GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY : Case No.

: 19-20

. :------:

> Thursday, December 12, 2019

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 19-20 by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room at 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson ROBERT MILLER, Vice Chairperson MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC) PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS) PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

ANNE FOTHERGILL JOEL LAWSON

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.

DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STAFF PRESENT:

AARON ZIMMERMAN

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on December 12, 2019.

CONTENTS

Preliminary Matters	4
Applicant's Case	11
Report of the Office of Planning	19
Report of the District Department of Transportation	19
Report of the ANC	26
Closing by Applicant	29

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

	P-K-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-2
2	6:34 p.m.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen.
4	This is the public hearing of the Zoning Commission for the
5	District of Columbia. My name is Anthony Hood. Joining me
6	are Vice Chair Miller, Commissioner Shapiro, Commissioner
7	May, and Commissioner Turnbull.
8	We're also joined by the Office of Zoning staff,
9	Ms. Sharon Schellin, as well as the also Mr. Paul Young,
10	who is operating our electronics and our stream.
11	I want to ask the Office of Attorney General to
12	introduce themselves, as well as the Office of Planning to
13	introduce themselves.
14	MR. RITTING: Good evening, my name is Jacob
15	Ritting. I'm an assistant attorney general.
16	MR. LAWSON: Good evening, Joel Lawson with the
17	D.C. Office of Planning.
18	MS. FOTHERGILL: Good evening, Anne Fothergill
19	with D.C. Office of Planning.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: And did I see Mr. Zimmerman? We
21	also have Mr. Zimmerman from the District Department of
22	Transportation.
23	The notice of this hearing was published in the
24	DC Register, and copies of that announcement are available
25	in the bin near the door. Because the hearing is being

recorded by -- well, might be recorded by a court reporter --as is also webcast live, we also ask that you refrain from any disruptive noises.

The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11-Z, DCMR, Chapter 4, as follows: preliminary matters, applicant's case, report of the Office of Planning, report of other government agencies, report of the ANC, testimony of organizations and individuals who are in support, opposition, and who are undeclared. Then we'll have rebuttal and closing by the applicant.

I'm going to skip the rest. The staff will be available throughout the hearing to discuss procedural questions. Will all individuals wishing to testify please rise and take the oath? Ms. Schellin, would you please administer the oath?

SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you'll give this evening will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

PARTICIPANTS: I do.

SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I understand we have a high-ranking official here, so I want to apologize for not having a tie on, but my office did have that holiday celebration today, so forgive me. If I had known officially, I probably

2.0

1	would have carried it with me, so forgive me.
2	MR. AVITABILE: You'll note I'm wearing my
3	Georgetown
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I was told that's no excuse, so.
5	MR. AVITABILE: I'm wearing my Georgetown tie, so
6	it's fun and casual.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay, all right. All right,
8	so Ms. Schellin, do we have any preliminary matters?
9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes, just a couple. There
10	is one. There's an ANC that is a little more than 200 feet
11	away. They're not an affected ANC per the regulations, but
12	they are, I think, about 300, a little over 300 feet within,
13	away from the property, and that's 6E.
14	They have filed for party status. That party
15	status app came in today. They are asking for a waiver since
16	it was not filed 14 days prior to the hearing, and so they
17	would ask the Commission to consider that request first.
18	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, certainly. Commissioners,
19	they are not an affected ANC though, correct?
20	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: That's correct.
21	CHAIRMAN HOOD: They are not an affected ANC. I
22	don't usually like to turn our ANCs down, but I am
23	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: And if I didn't say it, I'm
24	sorry. It is in support. They are asking for five minutes
25	to testify.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yeah, I don't really have a
2	problem with giving them, granting party status, but let me
3	hear from others. Any objections? Okay, no objections. All
4	right, so we will grant party status. Okay, all right, so,
5	anything else, Ms. Schellin?
6	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Did the applicants say they
7	had no problem because they did not have the timing to
8	MR. AVITABILE: No problem. No problem.
9	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: All right, so then the other
10	
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I didn't think they would.
12	They're in support.
13	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yeah, because they're in
14	support.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Even across town, they're in
16	support.
17	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: The proffered expert
18	witnesses, there's Chris Kabatt in engineering from Wells and
19	Associates. I have him as the only one that's previously
20	been accepted if the Commission would consider him in this
21	case.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.
23	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Then Regina
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Let's deal with I don't think
25	 we're going to go back over what we've already done, so we

