22 Chairperson Johnson, this is her time to do Cross, if you find out she's on we'll do it after we hear from the Office 23 24 of Planning and DDOT.

Okay.

MS. SCHELLIN:

25

1

there.

Let me check

All right.

1	these phone numbers and see if by chance well actually she
2	didn't register to testify so
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. But I wanted to make sure
4	that we are allowing the ANC because they are a part of it.
5	So let's go to the Office of Planning and DDOT. Mr. Young,
6	if you could bring them up while Ms. Meyers, and I'm not sure
7	who's is it Ms. Vacca? Did I pronounce it right?
8	MS. VACCA: Ms. Vacca is here for DDOT, yes.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: How do you pronounce it?
10	MS. VACCA: Vacca.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Vacca, okay.
12	MS. VACCA: Like vodka but without the d.
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh okay, well I won't forget that.
14	All right. All right, let's go to Ms. Meyers.
15	MS. MEYERS: Hello. Crystal Meyers for the Office
16	of Planning. The Office of Planning is recommending approval
17	of this case with the related map amendment, and we stand on
18	the record of our report.
19	I will just say that in response to your comment
20	or question, Commissioner Hood, we do not have separate
21	bicycle requirements for seniors versus other, I guess anyone
22	else. We just have the same bicycle requirements for all.
23	And when we did come up with these requirements,
24	which everything was updated in 2016, we did it in
25	consultation with DDOT so this is reflective of those

efforts. And I guess that's all I have. I'm here for questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Meyers.
Then let's go to Ms. Vacca.

MS. VACCA: Good evening, Chairman Hood and Commissioners. Just for the record my full name is Kimberly Vacca, I'm with the District Department of Transportation. I'd like to say that DDOT is supportive of the proposed consolidated planned unit development and the related map amendment.

I did want to clarify an error from our staff report and that the proposal includes actually five physical parking spaces, not six, of which four of the five are standard spaces and one is a car share space, which equates to seven parking spaces and is consistent with the 2016 zoning regulations.

DDOT supports the Applicant's request for zoning relief from the required 30 foot loading berth and platform due to site design constraints and the intensity of the proposed use.

The proposed loading management plan helps minimize the impacts of the lack of loading berth and platform and will facilitate head in, head out movements from the street to the dedicated service and delivery space onsite is consistent with DDOT's standards.

2.1

The proposal is expected to generate only 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. peak hour vehicle trips, which is far below DDOT's threshold for requiring a comprehensive transportation review and the projected trips generated by the project are expected to have a very minimal impact on the transportation network.

Overall, as has been mentioned previously by the Applicant, but DDOT has no objections to the approval of the

Overall, as has been mentioned previously by the Applicant, but DDOT has no objections to the approval of the PUD and zoning map amendment if approved with three conditions.

One is for the funding and construction of pedestrian network improvements in the immediate vicinity of the site, which includes a sidewalk and curb extension on Wagner Street.

Second condition is to implement the TDM plan and the third is to implement a loading management. And that is all for me. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let me thank you, Ms. Meyers and Ms. Vacca. We really appreciate your reports. Ms. Meyers, I appreciate you enlightening me on how that was done. So Ms. White, you can disregard looking into that question.

I really appreciate Ms. Meyers, just for that, explaining to me how that was done in consultation and I'm sure with DDOT and Planning and everyone looking into how

2.1

2.3

bicycle parking, I'm sure a lot of legwork and how we got to 1 that resolution of moving forward. 2 So thank you, Ms. Meyers, for that. I don't have 3 any questions for Ms. Vacca. Let me open it up to my 5 colleagues. Commissioner May. Commissioner Shapiro. Okay. Commissioner Turnbull. And our Vice Chair Miller. 6 7 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 guess just as a follow up with DDOT, with Ms. Vacca, you 9 don't know how many parking spaces might be adjacent to the 10 building that's being developed on this vacant lot by any 11 chance? You mean on site parking spaces are 12 MS. VACCA: you talking, or on the roadway adjacent --13 14 (Telephonic interference.) 15 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, on street parking spaces. 16 MS. VACCA: I am not sure. I know we have two 17 hour parking in the area, and based on the dimensions I could probably get an estimation to how many cars could fit. 18 19 I can get back to you shortly on that. 2.0 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I think Ms. White did 2.1 testify that it is designated as currently as RPP I believe, 22 and I'm just wondering if they're already utilized by 2.3 existing residents in the neighborhood, the spaces that are 24 there.

I just would be curious to that if it's easily

obtainable. And I guess in just general, in general, at some point maybe for a future discussion with the Zoning Commission and other stakeholders, well with DDOT, I think we just should look at whether our existing regulations are appropriate for a senior building.

Whether there needs to be some more flexibility or more less flexibility in terms of the parking requirements, if there needs to be more reliance on vehicles, less reliance on bicycles.

Although I would comment based on the dialogue that Chairman Hood had and Commissioner May on seniors biking, I was a little late today to this meeting because I was biking on the Capital Crescent Trail, as a senior, and if I wasn't a senior maybe I would have been on time to this meeting.

