GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

FEBRUARY 26, 2020

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m., Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson CARLTON HART, Vice-Chair (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ. DANIEL BASSETT, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Meeting held on February 26th, 2020.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

_	
2	(9:38 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, the meeting will
4	please come to order. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
5	We're located in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room
6	at 441 4th Street Northwest. This is the February 26th, 2020
7	public hearing of the Board of Zoning Adjustment of the
8	District of Columbia.
9	My name is Fred Hill, Chairperson. Joining me
LO	today is Carlton Hart, Vice Chair, and representing the
11	Zoning Commission is Peter Shapiro.
L2	Copies of today's hearing agenda are available to
13	you and located in the wall bin near the door. Please be
L4	advised this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter
15	and also webcast live. Accordingly, we must ask you to
L6	refrain from any disruptive noises or actions in the hearing
L7	room.
18	When presenting information to the Board, please
L9	turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your
20	name and home address. When you're finished speaking, please
21	turn your microphone off so that your microphone is no longer
22	picking up sound or background noise.
23	All persons planning to testify either in favor
24	or in opposition must have raised their hand and been sworn

in by the Secretary. Also, each witness must fill out two

witness cards. These cards are located on the table near the door and on the witness table. Upon coming forward to speak to the Board, please give both cards to the reporter seated at the table on my right.

If you wish to file written testimony or additional supporting documents today, please submit one original and 12 copies to the Secretary for distribution. If you do not have the requisite number of copies, you can reproduce copies on an office printer in the Office of Zoning located across the hall. Please remember to collate your copies.

The order of procedures for special exceptions, variances and appeals are also listed as you walk in through the room. The record shall be closed at the conclusion of each case except for any materials specifically requested by the Board. The Board and the staff will specify at the end of the hearing exactly what is expected and the date when the person must submit the evidence to the Office of Zoning.

After the record is closed, no other information shall be accepted by the Board. The Board's agenda includes cases set for decision after the Board adjourns. The Office of Zoning, in consultation with myself, will determine whether a full or summary order may be issued.

A full order is issued when the decision it contains is adverse to a party, including an affected ANC.

2.0

A full order may also be needed if the Board's decision differs from the Office of Planning's recommendation. Although the Board favors the use of summary orders whenever possible, an applicant may not request the use -- the Board to issue such an order.

The District of Columbia Administrative Procedures Act requires that the public hearing on each case be held in the open before the public, pursuant to Section 405(b) and 406 of that Act. The Board may, consistent with its rules and procedures and the Act, enter into a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking legal counsel on a case, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4), and/or deliberate in a case pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-575(b)(13), but only after providing the necessary public notice, in the case of an emergency closed meeting, after taking a roll call vote.

The decision of the Board in cases must be based exclusively on the public record. To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Board requests that persons present not engage the members of the Board in conversation.

Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so as not to disrupt the proceeding.

Preliminary matters are those which relate to whether a case will or should be heard today, such as request for postponement, continuance or withdrawal, or whether

2.0

1	proper and adequate notice of the hearing has been given.
2	If you're not prepared to go forward with a case today or
3	believe the Board should not proceed, now is the time to
4	raise such a matter.
5	Mr. Secretary, do we have any preliminary matters?
6	MR. MOY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of
7	the Board. I do have a brief announcement regarding today's
8	docket for the record. There are two cases that have been
9	postponed and rescheduled to April 1st, 2020. These two
10	applications are 20184 of Fort Lincoln-Eastern Avenue, LLC,
11	and Murat Kayali, K-A-Y-A-L-I. Both cases rescheduled to
12	April 1st.
13	Appeal Number 20182 of Nancy Stanley has been
14	postponed, rescheduled to April 29th, 2020.
15	And finally, Application Number 20205 of
16	Christopher Cahill, which was scheduled for and placed on the
17	expedited review calendar, has been pulled off and scheduled
18	for a public hearing on April 1st, 2020.
19	Other than that, there's other preliminary
20	matters, Mr. Chairman. Staff would suggest addressing those
21	when I call the cases.
22	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr.
23	Moy.
24	Well, good morning everybody. If anyone is here
25	planning to testify, if you don't mind standing and taking