1	will continue that status.
2	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Okay, Regina Bleck from
3	Georgetown University in architecture?
4	MR. AVITABILE: Yes, she's the university
5	architect. The on-staff university architect, so we're
6	proffering her as an expert, along with Graham Wyatt from
7	Robert A.M. Stern Architects, who is the project architect.
8	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: And Kevin Fisher from
9	Rhodeside & Harwell in landscape architecture.
10	MR. AVITABILE: Correct.
11	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: So if the Commission would
12	consider those three?
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So
14	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: They're at 9b, Exhibit 9b.
15	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 9b. Any objections to any? I
16	know one of the firms used to do a lot of historic work. I
17	think your firm, so. I do read sometimes. Okay, so
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman?
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: So, I mean, I do have a
21	question about this because ordinarily we would well, we
22	made it certainly a practice a few years ago not to give
23	party status to the applicant or any of the applicant's
24	representatives, and so the university architect, Ms. Bleck,
25	is that right?
I	

MS. BLECK: Bleck.

2.0

COMMISSIONER MAY: Bleck, sorry about that, is a university employee, part of the applicant team as opposed to being a consultant, so again, clearly, you know, good qualifications, no doubt an excellent architect, an excellent university architect, but whether we should confer party, I mean, expert status on the applicant is a question from my perspective.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I think that is how we have proceeded in the past, so I don't know what others think. I would associate myself as well. We want to make sure that we try to keep a clean slate. Let me hear from others.

COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yeah, I don't remember dealing with this before, but I'm the newer addition to the team, so what's the distinction that we make between somebody who is the full-time employee versus somebody who is being paid by an applicant as a consultant? Why is there a distinction between those two?

COMMISSIONER MAY: You know, I mean, here it's a little bit more gray because it is somebody -- I mean, most developers don't come before us with their own architect speaking on their behalf, but, I mean, the rule has just --

I think we started this a long time ago, that it felt -- didn't seem exactly right to have somebody who is the actual applicant being given expert status.

1	I mean, maybe it gives some unfair advantage.
2	It's so long ago that we even considered this question, so
3	I don't know. I just, like I said, it's been our practice.
4	I'm inclined
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I can kind of see how that ties
6	in. Let me hear from others. So unless I have an objection
7	any objections to go along with Commissioner May? Any
8	objections?
9	We will definitely hear her testimony, but we
10	won't grant expert status because of the position, and I
11	think that kind of keeps it in the same way we have done
12	previously.
13	Do we have anything else? Oh, the other two. Was
14	it two more or was it one more? No objections on the rest?
15	I want to first of all tell you that we don't
16	think any less of your testimony, but I do want to I'm
17	trying to hurry up because I want to get to the gentleman
18	because I know we have some time frame constraints, so, and
19	we appreciate you coming. I'm not sure we asked you to come,
20	but we appreciate you coming.
21	MR. CHATAS: I'm glad to be here. I should have
22	brought a tie too.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Huh?
24	MR. CHATAS: I didn't bring a tie either, so.
25	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, that is true, so we both are

1 doing the same thing tonight. Did you have a holiday or 2 something? A holiday dinner coming up, yeah. 3 MR. CHATAS: 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you're going to one? 5 MR. CHATAS: I'm going to one, so. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, well, let's all leave at the 6 7 same time. 8 (Laughter.) 9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, so, Mr. Avitabile, you may begin. 10 11 MR. AVITABILE: Sure, and I'll add we're happy to, given that we're here with full support from DDOT, Office of 12 13 Planning, and both ANCs, we're happy to rest on the record, and we have a brief 30-minute presentation focused on the design or we can just rest on the record and go right to 15 questions, whatever the Commission would like. 16 17 So I would like for the gentleman CHAIRMAN HOOD: to speak. Forgive me, I don't have -- I'm having some 18 computer problems, so I don't have your name up. 19 I know that you're a high-ranking official at Georgetown, so I would like 2.0 21 for you to say something because I know you came down here. 22 We don't want you to go away without saying something, and then I think, Mr. Avitabile, if you can hit 23 24 the highlights and some of the agreements, and I think that's 25 all we need unless I hear from others, and then we will ask