I just was a few minutes late, but I did notice, Chairman Hood, just anecdotally, at least in the middle of the day when they maybe weren't remotely working as much as other age groups, there was plenty of seniors. I felt very at home in my demographic group on the Capital Crescent Trail today, a little too much at home actually.

Anyway, so if there are any answers to those questions about the number of spaces that are available and adjacent to the site currently on street and if they're already currently being used, maybe a future witness will

2.0

1	come and testify to that effect. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Vice Chair. So
3	let's go to other government reports anybody else? Let's
4	go to other government reports then, and I really appreciate
5	Ms. Meyer's report because I can go right to her report where
6	it says Agency comments and there in her report, people who
7	have responded, the Department of Energy and the Environment,
8	Department of Housing and Community Development.
9	We already talked about DDOT and then we have
10	Department of Aging and Community Living, and the Department
11	of Small or Local Businesses Development, they have commented
12	on this case and it's in page 12 and 13 of Ms. Meyer's
13	report, Office of Planning's report.
14	Ms. Schellin, did you check on whether anybody
15	from the ANC was here to cross examine?
16	MS. SCHELLIN: I did not see anybody from the ANC
17	listed.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So let's go to
19	organizations. Do we have anybody signed up to testify
20	either in support, opposition or undeclared?
21	MS. SCHELLIN: We only had one person sign up and
22	that was Mr. Watson, and he is call in user four.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So let's bring Mr. Watson
24	in, and let's hear his testimony.
25	MS. SCHELLIN: He's on, I don't think he realizes

he's --

2.1

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hello.

MR. WATSON, SR: Hello.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Mr. Watson, this is Anthony Hood. You can begin your testimony.

MR. WATSON, SR: Okay. Thank you. Look for the record, I keep hearing you all talk about Leonard Watson, Junior. My name is Leonard Watson, Senior. I'm the property owner at 2437 Wagner Street Southeast.

And I sent you a letter yesterday. I faxed it to you in this case memo. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to offer my comments and testimony, and also I appreciate you as well as the other Commissions as you said and I heard your comments, you and I worked over many projects throughout this city for a lot of years.

So I'm going to get right to the gist of it, I say my name is Leonard Watson, Senior. I'm the owner of 2437 Wagner Street Southeast, Washington, D.C. 20020. And one, my family has lived at 2437 Wagner Street for the past 20 years.

The front inches of my property is approximately 30 yards away from the proposed apartments for seniors development project. More importantly, why now during COVID-19, when this lot has been vacant for the past 25 years or more? Placing a high risk, senior population in front of a

nursing home is truly not the solution for creating more affordable housing for seniors.

Two, the Washington Nursing Home facility, which I think they turned in there and called it Capital City is directly behind 2419 25th Street, a vacant lot. Needless to say, patients are dying in the nursing at an alarming rate.

Three, my property abuts the nursing home and it's approximately 30 yards behind the site where the proposed five story senior building, including the basement is to be built.

Four, which you read into the comments, Mr. Chairman, I'm going to read it again. Four, the increased parking area that would be needed to accommodate the five story senior building, including the basement will present a serious problem for our community.

Our Neighborhood Advisory Commission 8B supported and approved Single Member District 8B01's site where it should be 2419 25th Street located, request for residential parking in the 2400 block of Wagner Street Southeast.

This application for residential parking was approved by the D.C. Department of Transportation over seven years ago. To date there has not been quote, unquote, one community meeting scheduled for this particular development project, which is slated for a hearing by your Commission, which is today.

2.0

2.1

Six, there been traffic has not nor impact environmental study done for this particular development project, which of course is going to be -affects our community if the project is allowed to proceed. Seven, there are already several senior buildings

Seven, there are already several senior buildings in our community. They are, for example, Roundtree, Ainger Place Development, Apartments Knox Hill and the upcoming Skyland Town Center. While our community residents understand and appreciate the need for more affordable housing for seniors, we already have our fair share of senior housing represented in our community.

And I say finally, our community vehemently objects to and oppose the rezoning of 2419 25th Street Southeast from R-3 to R-2. Now, I wanted to respond, I heard some testimony of some people talking I think from the Applicant.

Number one, they referenced the ANC. The ANC has not notified this community at all. The zoning for this hearing today is posted on a tree, there's a couple trees on Wagner Street.

That's how we knew about this situation. That's why I moved expeditiously to get something on this record as fast as I could because then, it's an insult for years of service when I look at, talking about community benefit.

They talking about \$20,000 for lay up tops, that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

adds insult to injury. And another thing, I don't where they're getting the data from about a senior, we don't have no whole lot of influx of seniors in this particular community.

Matter of fact, I live on Wagner Street right down the hill from this development project, we have a lot of homeowners around here. These are homeowners.

We don't have no, the seniors, we don't have no whole lot of seniors in this neighborhood and that's why I could appreciate the comments of the Chair when he asked, where's this mix of seniors coming from.

They're not coming from this community. Because we don't have no high influx of seniors around here. The one, like I said, the seniors, the many seniors we have is, they're homeowners, they're not renters. They are not renters and I got my hands on the pulse like the Chair stated previously.

I've been digging in the trenches in this community for over 30 years so, I know what's here and my wife, Khadija Watson, is the former Chair of 8B. She was the Chair when we got the residential parking.