1 the oath administered by the Secretary to my left. 2 Good morning. Do you solemnly swear or MR. MOY: affirm that the testimony you're about to present in this 3 proceeding is the truth, whole truth and nothing but the 4 5 truth? 6 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Consider 7 yourselves under oath. 8 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, a couple of things. We 9 are basically going to follow the order of the agenda, 10 terms of both the meeting and the hearing, with the exception 11 of one item, Mr. Moy. If we could switch the first two cases 12 around, I want to do 20168 first, and then 20208 after that. 13 Other than that, we are going to follow the order. 14 And we have an appeal scheduled for 15 afternoon, which I believe we've actually set a time at, so 16 probably we're going to get through everything before lunch. 17 Also if Ms. Lorna John, our colleague, is watching 18 or listening, we hope you get better. And if you're watching 19 or listening, why aren't you in bed? 2.0 Mr. Moy, whenever you like, you can call Okav. 21 our first meeting case. 22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. So, this would 23 For decision-making, Application Number 20192 be -- okav. 24 Bernice Mellstrom. Caption, advertised for special

under Subtitle E,

exceptions

25

Section 5201 from

occupancy requirements, Subtitle Ε, Section 304.1 Subtitle E, Sections 206.2 and 5203.3, from the requirement, Subtitle architectural element Ε, 206.1(a), and from the nonconforming structure requirement, Subtitle C, Section 202, to construct a new roof deck above the existing front porch of an attached principal dwelling This is at 617 Quebec Place Northwest, unit, RF-1 zone. Square 3034, Lot 155.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, thank you, Mr. Moy.

Okay. Is the Board ready to deliberate?

Oh yes, the -- Commissioner Shapiro is not with us, so you and I, Mr. Hart can speak, and then also there is, I believe, an absentee ballot.

So as I recall, again, so there was a lot of discussion about this in terms of the relief requested. In particular what seemed to be a lot of discussion was the architectural elements concerning the roof deck. And so, there was some back-and-forth in terms of discussions with the ANC, as to how they thought that there was some confusion with the standards in which to necessarily evaluate what would be replacing that roof deck.

In terms of the standards that we would go through with, in Subtitle E 5201, E 206.2 and E 5203.3 concerning the special exception criteria, I guess what I thought -- and I'm going to just stick with this, the rooftop architectural

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

element, that there really isn't something that describes what should return there, right, or go back there.

And so these are the standards that we have to look at, as whether or not they're meeting the test for us to grant the requested relief.

In terms of the relief that was requested, I do believe that the applicant has put forward an argument as to how they're meeting those criteria. In addition to that, there is the report that we received from the Office of Planning that also outlines how they're meeting the standards for the relief to be approved.

We did ask the applicant if they would be willing to speak to the ANC and see if there was something that they could work with the ANC about, in terms of the -- what's replacing the rooftop. And it seems as though the railings that the ANC had, the applicant and the ANC had come forward with was, it was going to be like thin metal railings.

And I'm going to look for the specific language in here, as I kind of listen to what you have to say, Mr. Hart. But I would be in support of the application. And I would also be in support of what the applicant and the ANC had worked out in terms of the replacement for the rooftop front porch.

Do you have any thoughts, Mr. Hart?

VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Yes. After reviewing the

2.0

2.3

record, I also thought that the applicant is meeting the criteria under the zoning regs.

With regard to the -- it's a wrought iron railing that they are looking, that they are proposing, that the applicant is proposing. Those drawings are actually Exhibit 36. These are revised drawings after the, our last hearing that we had. And they actually show the wrought iron railing, and a sample, a photo sample of what that would really look like.

This seems like it was -- this is the same example that the ANC had actually used as well. And I understand, as you said, that there is some, there was some question on what those -- how to determine if an architectural, rooftop architectural element could change, what that change might be.

And I think that this is helpful because it is something that is -- because the wrought iron is a much thinner profile, the elements themselves, than what was previously proposed, which was more of a wooden fence or railing, that was wider and it, you know, actually looked more solid, that this is definitely a better solution for that railing.

So I would be in support of it. I think that the drawings are -- help to show this. I know that the ANC had a condition that they have a wrought iron, or an iron railing

2.0

in there. And what they're showing now in the drawings is actually showing that.

So I don't think that we necessarily have to use the -- because the applicant is actually doing what, showing in the images, or in the drawings what the ANC had, I don't think that we have to then repeat that. But note that these, that this is, you know, the drawings show this wrought iron railing on that roof.

But with regard to the criteria itself, I think that they, that they're meeting this. There are other roofs that, porch roofs that have decks on them. I know the ANC brought forward an analysis that looked at kind of the sequencing, or the pattern. It did look like there was a —there were other porches that had these decks on them.