1	our questions.
2	MR. AVITABILE: That's perfectly fine. Thank you.
3	So we'll just let Geoff Chatas start. Thank you.
4	MR. CHATAS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: How do you pronounce your last
6	name, Chatas?
7	MR. CHATAS: Chatas.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Chatas.
9	MR. CHATAS: Chatas, C-H-A-T-A-S, and thank you
10	for having me here to the Commission and everyone else in
11	attendance.
12	So I am Geoff Chatas. I'm the Senior Vice
13	President and Chief Operating Officer at Georgetown
14	University. It's a position I've held now for about two
15	years.
16	Before that, I was the Chief Financial Officer at
17	The Ohio State University in Columbus, Ohio, before moving
18	to D.C., and before that, I actually graduated from
19	Georgetown, class of 1985, and had a career in the energy
20	sector, and then as a fund manager for JP Morgan, before
21	moving to higher ed about 10 years ago.
22	I'm very pleased to be here tonight to introduce
23	the project and discuss with you how it fits in with our
24	university's broader development goals and vision.

As the Commission is aware, a key component of the

university's recent long range planning efforts is identifying opportunities to grow and develop at locations other than our historic, but space limited, hilltop campus.

I thank the Commission for encouraging university leadership to think beyond the hilltop. When I got to Georgetown, I quickly learned how the university, our Georgetown neighbors, and the city came together to get behind our last two campus plans and promote growth off the hilltop.

I proudly serve with Ron Lewis as the Co-Chair of the Georgetown Community Partnership, so I stay very involved in these affairs.

Over the past two years, we've had a sustained focus on creating a Capitol Campus that expands our presence and programming downtown in a thoughtful and forward-looking manner. The East End is an area where Georgetown already has a significant presence.

The university's law center, located at 600 New Jersey Avenue, has been an anchor of the neighborhood for decades and has contributed to the transformation of the surrounding area, and in 2013, we relocated our School of Continuing Studies to 640 Massachusetts Avenue, about five blocks from the law center.

Earlier this year, we acquired 500 First Street NW, which along with the existing buildings at the law

2.0

center, completes an entire block of Georgetown properties.

This 130,000 square foot building will provide a mix of classrooms, offices, and collaboration areas, and will promote interdisciplinary research and collaboration across the university's numerous schools and centers, particularly the Law Center and the McCourt School of Public Policy, but also the Georgetown University Medical Center, which will house its global health initiative in that building.

It will also house the Capital Applied Learning for experiential learning Lab, CALL, program undergraduates. The CALL is intended to capitalize on the university's home in the nation's capital, and is organized around the living and learning environment, requiring a semester in residence downtown close to internship, mentorship, and networking opportunities.

As demonstrated by the CALL program, growing our Capitol Campus builds on Georgetown's tradition that compels us to be relevant and allows us to reimagine the learning experience for the 21st century, allowing us to recruit and educate the next generation of leaders.

The proposed residence hall at 55 H Street serves an important role in achieving this long-term vision of a dynamic capital campus with cutting-edge academic programs, serving both undergraduate and graduate students.

Extending academic instruction and exploration to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1 the residential experience is central to Georgetown's 2 educational mission and ethos of cura personalis, or care for 3 the whole person. While the building initially opens in, excuse me, 4 when it opens in 2022, we anticipate the residents will 5 consist of undergraduate students, including those enrolled 6 7 in the CALL program, law students, and graduate students, including those enrolled in the continuing study programs. 8 9 Thank you for the opportunity for discussing this exciting project. My colleague, Gina Bleck, will now discuss 10 11 the building and the project itself, and then we'll be ready to answer any questions you may have about how this fits into 12 our broader strategy in the District. 13 I think they are -- we're happy 14 MR. AVITABILE: to pause and take questions on Mr. Chatas' testimony, and we 15 can then go to summarizing the relief and the finer bits of 16 17 the project. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, we appreciate the testimony. Any questions first of the senior vice president? 19 2.0 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just had one. Where do 21 students go to law school now? Where do they reside or do they just --22 We have one dorm on the law campus 23 MR. CHATAS: which is located toward the south end, toward the 500 First 24 25 building, but on the west side, but the bulk of the students