Because the nursing home was taking all of our parking and we had to park on the street. We couldn't even park in our driveways because they blocking our driveways. I, being a former ANC, because I was a Commission for 8B01

2.0

2.1

so I know what's back here.

2.1

And like, all these people that's sitting on this ANC Commission calling the shots for 8B01, which is our Single Member District, they don't have a clue as to what's going on back here.

They don't live in our Single Member District, they're not calling no shots for our Single Member District and they're not given us no notice. None. And like I said, it adds insult to injury to say that they got a lot of data that we living in a senior community and these 67 units will be comprising of seniors from this community.

That's balded faced lie. Because I said the majority, and being there you talking about bringing the age down to 55 and the Chairman being 55. I have a son, my oldest son's 55 so, he'd be considered a senior. That's ludicrous.

When you look at the front of my property is at 2437 Wagner Street, my address is on Wagner Street address but my house is, my home sits right in the line of fire of where this proposed site would be at. You know what I mean?

We couldn't even begin to envision, when they build the Woodmont development down the street, we have rats all over the place.

So, I know there's been no environmental impact study done and from hearing the testimony of the Applicants,

it seems as though they're putting bare minimal effort into the parking concerns, the environmental concerns. It's bare minimal.

They trying to meet the minimum threshold using shabby materials because I've seen, some of your architectures, people on your Commission has questioned some of this stuff. You even said it about, all the time how stuff looks faded and that comes because it's not quality stuff.

That's why I came on record to say normally I support development projects but we got a whole lot of senior developments around here. When you look across the street from the school, we have the Dolby Realty building, that's a senior building.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Watson, Senior, if you can give us your closing thought.

MR. WATSON, SR: Oh yes. My closing, in the interest, in close, we would strongly oppose the rezoning of R-3A to R-A2 and the interest of full disclosure, let me go on record as saying, because I don't want this to come as a hiccup to you later on, I work in the Office of Planning.

But I'm representing myself as a homeowner, not speaking for the Agency. So, I want to be on record as saying, it's full disclosure, I work for the Office of Planning, I'm a D.C. government employee.

2.0

2.1

Thank you, Mr. 1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Watson, 2 Senior. 3 But I'm not speaking for the MR. WATSON, SR: 4 Agency. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. We didn't --I'm speaking as a homeowner. 6 MR. WATSON, SR: 7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So noted. We noted that and thank 8 If you are open to have some questions. Let me see if, 9 if, few but let me see Commissioner 10 Commissioner Shapiro? Commissioner Turnbull? Vice Chair Miller? 11 12 So, Mr. Watson, you and I not going, we're not going to fault the discussion I'm getting ready to have with 13 14 you. So, when I, one of the things that we have to do, 15 we're bound to look at the record and when I look at this 16 record it looks like it's overwhelming and I don't know about 17 the meanings, I don't know, you know, I'm not out there. 18 19 I don't know about the ANC, but the Chair of the 2.0 ANC of 8B has a record and it says that invited to the record 2.1 is that the ANC voted unanimous in support of this project 22 and the CBA and everything so, I'm not sure what kind of 23 notice is happening. 24 Because when I look at your, I'll be frankly honest, because like I say I know you, you know,

together and I know you got your finger on the pulse and I'm not just saying that because, you know, I'm talking to you, but I know that.

So that had me perplexed today when I'm saying okay well, Leonard Watson, Senior is saying this and I do know your son --

MR. WATSON, SR: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: -- and then I turn around and look on this side and say this is overwhelming support but in your letter it's saying it wasn't happening, so I kept going, and you could see me, you could see me going back and forth.

And that's kind of how I reviewed this record. Okay, something's not adding up to me but the record basically has overwhelming support from that neighborhood according to the record.

MR. WATSON, SR: Not, no, but, Mr. Chairman, in fair, I can't dispute what they've done in terms of the Commission meeting but it's not overwhelming support of the project because 8B01 is where this project going to be at.

And that Commission meets every third Tuesday at the seventh district and I read the record, they only had one meeting and that was in June of this year. So, I don't know where the Applicants getting this, telling me, we back and forth, we had all these meetings with the ANC -- the record don't support that.

2.0

2.1

Because obviously we had one meeting in June. June. That's what the record say, I read it. So, I don't where it's coming from.

(Simultaneous speaking)

2.0

2.1

2.3

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on one second. She says on July 21st, 2020, had a duly noted and regularly scheduled virtual public meeting Advisory Neighborhood District 8B. So she's basically giving us an affidavit that this was properly noticed and this meeting was sanctioned. That's basically what she's saying in this letter. All I can do is go by what she has.

MR. WATSON, SR: I can't, Chairman Hood, in respect to you, I can't dispute that and I know you been doing this a long time and I understand and appreciate the fact you got to go by what the records currently there but I'm saying that is not a total reflection of this community.

When you look at 8B, 8B goes from 8B01 all the way up to 8B07. So, that's not a total representation of this community. That's a snapshot drew of an ANC virtual meeting where they voted on it and they say a resolution. I don't have no problem with that.

But at the end of the day there's not a true reflection of this community where I live at and been living in, in 2437 for 20 years. And I do have the pulse of this community.

