

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

REGULAR MEETING

+ + + + +

MONDAY

MARCH 25, 2019

+ + + + +

The Regular Meeting of the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened in the Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room, Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice at 6:30 p.m., Anthony J. Hood, Chairman, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

- ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson
- MICHAEL G. TURNBULL, FAIA, Commissioner (AOC)
- PETER G. MAY, Commissioner (NPS)
- PETER SHAPIRO, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

- SHARON S. SCHELLIN, Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

- JENNIFER STEINGASSER, Deputy Director, Development Review & Historic Preservation
- JOEL LAWSON
- STEPHEN MORDFIN
- STEPHEN COCHRAN
- ELISA VITALE
- JONATHAN KIRSCHENBAUM

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

JACOB RITTING, ESQ.
MAXIMILIAN TONDRO, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from
the Regular meeting held on March 25, 2019.

I-N-D-E-X

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Case No. 01-01B BP/CRF 901 New York Avenue LLC	6
Case No. 80-11A 91 TTPA LESSEE, LLC	9
Case No. 02-38J WFS2 Waterfront Station LLC	15
Case No. 04-13C 1210C R Street LLC	23
Case No. 18-07 Lean Development LLC	28
Case No. 19-05 Office of Planning Text Amendment	36

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

6:33 p.m.

1
2
3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: This meeting will please come to
4 order. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. This is a public
5 meeting of the Zoning Commission for the District of
6 Columbia.

7 My name is Anthony Hood and we are located at the
8 Jerrily R. Kress Memorial Hearing Room.

9 I have a request that the dais actually introduce
10 themselves. I've done this in the past, but the request was
11 just given to me so I will start with the commissioners
12 first. We'll go to the Office of Zoning, then the Office of
13 Attorney General, then the Office of Planning.

14 And maybe the person who introduced themselves can
15 tell me whose suggestion it was. Again I'm Anthony Hood.
16 So we'll just introduce ourselves.

17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I'm Peter Shapiro. It was
18 my suggestion, Mr. Chair. I felt like hearing my voice I
19 believe at the beginning.

20 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I'm Mike Turnbull
21 representing the Architect of the Capitol.

22 COMMISSIONER MAY: Peter May representing the
23 National Park Service.

24 MS. SCHELLIN: Sharon Schellin, Office of Zoning.

25 MR. RITTING: Hi, I'm Jacob Ritting, assistant

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 attorney general.

2 MR. TONDRO: Maximilian Tondro with the Office of
3 the Attorney General.

4 MS. STEINGASSER: Jennifer Steingasser with the
5 Office of Planning.

6 MR. LAWSON: Joel Lawson.

7 MR. COCHRAN: Steve Cochran, OP.

8 MR. MORDFIN: Steve Mordfin, Office of Planning.

9 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Jonathan Kirschenbaum, Office
10 of Planning.

11 MS. VITALE: Elisa Vitale, Office of Planning.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Great. Thank you, everyone.
13 Copies of today's meeting agenda are available to you and are
14 located in the bin near the door.

15 For cases under the hearing action portion of the
16 agenda the only documents in the record before us this
17 evening are the applications. But anyway, I don't need to
18 read that part.

19 We do not take any public testimony at our
20 meetings unless the commission requests someone to come
21 forward.

22 Please be advised that this proceeding is being
23 recorded by a court reporter. It's also webcast live.

24 Accordingly, we must ask you to refrain from any
25 disruptive noises or actions in the hearing room including

1 display of any signs or objects.

2 Please turn off all electronic devices at this
3 time.

4 Does the staff have any preliminary matters?

5 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I believe we can proceed as
7 recorded on our agenda for this evening. Let's do consent
8 calendar item modification of consequence, determination of
9 scheduling. We may be able to move forward depending upon
10 how we move.

11 Zoning Commission Case No. 01-01B BP/CRF 901 New
12 York Avenue LLC - PUD Modification of Consequence at Square
13 372. Ms. Schellin.

14 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the applicant has requested
15 a modification in order to update the guidelines and design
16 scheme applicable to the building signage and ground floor
17 retail street presence.

18 At exhibit 5 is a DDOT report stating that they
19 have no issues with the request, but the applicant should
20 pursue a public space permit and work with DDOT on the public
21 realm surrounding the site.

22 Exhibit 7 is an OP report recommending the case
23 as a modification of consequence and exhibit 8 is an ANC 2C
24 report in support of the request. The ANC was the only party
25 to the case, to the prior case and they have submitted their

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 report.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Schellin.

3 Commissioners, in this case as you noted we have
4 a favorable ANC report in support of this -- first, let me
5 back up.

6 Does anyone believe that this is not a
7 modification of consequence? Okay, not hearing anyone, no
8 objections.

9 Colleagues, this -- I think the ANC is the only
10 party as noted by Ms. Schellin and we -- I think this is ripe
11 for us to have a review of the additional comments that they
12 have about some of the interior changes and this
13 modification.

14 Any objections to moving forward this evening?
15 Not hearing any if somebody could start us off.

16 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I think this is
17 pretty straightforward. The record is pretty full. The ANC
18 is in favor, Office of Planning is in favor.

19 It is a building that would be fine with a little
20 bit of updating in this circumstance. The way it was
21 designed I don't think it really has done a good job of
22 engaging with the sidewalk and so I think these are all
23 pretty positive changes.