now live out in the neighborhoods. 2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: In the neighborhoods? 3 MR. CHATAS: Yeah, and across the District. 4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: All right, thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions? I just want 6 to also say I appreciate the work that Georgetown has done 7 because we've come a long way, and it looks like, as you mentioned, Mr. Vice President, working with Ron Lewis in that 9 neighborhood, it looks like everything has went well. 10 Because I can tell you honestly, I know we made 11 some statements up here at that time. I really didn't 12 believe we were going to get to where we were, how we got When I left -- when I said, when I was one of the --13 all of us said it, but I said it. 15 I really pushed it along with my colleagues, but I said I had hope, but I didn't think we was going to get 16 there, and we've been there ever since, so I want to commend 17 Georgetown and that community for doing this. 18 Thank you. 19 MR. CHATAS: Thank you. I walked in, 2.0 obviously, at an amazingly good point, but I must say it's 21 been working very well. 22 And a lot of it's due to a lot of CHAIRMAN HOOD: the people in this room, but especially to the gentleman 23 sitting over your left shoulder back there. 24 I want to make 25 sure I don't -- Don. I want to make sure I don't -- because

1	I know he did a lot.
2	MR. CHATAS: Yes, indeed.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: I've actually sat in on some of
4	his actions and how he works with communities and groups, so
5	I want to commend you on that. Okay, any other follow-up
6	questions or comments?
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Just a follow-up comment on
8	your comment, Mr. Chairman. Thank you to Georgetown for
9	being a pioneer in that East End of downtown and it's very
10	commendable. For this 55 H Street project, does Georgetown
11	own that? Did they buy it?
12	MR. CHATAS: The land itself?
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: The land.
14	MR. CHATAS: We actually leased it on a long term
15	lease from one of our Jesuit brethren institutions.
16	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Gonzaga?
17	MR. CHATAS: Yes, Gonzaga, so it's an 85-year
18	ground lease.
19	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, do we have any questions up
21	here? Do any of us up here look familiar?
22	MR. CHATAS: To me?
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, that's right. You only were
24	there for two years.
25	MR. CHATAS: Yes, so I'm a relatively new person.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So you were at Ohio State?
2	MR. CHATAS: I was.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, okay. No, I didn't say
4	anything. I just don't like to have Georgetown come down and
5	I don't acknowledge that we do have some of our people who
6	went to Georgetown.
7	MR. CHATAS: I had heard.
8	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, you did?
9	MR. CHATAS: Is that right?
10	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah, I finished in '81, so we
11	didn't overlap.
12	MR. CHATAS: I have a more famous classmate,
13	Patrick Ewing, who you may have heard of.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Oh, yes, but we have but I
15	think I don't know what year. What year did you play?
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: I never played and I never said
17	I played.
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right.
20	COMMISSIONER MAY: You seem to think I played.
21	I don't know what you're talking about, but full disclosure,
22	I am a member of the Yates Field House for many decades.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we're having fun tonight. We
24	don't usually have fun. We can have fun sometimes. All
25	right, let's go to the so this particular ANC, assuming
l	

1	that this is the affected ANC, they didn't provide anything,
2	did they?
3	MR. AVITABILE: 6E did provide a letter of
4	support.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: 6E provided a letter in support.
6	Okay, I'm looking at my notes. All right, I'll go to that
7	later. Do we have anyone here from 6E?
8	Okay, so let's go to the Office of Planning and
9	the District Department of Transportation.
10	Mr. Zimmerman, I did introduce you while you were
11	out.
12	Okay, so, Ms. Fothergill?
13	MS. FOTHERGILL: Good evening. For the record,
14	I'm Anne Fothergill with the Office of Planning, and the
15	Office of Planning rests on the record in support of the
16	application.
17	I did want to note that within the report, when
18	we referenced Zoning Commission Case 19-05, we should have
19	referred to it as being set down, not approved, so I just
20	wanted to make that clarification on the record, and I'm
21	happy to take any questions.
22	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you. Mr. Zimmerman?
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good evening, Chairman Hood and
24	Commissioners. For the record, my name is Aaron Zimmerman
25	with the District Department of Transportation. DDOT is very