But whatever you decide to do, I mean you have to do what you have to do, I get that. I have no problem with that and then we'll do what we have to do on our end. To do everything we can to derail this project. We don't see no benefit. This community benefit agreement that they speak of, we don't see no benefits. In view of the fact of all that's surrounding the government with senior apartments, we don't see no real benefit. We don't see that at all. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Watson, Mr. Watson, I'm going to ask you one more question, then I think I'm going to end For the record, I think, if I'm not mistaken there'll be another comment period, I'm looking at you Ms. Schellin, there is another comment period in this case, right? 14 MS. SCHELLIN: This is a consolidated PUD, so with a map amendment, so yes, there's --16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, you heard the discussion with the Applicants Robert Miller 18 about timing. Because one of things, one of the issues that you brought up in your letter was about why do this through COVID-19. 22 Even though this hearing is going on now, if this is approved then it wouldn't happen, hopefully COVID-19 is 23 24 gone by the time that this is starting to materialize.

it's, I don't know if you heard that discussion.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

2.0

2.1

1	MR. WATSON, SR: I heard it very clearly. I heard
2	it very clearly. Yes, I did.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, okay.
4	MR. WATSON, SR: So, when is the next hearing?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: No. It's another comment period
6	for us.
7	MR. WATSON, SR: I mean, I'm sorry. Comment
8	period.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It depends on when we vote
10	on it, it depends on, Ms. Schellin, if you stay tuned Ms.
11	Schellin will keep you apprised of all that. Ms. Schellin,
12	you have, you want
13	MS. SCHELLIN: It's not that it's another comment
14	period unless the Commission allows him to provide something.
15	So
16	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, okay. So, if we were to vote
17	on this and propose, what happens between propose and file?
18	Isn't that another comment period?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: No. Because it's not a rule making
20	case. It's only a comment period if you allow for additional
21	information to come into the record because this is a
22	contested case.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, okay. So, Mr. Watson.
24	MR. WATSON, SR: Huh?
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I make one mistake a year. So,

1	I made that mistake, so disregard that. Disregard that. I'm
2	just trying to figure out a way to make sure that your
3	concerns and those that you are speaking for have been
4	addressed. Let me ask you, have you met with the developer?
5	MR. WATSON, SR: No, I have not. I don't have a
6	clue. The way I knew about this is government project from
7	what I saw on some posters I noticed from the zoning that
8	this case would come before you today.
9	I saw it on some trees, posted on a tree up in the
10	development area and then I look at Wagner LLC. I didn't
11	have a clue as to know who no Wagner LLC was.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So, Mr. Watson, this is
13	what we're going to do.
13 14	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me.
14	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me.
14 15	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I
14 15 16	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you
14 15 16 17	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone
14 15 16 17	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone conference call or what. But I would ask that you all have
14 15 16 17 18	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone conference call or what. But I would ask that you all have a conversation.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone conference call or what. But I would ask that you all have a conversation. I know you've had issue but Mr. Watson, he lives
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone conference call or what. But I would ask that you all have a conversation. I know you've had issue but Mr. Watson, he lives right there and something, I don't know how this, how we got
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WATSON, SR: Talk to me. CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to ask Mr. Freeman, I don't know how you all can work it out, Mr. Watson you entertain, I don't know if you all can do a telephone conference call or what. But I would ask that you all have a conversation. I know you've had issue but Mr. Watson, he lives right there and something, I don't know how this, how we got lost in this process. I know we got overwhelmingly, and I'm

1	takes is to have a conversation so, Mr. Robert Miller and Mr.
2	Freeman, I would ask that you all just do that.
3	MR. FREEMAN: Absolutely. I do want to
4	MR. WATSON, SR: So, who is Robert Miller, who is
5	Robert Miller?
6	MR. FREEMAN: Mr. Chairman, I do want to
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on one second, Mr. Watson.
8	MR. FREEMAN: I would like to have an
9	opportunity to respond to that. Because I do think
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to have, I'm going to
11	let you cross examine Mr. Watson.
12	MR. FREEMAN: No. I don't want to cross examine
13	
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. But that's the process.
15	I want to do that and then at the rebuttal, we can have the
16	rebuttal. But even after that, I think it's just good
17	neighbor policy. Some of this stuff could come together, you
18	know.
19	Because I will tell you the record is clear, the
20	record is very clear to me. I just want to know, and I know
21	the work that Mr. Watson has done. I'm just trying to
22	balance it, that's all I'm trying to do.
23	MR. FREEMAN: Understood.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, with any other questions of
25	Mr Watson Commissioner May?