And I think that that's helpful along the street, and I thought it was helpful that the ANC brought forward, you know, the information that they did, in looking at all this. So, I'd be in support of it, and that's it.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay, great. And perhaps, you know, Commissioner Shapiro, you can take some language back to the Zoning Commission, in terms of if there is something that we -- or some way to look at whatever is going to be replacing something that's removed in this capacity, we might be able to do something from the Zoning Commission, because there's nothing currently in the regulations that we're

2.0

1	necessarily evaluating.
2	All right. So I'm going to go ahead and make a
3	motion to approve Application Number 20192, as caption read
4	by the Secretary and ask for a second.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion made and seconded. All
7	those in favor say aye. Aye.
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Aye.
9	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All those opposed? The motion
10	may pass, Mr. Moy. I don't know what the Commissioner who's
11	sending the absentee ballot has to say.
12	MR. MOY: Okay. I can help you there, Mr.
13	Chairman. So, I'm in receipt of an absentee ballot from
14	Zoning Commissioner Peter May. And his absentee vote is to
15	approve, with such conditions as the Board may impose.
16	If I may, Mr. Chair, I'd like to read Mr. May's
17	comments for the record.
18	Quote, I appreciate the applicant's modification
19	to the proposed design. And I agree with the ANC that the
20	proposal to use a metal rail for the porch roof is
21	appropriate, and with the ANC's concern that the rails be
22	mounted in such a way as to not interfere with the reading
23	of the cornice and frieze of the porch roof.
24	I will also note that while I understand the

source of the ANC's frustration with the special exception

process for porch modifications, their conclusion that the Board views OP reports as, quote, infallible, end quote, and that the Board seeks to avoid forwarders at all costs is at a minimum, unfounded. End quote.

So that's Zoning Commissioner Peter May's vote and comments.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I would record the vote as 3 to 0 to 2. And this is on the motion of Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested. Seconding is Vice Chair Hart. And also in support of the motion, of course, Zoning Commissioner Peter May. And we have two members, one who is participating but not present today and the other member is not here. But the motion carries, sir.

CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, thanks Mr. Moy.

MR. MOY: The next and last case for decision-making is Application Number 19695-A of KWHP DC, LLC. This is a request for a one-year time extension of BZA Order Number 19695, to allow the applicant to file the proposed structure plans to the Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs for the purpose of securing a building permit in the MU-15 zone at premises 1315 16th Street Northwest, Square 195, Lot 846.

In addition to the motion for a time extension,

Mr. Chairman, there's also a request to waive the filing

2.0

2.3

1 deadline for time extension, which is 30 days' notice. And 2 I believe they're off by one day. 3 In the record also, as a reminder, Mr. Chairman, there is a letter from ANC 2B under Exhibit Number 9 in 4 5 support. CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right. Is the Board ready 6 7 to deliberate? 8 VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON HILL: Okay. So, after looking 10 through the record, I do not have an issue with the time 11 extension. I believe that the applicant, in their statement meets the criteria 12 in Exhibit 4, for us to grant 13 extension, the one-year extension. 14 But before actually we go through that, in terms 15 of the waiver, they did present before the ANC, they were 16 late by one day. So instead of 30 days, it was 29 days. 17 I don't have an issue with the one-day waiver. Does anyone 18 else? 19 VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: 2.0 CHAIRPERSON HILL: All right, so Mr. Moy, we're 21 going to waive that time limit. And so, then in terms of 22 again the time extension, we did get something from the ANC 23 2B, in terms of their support, and also that of the Office 24 of Planning. And as I had mentioned, I thought that the 25 applicant met the criteria, so I don't have any issues with

1	the time extension.
2	Does anyone else have anything they'd like to add?
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: No, sir.
4	CHAIRPERSON HILL: I'm going to make a motion to
5	approve Application Number 19695(a) as caption read by the
6	Secretary and ask for a second.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Motion made and seconded. All
9	those in favor say aye, aye.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON HART: Aye.
11	CHAIRPERSON HILL: All those opposed?
12	Motion passes, Mr. Moy.
13	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 3 to 0
14	to 2. And this is on the motion of Chairman Hill to grant
15	the motion for a time extension, one-year time extension.
16	Seconding the motion is Vice Chair Hart. Also in support,
17	Zoning Commissioner Peter Shapiro, and we have two other
18	members not present. Motion carries, sir.
19	CHAIRPERSON HILL: Thank you, Mr. Moy.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
21	record at 9:57 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DC BZA

Date: 02-26-20

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near 1 ans 8