1 supportive of this application, specifically the proposal to 2 provide only three parking spaces on site. 3 The site is located in a pedestrian-oriented part of the city within a one-quarter mile walk of numerous 5 transit options such as Union Station, Metrorail, DC Circulator, Capital Bikeshare, the 6 Streetcar, DC 7 existing, current, Georgetown University Shuttle. 8 To encourage usage of these non-automotive modes 9 of travel, the applicant has proposed a robust transportation demand management plan that also includes a 19-dock Capital 10 11 Bikeshare station. So DDOT has no objection of the approval of this 12 design review application with two conditions contained in 13 14 our December 2 report, which are the TDMand management plans. 15 The applicant has agreed to these in the draft 16 conditions of approval, which is Exhibit 90 on the record. 17 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any questions or comments 2.0 to the Office of Planning or the District Department of 21 Transportation? Vice Chair Miller? Yeah, I just wanted to thank 22 VICE CHAIR MILLER: both agencies for their comments, and agree with the Office 23 of Planning's suggestions for different flexibility language 24

their report for the streetscape designs, sustainable

1	features, and signage.
2	I think they wanted to make that flexibility
3	language that's being requested in those areas more in
4	conformity with what we had previously done in the past, so
5	I guess that's my only question.
6	Who is using the surface parking lot now, Gonzaga,
7	and how many spaces are there?
8	(Off-mic comments.)
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: And where are those folks going
10	to park? Are they going to now take Metro?
11	MR. AVITABILE: It's not actually actively used
12	to our knowledge, so it's not really displacing any active
13	parking.
14	VICE CHAIR MILLER: It's not a commercial parking
15	lot?
16	MR. AVITABILE: No, it's not a commercial parking
17	lot.
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, how many so it's not
19	fully used, you're saying?
20	MR. AVITABILE: That's right. They have a garage
21	that they use.
22	MR. CHATAS: They have an underground garage right
23	next door. It's been used as tennis courts, but it's
24	basically empty right now, the lot.
25	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Okay, thank you. And did I
ļ	I .

1	read somewhere that students would not be eligible for I
2	don't even know if there's RPP in that neighborhood, but we
3	don't even know if that site they didn't bring that up
4	because they don't know if that even is workable, but did I
5	read somewhere that they're not going to have
6	MR. AVITABILE: Right, so the site
7	VICE CHAIR MILLER: have RPP, and most of them
8	don't have cars, or all of them don't have cars?
9	MR. AVITABILE: Right, right, there's no RPP for
10	this site because it's commercially zoned, and there's
11	actually no RPP parking for blocks.
12	That was one of the issues that initially came up
13	when we were at 6E and when we kind of looked at the maps,
14	and everyone got comfortable that this wouldn't be an issue
15	because there was not only is there no eligibility for
16	RPP, there's no place where you could park cars on an RPP
17	street, so it's not an issue.
18	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions or comments
20	to follow up? Does the applicant have any questions or
21	comments?
22	MR. AVITABILE: No.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, oh, I'm sorry.
24	COMMISSIONER MAY: I actually had another question
25	of the applicant, of the architect. I mean, I noticed that

1 they were using these vertical photovoltaic panels on the top 2 Can you tell me a little bit more about it? 3 I mean, those are full panels as opposed to the standard three by five panels? It's full 5 architectural panel, as it were, that generates photovoltaic power, is that right? 6 7 MR. WYATT: Yes, it is. Graham Wyatt with Robert Architects applicant. Stern for the And those are architecturally integrated panels that are the full height of that floor. 10 11 COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, and what -- I mean, it's got a nice southern exposure. It's not an awful lot of 12 13 How much --what percentage of the power for the building might be generated by those panels? Have you calculated that? 15 MR. WYATT: 16 We have. and it's actually 17 supplemented by a substantial number of solar panels that are on the roof. 18 Right. 19 COMMISSIONER MAY: MR. WYATT: And the two of those together, our 2.0 21 goal and what we believe we're more than achieving, is that the energy produced by those panels would more than provide 22 the full domestic hot water requirement. Even though they 23 are photovoltaic, they're not for hot water. 24 25 That's the way in which we're intending to measure