1	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes, I just had one quick
2	question for Mr. Watson. So, I was surprised that you only,
3	the only notice you got was the signs that were posted on
4	the, at the site.
5	Because I looked back at the letter, the address labels
6	to neighbors who should have received notice of this and I
7	see both your name and I also see Leonard Watson, Junior at
8	the same address having received letters of notification
9	about this
10	MR. WATSON, SR: I have not received it. I have
11	not received it.
12	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay.
13	MR. WATSON, SR: There's been no notice received
14	at this address.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Maybe the Applicant can speak
16	to whether in fact these were mailed but that is, you know,
17	that's what in the record. They claimed to have mailed
18	something directly to your home.
19	MR. WATSON, SR: I don't dispute when they say
20	they might have mailed but at the end of the day I didn't get
21	it and if it was certified I would have signed for it. So,
22	I can't say they didn't mail something, I'm telling you that
23	I didn't get it. Did nothing come to my address.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. Okay. That's fine.
25	I mean, normally the rules are that they have to mail to

1	everybody within 200 feet so, you should have gotten that or
2	it should have been mailed to you and then of course, the
3	posting of the sign.
4	I mean, there are multiple ways that the notice
5	is provided just to make sure that we reach folks like you
6	so, I'm glad you got notice before we had the hearing so you
7	had the chance to testify.
8	MR. WATSON, SR: Yes, I appreciate this. So, you
9	want to respond to my letter of the 23rd?
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Not, we're going to go, Mr.
11	Chairman, you can take us through the rest of the process.
12	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's kind of bring it
13	down. I heard Mr. Watson, farther, as we move further with
14	the Applicant so I would ask to. Let me find out first, does
15	the Applicant have any Cross of Mr. Watson, Senior?
16	MR. FREEMAN: No, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Ms. Schellin,
18	do we have anybody and, Mr. Watson, hold on do we have
19	anybody on the line?
20	MR. FREEMAN: If I could just address
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Nobody has notified us.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Hold on, let me finish the
23	process, Mr. Freeman. Do we have anybody, we don't anybody
24	else so, what I'm going to do now is open it up to Mr.
25	Freeman for a rebuttal and closing and then I've got to ask

so, Mr. Freeman.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

MR. FREEMAN: So, thank you. So, if we were in person I would have a handout that I would give you and you would all look at. We sent to Ms. Schellin our response. I'm wondering, I would ask if it's possible for her to upload that so that you can see our response.

Because there are a couple things that I think are worth pointing out factually. So I do think, Mr. Chairman, in this case -- let me start with this and I'll focus on the community piece and in no way do I intend this to be kind of argumentative with Mr. Watson either.

Because what we did in this case was the SMD Commissioner for this property, according to ANC 8B's website is Mr. Leonard Watson, Junior.

So, what we did on day one was went next door, knocked on the door, called, emailed, left a note to try to get in touch with Mr. Leonard Watson, Junior about this project.

So, I hear what Mr. Watson's saying, he never received anything but he didn't mention the fact that Mr. Leonard Watson, Junior whose address is that property, is the SMD Commissioner for this site.

Mr. Watson, Junior did not attend any of the ANC meetings that we've been to, nor responded to any of our efforts to reach him. If you look at all of our submissions

1	you will see that we sent every single thing we filed to the
2	ANC SMD Commissioner, Mr. Leonard Watson, Junior at that
3	address.
4	So, we are absolutely happy to reach out to Mr.
5	Watson again, but I do want to make clear for the record that
6	we agree with you on a good neighbor policy and we have been
7	doing that from, I will, as soon as Ms. Schellin uploads it,
8	from October, from February 3rd we called Mr. Watson, left
9	a phone number, we have two numbers
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me correct, now you say you've
11	called Mr. Watson, Junior.
12	MR. FREEMAN: Who has the same address as Mr.
13	Watson, Senior.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. And also, let me, because
15	I was getting ready to look it up but I'm all right. Did you
16	say that Mr. Watson, Junior was the Chairperson?
17	MR. FREEMAN: Is the SMD Commissioner.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: SMD, okay. I thought you said
19	Chair. I wanted to correct it.
20	MR. FREEMAN: I might have, if I misspoke, I
21	apologize.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: He's not the Chair, okay.
23	MR. FREEMAN: He's the SMD Commissioner for this
24	site. So, we called and emailed Mr. Watson, Junior, the SMD
25	Commissioner multiple times between February 3rd and February

emailed Mr. Watson, Junior again on 2/6 1 2 response. 3 So, having been unsuccessful in contacting our SMD 4 Commissioner, we reached out to Commissioner Charles Wilson, 5 who at that time was maybe the Chair or serving in the Chair capacity and Mr. Commissioner Wilson. 6 7 So, on February 3rd we hand delivered a note to 8 the residence of Commissioner Watson and put it on his door 9 and you will see we have a picture of the letter that we 10 left. It says Commissioner Watson, I am hoping to arrange a time to talk or get together with you regarding 11 a project we are working on in your District. Please let me the best way to reach you or when 13 you may be available for lunch or a meeting. 14 15 appreciate your time and attention. Thank you, Robert Miller. It has his phone number 16 17 and it has his email address. So that letter was left on his door on February 13th --18 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Freeman, Mr. Freeman, I got the point. 2.0 I got it now. Now, which brings me another 2.1 question, Mr. Watson, Junior, the ANC voted unanimous so, we 22 do we know, Robert Miller from Applicant, do we know if Mr. 2.3 Watson was at the meeting? 24 MR. FREEMAN: He wasn't. 25 Oh, okay, okay, okay. So here's CHAIRMAN HOOD:

1 || --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

MR. FREEMAN: Unanimous out of folks --

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Here's what I don't want to do, I don't want to start, I asked that question and I want to cut that off. That whole piece. What I would ask, go ahead and do your rebuttal and do your closing.

And I would just ask if you all just reach out to, and now that I know and let me make it perfectly clear, I'm not saying that just because I know him. I'm saying that because he is impacted and he brought a concern to the Commission so I want to --

MR. FREEMAN: Understood.

(Simultaneous speaking)

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Normally what I would do in any other case. Okay, Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: So, we think the record as it relates to all of the standards pursuant the wish the Zoning Commission has to review a project are, the record is clear on that point. In response to some of the questions right.

Why now? The Commission, you've heard of a dire need for more housing, more affordable housing in the District of Columbia as evidenced by many, many policies in the framework element as evidenced by the Mayor's housing proposal, as evidenced by a report from the D.C. Department of Aging and Community Living.

That there's a strong need for affordable senior housing throughout the District of Columbia. And we believe this project is intended to help meet that need. As it relates to parking, you've heard from DDOT and from our traffic consultant that our project, one, provides the amount of parking that is required for the project.

And we're not asking for any parking relief. We are providing, technically we're providing one more than what the zoning regulations required. In terms of community outreach again, the record speaks for itself on that.

In terms of traffic and environmental studies, again, the record has a full blown traffic report as it relates to, we met with DOEE I should add and we responded to their comments about the project.

In terms of environmental impact studies, certainly we will do what is required in terms of an environmental impact screening statement as we move forward with development and permitting for the project.

So, we believe and again, we'll submit a more detailed response but again, I think you heard today that we meet all of the standards necessary for approval.

I think the four things I have on my to do list are, I think all the Commissioners talked about examples of where the panel has been done well in some photos of that, that's number one.

2.0

2.1

Number two, images that show how the panel is connected or a photo of that detail showing how the panels The third comment we have on are connected at the corner. our to do list is to look at the solar array and whether it can be expanded any, into the green roof area. And the fourth comment I heard from Commissioner Turnbull was to look it, I'd say broadly. specifics comments but to look at how to make that entrance more of an inviting entrance and different ways of doing that. So, I think all of those and you heard Mr. Miller say we're happy to do that. So, I think these four things in my mind are substantive but I think we're, I've heard you say they're not showstoppers. So, we believe again, the record is thorough and we would ask that the Commission take proposed action as soon as possible. We would then submit this information and hopefully get back to the Zoning Commission for final action. Sir, thank you for rebuttal and CHAIRMAN HOOD: closing. Commissioners, follow up questions on Commissioner May? COMMISSIONER MAY: Just one quick question for Mr. So, I got the message you would love to get a

have a decision tonight for financing purposes or approval

decision tonight.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

The question is, is it critical that you

1	purposes or was it just you want to get a decision as soon
2	as you can?
3	MR. FREEMAN: It is always critical to get a
4	decision as quickly as possible.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: No, no, no. That's not true.
6	Sometimes it's more critical than others. So, I mean, I mean
7	surely you gained this out.
8	You know what the time of your meetings are going
9	to be. If you did not get a decision tonight, is it going
10	to set you back a year in the funding cycle or anything like
11	that?
12	MR. MILLER: I think I can
13	MR. FREEMAN: It's hard to say given some of the
14	commentary.
15	COMMISSIONER MAY: Well Mr. Miller wants to speak
16	to this, so let me hear from him.
17	MR. MILLER: I can speak to the fact that it's
18	certainly generalized but on a budget of this type, being
19	able to get the requisite approvals to a point that we can
20	begin the funding process is absolutely critical.
21	Because of the nature of the way these funding and
22	applications take place. So, our critical path for this
23	project stems around getting to a point on this project where
24	we have the necessary approvals to advance the funding
25	process for this.

1	I truly believe that every day delay in approval
2	translates to a day delay in the ultimate delivery of the
3	project, which is certainly the objective here. Is there a
4	critical benchmark tomorrow or next week, no. But there's
5	a day for day on being able to execute this.
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: Right. So, you know, I
7	understand the day for day and that's normal, right. That's
8	easy to understand. If it takes a little bit longer to
9	approve it, it takes a little longer to get it built.
10	You know, sometimes we run up against actual
11	deadlines and that's my question. Is there an actual
12	deadline by which you need to have full approval so you can
13	submit for some funding cycle and it sounds like the answer
14	is no.
15	You want it as soon as possible but if it happens
16	two weeks later, it's not going to prevent you from applying
17	for funding in the current, in the most, in the next upcoming
18	funding cycle. Is that right?
19	MR. MILLER: Yes. We don't have a specific
20	deadline reference what you're talking about.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Turnbull.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Isn't this a two vote
24	process where you take proposed and final? I mean, so we've
25	done this before. We've taken proposed and if something

doesn't go well later on we just don't take final action 1 until we see the results we want. 2 Right? 3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. That's true. We have done 4 We've done that on occasion but I would just say that 5 other than, okay, I'll wait. Commissioner Shapiro. COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 Ι 7 think I'm leaning towards where Commissioner Turnbull is, I have no problem taking proposed action tonight. And there's 8 9 another bite at this but given the nature of it, senior 10 housing, all affordable, you know, the issues that we have are relatively minor. 11 12 We can certainly take any of them up when we have another bite at this so I have no concerns taking proposed 13 14 action. Vice Chair Miller. 15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. 16 VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 I support going forward with proposed action tonight. would like to add a fifth item to Mr. Freeman's provision of 18 his additional information. I would, in the interest of 19 20 sitting just the on street parking. 2.1 I realize that the project is totally compliant 22 with zoning regulations. DDOT has no objection and Ms. White 23 has made a good case as to why the parking and other transportation mitigation measures are adequate. 24

I though, would still like to see something on

what the on street parking situation is adjacent to this property. Is it RPP designated, I think we had testimony to that effect.