1	it, and that tends to be the more efficient way actually to
2	rather than generating hot water on site, to use the PV
3	to create that amount of electricity, so that's the way we
4	think about it.
5	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, which is not the way we
6	think about it. We're used to seeing and hearing a
7	percentage, so.
8	MR. WYATT: I don't
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: You don't actually know?
10	(Simultaneous speaking.)
11	MR. GRIDLEY: The entire sorry, Will Gridley
12	with Robert Stern Architects. All of the PV panels combined
13	generate roughly five percent of the building's energy needs.
14	The facade integrated panels generate about 10 percent of
15	that total capacity.
16	COMMISSIONER MAY: So about half a percent?
17	MR. GRIDLEY: Yeah.
18	COMMISSIONER MAY: That's still pretty good even
19	the relative area
20	MR. GRIDLEY: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER MAY: of it, so that's impressive.
22	I'd love to see more of that. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Vice Chair Miller?
24	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Yes, that's commendable, as
25	well as the LEED gold certification that you're seeking for

1	that project, right?
2	And what's the status? Are you going to do the
3	GUTS shuttle bus at the 55 H Street or are you going to see
4	how, if people need it, and let them walk to wherever the
5	closest pickup is?
6	MR. KUO: Hi, this is Ben Kuo, Vice President of
7	Facilities at Georgetown University. So currently, we have
8	a shuttle bus that runs between our hilltop campus and the
9	law center. As this project progresses, we'll look at what
10	the demand is and we'll study what the needs are, and then
11	we'll change the routes or change the frequencies as
12	appropriate.
13	VICE CHAIR MILLER: And you've talked with DDOT
14	about where that pickup and drop off point would be, or you
15	haven't gotten to that point yet?
16	MR. KUO: We haven't gotten to that point yet.
17	Currently, we're still using the same drop off point we have
18	at the law center.
19	COMMISSIONER MAY: Yeah.
20	MR. KUO: But as that progresses and we see what
21	the needs are, we can see whatever changes might be
22	appropriate.
23	COMMISSIONER MAY: Okay, thanks.
24	MR. CHATAS: Mr. May, I think it's important to
25	note that consistent with the plan with the community, these

1 students will be taking all of their classes down here, so 2 it's not intended that they're taking the shuttles classes, but rather for other activities they may have on 3 4 campus. Thanks. 5 COMMISSIONER MAY: CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other questions up here? All 6 7 right, and again, no one is here from the ANC, so I'll just go to the ANC's report and from Chairperson Marriott, they voted six -- I mean, I'm sorry. It was seven -- I think I saw seven to zero in support, and it says, "Therefore, ANC 10 11 6E is in support of this proposed project, " so. 12 Also the other government agencies, I did see a comment from DOEE who had nothing but positive things, 13 believe, to say. I didn't see anything else unless others picked up on something I may have missed. 15 Okay, let's go to the report. Oh, we just did 16 Let's go to the ANC that's in support. Come forward. 17 Party, he's a party, so. Oh, that's right. Mr. Eckenwiler, 18 did you have any questions of the proposed -- I missed that 19 20 -- of the applicant or DDOT? 21 MR. ECKENWILER: I was wondering if you'd ask 22 that. I do not. 23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okav. MR. ECKENWILER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members 24

of the Commission.

25

I'm Mark Eckenwiler, Vice Chair of ANC

6C, here on behalf of the ANC. First of all, I want to thank you for granting our party status application.

You have our letter in the record before you. I think it's case exhibit 17. I don't really have a lot to add to it. I think it speaks for itself.

As you can see, the language that we've proposed and the language that is in the applicant's supplemental submission that came in today, I think that's exhibit 14, it's identical. They are agreeable to what we suggested about that depth of view.

And I just want to say the chief reason we're proposing that, it's really of a piece with OP's suggestion about this property and its, you know, future, you know, the distant future if this ever were to change use. They have said they would like to see IZ applicable if it ever was converted to housing, and the same thing here is true.