What is it, is it currently being fully utilized as such, because I personally think that four parking spaces, off street parking spaces plus one car sharing, which I think is what the case now, we'll be hearing from DDOT, four off street parking spaces for 67 units, senior building, might not be adequate despite the fact that it complies it, fully compliant with zoning regulation.

So I just would like to see something on, just a little bit more information on what the reality is on the ground on street parking adjacent to the site currently and it's utilization even though there's no requirement that that be provided in this type of case.

MR. FREEMAN: Can I -- I'm sorry, I know you're on the panel deliberations. I did want to point to something that's in the record, Commissioner Miller, to see if that is what you're looking for.

VICE CHAIR MILLER: Sure.

MR. FREEMAN: If you're on Exhibit 20B. Exhibit 20B is labeled as Curbside Management Plan and that Exhibit shows the parking designations near the site. I think that may, I just want determine if this is what you're looking for.

2.1

2.3

1	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Can you repeat the Exhibit
2	numbers, Mr. Freeman?
3	MR. FREEMAN: Twenty, two, zero, B as in boy.
4	VICE CHAIR MILLER: So, if you could just cite
5	that as part of your supplemental
6	MR. FREEMAN: Okay.
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: summary of that in your
8	supplemental, if that answers my question I would
9	MR. FREEMAN: Okay.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: that. Just for the record.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: But so, I just want to say I
12	looked at that earlier and it just indicates what the parking
13	circumstances, it doesn't give an indication of utilization,
14	which I thought was your question. Maybe I missed that,
15	maybe it's there and I missed it but it was not about
16	utilization.
17	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And that was my question and
18	I currently can't get access to that Exhibit so, I will look
19	at it but it's, if Mr. Freeman can just provide something on
20	that point I would appreciate it.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: That's why I brought it up, to
22	make sure Mr. Freeman is addressing the utilization.
23	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you, Commissioner May.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, Commissioners. Anything

1	else? All right, Mr. Freeman, you've done your closing?
2	MR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let me just reflect, I always want
4	to make sure the record's straight. We were not ending
5	deliberations, I was just trying to get the feel for follow
6	up questions to your rebuttal.
7	So, I want the record to reflect, just in case
8	this goes anywhere it goes, we were not in deliberations and
9	Mr. Freeman would not have been able to participate if we
10	were deliberations so, I just want to make that clear.
11	So, let's close, other than one of things, this
12	is a two road action. It sounds like my colleagues are
13	ready, I'm not sure where Commissioner May is but it sounds
14	like we're ready to move forward.
15	I think the records very complete from a zoning
16	perspective. Commissioner May. Un-mute yourself.
17	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yes. I didn't know if you
18	wanted to hear where I am on it. No I mean, I could along
19	with the vote tonight. Normally I am not in favor of voting
20	on a case when there's any opposition at a hearing.
21	I think it's best to wait until we've heard more.
22	I do think that Mr. Freeman's testimony that there were
23	numerous attempts to reach Leonard Watson, Junior, who is in
24	the same residence.
25	I think is an indication that there were earnest

attempts to make sure that, not only the SMD representative was aware of it but also the immediate abutting neighbor, the neighbor who's most affected by this.

There was an attempt to reach out directly with visits to the home as well as email and letters and so on. So, I do think that, you know, the door is open for the Commission to take a different action at final if we take the first vote tonight.

Depending on what the outcome of it is of any further discussions. But as I said, normally I wouldn't be in favor of voting tonight but I am okay with it here.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. I actually would agree. I think the merits of the case meet our proposed action until we get to the next vote for final action. But I don't want Mr. Watson, Senior or Junior or anybody to ever think that we're just blowing them off because we're not.

There's another vote but from our regulations and looking at the record and looking at the regulations that we have to look at from a zoning perspective, everything for the most part that I see is met.

But I do want to give him an opportunity, I'm going to leave the record open, Ms. Schellin, for anything that Mr. Watson -- Mr. Watson only, and that's Senior, I guess they can work together compatibly.