You know, we have great confidence in the good faith of the applicant, but, you know, ownership and use of buildings can change, and we'd just like to -- it would be nice to see that same promise, that enhanced pedestrian experience at street level, carried through as a condition in the order.

So, that's really all I have. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: Thank you, Mr. Eckenwiler. Let's

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1	see, do we have any questions up here? Commissioner Shapiro?
2	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
3	is actually just a process question. What are you doing
4	asking for party status about two hours before the hearing
5	considering how well you know our process?
6	MR. ECKENWILER: We wound up taking this case this
7	month. I apologize for that, Commissioner Shapiro, but our
8	workflow caused us to push this into December.
9	And I know it's cutting it close, but, you know,
10	as it turns out, we're really not we're not gumming up the
11	works for anybody. So I understand the concern and we do
12	understand the process.
13	MR. AVITABILE: Well, and also I'll jump in and
14	say that they originally wanted us to come in November and
15	deferred at our request because we had some conflicts that
16	we couldn't come to them that night.
17	So part of the reason why they took this case up
18	in December was because the university asked to come in
19	December, so it's as much it's not really their fault that
20	they were considering the case last night. It was because
21	of our request.
22	COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: That's helpful to hear, and
23	thank you very much, Commissioner.
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: You all are really holding hands.
25	Everybody is holding hands. I wish all of the hearings were

Okay, all right, so any questions, again, Mr. 1 like this. 2 Eckenwiler? MR. ECKENWILER: 3 No. 4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, any questions of Mr. 5 Eckenwiler? Okay, thank you very much. We appreciate you coming down and providing testimony. Do we have any other 6 7 persons who are here? I'm going to call for all of them. 8 Any other persons who are here in support, who are 9 here in opposition, or who are here undeclared? Okay, Mr. Avitabile, I think we're all going to get out by 7:00. Well, 10 11 we would have gotten out by 7:00 if Commissioner Shapiro 12 didn't ask that last question. 13 (Laughter.) 14 MR. AVITABILE: That's right, and thank you. We're pleased to be here, and I think everything is in order. 15 You know, the two comments in the Office of Planning report 16 the condition related to IZ which we 17 were about one, proffered. 18 The other was about the design flexibility. 19 The 2.0 proffered is actually language language we that the 21 Commission has approved in a number of recent cases. necessarily have issue with the recommended changes to F on 22 23 streetscape design or on signage. 24 The only one that I do like our language on is the 25 condition G related to sustainable features only because it

does --

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I see this come up often as we go and develop the building design, and if the green roof or the solar panels remain the same size, but they adjust in location as the building design evolves, it's helpful to have the ability to make those minor adjustments.

And I think we're trying to make it clear to the Zoning Administrator what we can and what we cannot do, and not be too broad, and I think we were trying to enumerate these specific features that might be modified rather than just having a broad reference to approve sustainable features, but we're certainly --

I think the intent of the condition either way is clear. I just wanted to note that the language we proposed is actually language that we have proposed before and the Commission has approved recently, but we're happy to do as the Commission desires on that language.

We, as DDOT noted, addressed their conditions. We addressed the conditions brought up by both ANC 6E and 6C. And so we thank you for your time and we are happy to be here delivering in the promises we've made. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, with that, I will close the hearing. I want to thank you all for your presentations.

Any follow-up questions first from anybody?

COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just have one minor on

1 number H for your flexibility for the penthouse. 2 MR. AVITABILE: Yes, yes. COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: OP really didn't have any 3 other comments or corrections on that. I'm assuming when 5 you're talking about changes, you're talking about minor massaging of the footprint, but nothing to do with the 6 7 height? 8 No, no, nothing to do with the MR. AVITABILE: 9 And this is, again, language that the Commission has height. approved before. 10 11 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Right. MR. AVITABILE: And it's actually language that --12 you can even port it over from the old flexibility. 13 14 just, again, as you figure out what mechanical you need and it's 15 things around, but related move not to those foundational features like height. 16 17 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, that's fine. CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other follow up? 18 All right, 19 heard the request. Ι think the only 2.0 outstanding is whether about applicant's we want the 21 flexibility language or if we want to stick with pretty much do, and I think either way is --22 I think the applicant has said either way is fine, 23 I believe, if I'm not misquoting him. I would recommend that 24 25 for now, we stick with what we, our process, but let me hear