2.0

2.1

1	Needs to come from Mr. Watson, Senior before final
2	action of how the good neighbor meeting went, what the plans
3	were and zoning related. I know there's some other issues
4	that I think can be talked and worked out.
5	I don't see them as being too far apart. It's
6	just about getting together and having the conversation. So,
7	I don't think it's that hard. So, I don't have a problem
8	moving forward from a zoning perspective.
9	I think the regulations actually require, not
10	necessarily that we do it tonight but I think our
11	regulation's law are satisfied that we have to look at.
12	But I would, Mr. Freeman, ask you and Mr. Robert
13	Miller from the Applicant to reach out and have that
14	conversation to see if we can come closer together here and
15	anything that are still outstanding. Thank you.
16	MR. FREEMAN: I don't know if Mr. Watson is on,
17	I would just ask the best number to reach him.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Could you, if he's listening could
19	he call the office.
20	MR. FREEMAN: Okay. That would be great.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, would Ms. Schellin
22	or would Mr. Watson would call Ms. Schellin and Ms. Schellin
23	will provide that number to you. We don't want
24	MS. SCHELLIN: We actually have that number when
25	he registered. The number that he called in on and I'll just

1	send that to Mr. Freeman.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right so, what I would
3	suggest Commissioners is just to go ahead and move forward.
4	So, I would like somebody to make, see who's unneeded, make
5	a motion.
6	think the record's complete. I don't think
7	there's anymore we can. I think you have Office of
8	Planning's Report. You have the different Agencies, which
9	all go in the Office of Planning's report.
10	I think the Agency have voted unanimous, well, I
11	say the word unanimous, they got one person who's missing I
12	believe. Whatever it is, it's in the record. So, let me
13	open it up for discussion and if not I'll obtain a motion to
14	propose.
15	(Simultaneous speaking)
16	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'm happy to make a motion.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Shapiro.
18	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I move that we take
19	proposed action on Case Number, Zoning Case Number 20-09,
20	Wagner LLC Consolidated PUD Related Map Amendment from the
21	R-3 to RA-2 zone 2419 25th Street Southeast, Square 5740 Lot
22	337. Look for a second.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner May, do you second?
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. It's been moved and

1	properly second. I noticed it today that the Council did the
2	regular way but until I'm told by Legal, we're going to go
3	ahead and do the roll call. Ms. Schellin, can you do roll
4	call?
5	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. Commissioner Shapiro?
6	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Vote yes.
7	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner May?
8	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Hood?
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
11	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Miller?
12	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
13	MS. SCHELLIN: Commissioner Turnbull?
14	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
15	MS. SCHELLIN: The vote is 5-0-0 to approve
16	proposed action Zoning Commission Case Number 20-09. And
17	that's leaving the record open for the you were approving
18	the response to Mr. Watson's submission? Is that correct?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Watson's submission and also
20	want to know a status of the
21	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. But also for the
22	Applicant's response to his earlier letter or did you want
23	that or not?
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: From the earlier letter. So, I
25	think that's already there. And also, whatever happens in

1	this meeting or
2	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. Okay so, and then they're
3	going to meet with Mr. Watson and he can make a submission
4	with regard to that meeting. Is that correct?
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's correct, that's correct.
6	MS. SCHELLIN: To that meeting only. And so
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: He's the only one, he's the only
8	one. It's only open for that.
9	MS. SCHELLIN: Right. Okay. So, that and along
10	with the documents asked for this evening and of course the
11	Applicant will report on their findings on that meeting also.
12	If we could have those submissions by, oh wow, let's see,
13	today is the 24th, when does the Applicant think they're
14	going to meet with Mr. Watson?
15	MR. FREEMAN: We will call him and try to meet
16	with him as soon as we get his number. We are available at
17	his convenience.
18	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. We're going to have to shoot
19	for the second meeting in October then to have enough time
20	for you guys to meet and to get all the submissions in. So,
21	if we could have all the submissions in by 3:00 p.m. on the
22	15th of October.
23	MR. FREEMAN: Okay.
24	MS. SCHELLIN: And, Mr. Watson, I don't know if
25	he's still listening but he is, his report or letter would

1	be due by 3:00 p.m. on October 15th. The ANC's response
2	would be due to 3:00 p.m. October 22nd if they choose to
3	respond.
4	If DDOT and OP have a response, they also would
5	respond by 3:00 p.m. on the 22nd. Draft findings, specs,
6	conclusions of law, we would need by 3:00 p.m. on the 15th
7	and we'll put this on for 4:00 on the 29th for consideration
8	of final action.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Ms. Schellin, I would also ask
10	that you reach out, just in case Mr. Watson is not listening,
11	Watson, Senior is not listening, that you reach out to him,
12	prepare for somebody that could reach to him and explain to
13	him what the schedule is.
14	MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. Sure.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you.
16	MS. SCHELLIN: All right.
17	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, does anybody have
18	anything I saw Ms. White, were you trying to raise your
19	hand?
20	MS. WHITE: No, sir. I'm on my phone because my
21	computer had problems so, I have to keep switching between
22	screens to see everyone.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. Okay. All right.
24	Shows we pay attention, so anyway. All right, let's, Ms.
25	Schellin, do we have anything else?

1	MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioners, do you have any
3	other questions, comments? All right. I want to thank
4	everyone for their participation tonight, including the
5	public and with that, this hearing's adjourned. Good night.
6	Zoning Commission will be back, Ms. Schellin, make sure I'm
7	right, October the 1st.
8	MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. October the 1st the Zoning
10	Commission will hear Zoning Commission cases VNO, South
11	Capitol LLC, and Three Life's in Square 649 LLC on October
12	1st, Case Number 20-14. So with that, everybody have a great
13	weekend and this hearing is adjourned.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
15	record at 6:22 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 09-24-20

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9