1 from others. Any objection to that? 2 VICE CHAIR MILLER: I would -- I don't have any problem with maybe the applicant and OAG working that out. 3 I think we understand what the goal is. They said that they language they proposed is what 5 6 was recently adopted. When I made the statement, when I saw 7 OP's language, I thought that that was what we usually did, so I'm now a little bit confused on that point. 9 So whatever we've done, I think we should continue to do, but I would leave it to --10 11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I'm comfortable with leaving 12 VICE CHAIR MILLER: 13 it to the applicant and OAG to work that out. 14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I just wanted to make sure we knew exactly what we were voting on. Any other comments 15 on that flexibility language? We'll just leave it up to make 16 sure. Can we do that, Mr. Ritting? I see you're already at 17 the mic, so there must be --18 Normally I would say no, but the 19 MR. RITTING: differences here are so small, and it seems like the only one 2.0 21 that's really at issue is G if I understood that correctly, and if I could repeat what I think I heard the applicant say, 22 23 they want to go with their G because it's more specifically tailored to this project. 24

And if I could just make a suggestion, why don't

1	you just adopt what OP is suggesting except for G, and adopt
2	the applicant's G? And then I'll know what to do.
3	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm okay with that.
4	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so, are we all fine with
5	that? Okay, so with that, I would move approval of this case
6	excepting what the Office of Planning has referenced with the
7	exception of G, and also implementing the applicant's G and
8	leaving it up to the Office of the Attorney General to help
9	fine-tune everything.
10	VICE CHAIR MILLER: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Did I get that right, Mr. Ritting?
12	I want to make sure the motion is right.
13	MR. RITTING: That was very good.
14	CHAIRMAN HOOD: It was very good. Mr. Ritter,
15	you're going a long way, you know that, with me. You're all
16	right except for what did you say?
17	MR. RITTING: I said except for the last thing you
18	said, that was fine.
19	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I'm going to switch your
20	seat. Okay, so it's been moved and properly seconded.
21	Anything further? Oh, no, oh, yeah, any further discussion?
22	All in favor?
23	(Chorus of aye.)
24	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Aye. Any opposition? Ms.
25	Schellin, did you record your vote?
ļ	

1	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: I don't get a vote, but I'll
2	record yours.
3	CHAIRMAN HOOD: What did I say, record your vote?
4	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Would you record the vote?
6	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Staff will record the vote
7	five to zero to approve final action in Zoning
8	Commission case number 19-20, Commissioner Hood moving,
9	Commissioner Miller seconding, Commissioners Shapiro, May,
10	and Turnbull in support.
11	CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, Mr. Senior Vice President, I
12	want to assure you that this was an easy night. I'd like
13	this all of the time. So it looks like we have a good time,
14	but, you know, we work real hard, so tonight was very
15	enjoyable, so I want to thank everyone for their
16	participation.
17	I'm not going to read the opening statement, I
18	mean, the closing statement. I need to work on that. So
19	with that, I appreciate your attention to this matter. Do
20	I need to do something else?
21	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Just to have Mr. Avitabile
22	or his staff provide us a draft order by the end of the year,
23	maybe, or the first of next year.
24	MR. AVITABILE: Sure.
25	SECRETARY SCHELLIN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Go ahead, Commissioner May. I
2	want to hear this.
3	COMMISSIONER MAY: You know, you forgot to mention
4	Mr. Murphy, now that he has arrived, that his law
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)
6	COMMISSIONER MAY: our sound.
7	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, did we ever get the mics
8	fixed?
9	COMMISSIONER MAY: No.
10	CHAIRMAN HOOD: Murphy's Law.
11	COMMISSIONER MAY: Murphy's Law.
12	(Laughter.)
13	CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, so I want to thank
14	everyone for their attention tonight and their participation
15	in this case, and this hearing is adjourned.
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
17	record at 7:08 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DCZC

Date: 12-12-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9