24 So I'm not only in favor of us considering this
25 as a modification of consequence but given that we have the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ANC's letter in support I'm okay with moving ahead with the
2 decision tonight.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any other comments? Mr. Turnbull.

4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
5 took a look at the request for the signage changes and the
6 awning changes and some of the features that they wanted to
7 do.

8 I think everything is fine. I had no problem with
9 it.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. So with that
11 I would ask that somebody make a motion.

12 But let me just also note that it was a unanimous
13 vote, unanimous from the ANC as well. Somebody like to make
14 a motion? Commissioner May?

15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair?

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Commissioner Shapiro.

17 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you. I would move
18 that we approve Zoning Commission Case No. 01-01B BP/CRF 901
19 New York Avenue LLC - PUD Modification of Consequence at
20 Square 372. Look for a second.

21 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Second.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
23 seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?

24 (Chorus of ayes)

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

2 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Staff records the vote 4-0-1
3 to approve final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 01-01B.
4 Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
5 Commissioners Hood and May in support, Commissioner Miller
6 not present, not voting.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next is Zoning Commission
8 Case No. 80-11A 91 TTPA LESSEE, LLC - PUD Modification of
9 Consequence at Square 101. Ms. Schellin.

10 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the applicant in this case is
11 seeking a modification of consequence also in order to
12 modernize and upgrade portions of the building's facade, to
13 modernize and upgrade the building's entrances, to
14 reconfigure interior space and reconfigure the loading dock.

15 In exhibit 4 OP is recommending approval as a
16 modification of consequence. Exhibit 5, the DDOT report
17 advises they have no issues with the request providing the
18 applicant pursue a public space permit and work with DDOT on
19 the final public realm surrounding the site, and that the
20 applicant replace the planing being removed with a different
21 form of planing.

22 And at exhibit 6 ANC 2A, the only party to the
23 case, the original case, has filed a report in support of the
24 request for the modification. Ask the commission to consider
25 possibly taking action on this case also.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, does anyone
2 believe this is not a modification of consequence as has been
3 recommended to us? Okay, not seeing anyone.

4 I think we still have some outstanding information
5 and I may have missed it, but it won't be the first time.

6 There are some issues whether or not the applicant
7 has accepted some of the conditions. I'm looking.

8 COMMISSIONER MAY: Ms. Schellin, you said that
9 there was an ANC report in favor and I don't see that.

10 MS. SCHELLIN: At exhibit 6 I thought.

11 COMMISSIONER MAY: That's not coming up in our
12 system.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll tell you what. There are
14 some questions that we need to have answered. There was some
15 supplemental information requested by Office of Planning.

16 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, there was. It just didn't get
17 released to you. But there was an ANC report that came in.
18 Let me see if I can --

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, let me state where I am.

20 MS. SCHELLIN: Sorry.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I mentioned we need supplemental
22 information from Office of Planning. We need also
23 information whether they accepted the two conditions from
24 DDOT.

25 And I'm hearing now that there may be a potential

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 issue about us seeing the ANC report. So for me if they can
2 answer those questions I think we can do a determination of
3 scheduling. I don't see any rush.

4 COMMISSIONER MAY: I would agree, Mr. Chairman,
5 and actually I have further comments on the drawings which
6 I find to be generally not very good.

7 I know the Office of Planning in particular
8 pointed out the drawing on page A9 which deleted some
9 features of the existing building in their rendering. That's
10 just a rendering thing.

11 It's hard to know exactly what's happening with
12 the portion of the building that they're modifying on A9
13 because the windows are different from what's shown in the
14 photograph.

15 And it looks like they're removing a row of
16 windows, but there's no note indicating that. The windows
17 that are remaining are in the wrong place compared to where
18 they were.

19 I mean, it's just -- it's not very well drawn.

20 There's also a reference to eliminating existing
21 planter and signage and there's lots of references to that
22 in other locations as well and that's not actually shown in
23 that image. So I don't know what the planter looks like.

24 I think there's one in another page. Maybe that's
25 the same thing, but I don't know that for sure.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I mean, it's just sloppy I think. And I would
2 like to know exactly what it is that we are approving and
3 what changes.

4 And so I think some general improvement of the
5 drawings, not just fixing the thing that's on A9. And I
6 would appreciate it if the Office of Planning could work with
7 them to address all of the inadequacies that they might find
8 in those drawings before we make a decision on it.

9 And maybe this was covered somewhere and I missed
10 it, but isn't this something that has to be reviewed and
11 approved by HPRB?

12 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, it does and the HPO staff
13 has been working with us on this application.

14 COMMISSIONER MAY: So it will go before the whole
15 board at some point?

16 MS. STEINGASSER: I'm not sure if it's going to
17 go the whole board or whether it would be delegated to the
18 staff.

19 COMMISSIONER MAY: I think we need clarity on that
20 because I don't think we want to approve something and then
21 wind up having HPRB or staff not approving it. I think we
22 want to have confidence that it's not going to change.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other comments with the
24 additional comments that I made? Commissioner Turnbull.

25 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I would just concur with

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Commissioner May. There are just a lot of changes that are
2 unrecorded and there's a lot of changes that I don't know if
3 HPRB would approve. So I think there needs to be more work
4 done on the drawings.

5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioner Shapiro.

6 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
7 would also concur.

8 And in OP's report they lay out a number of the
9 items that Commissioner May referred to. There are specific
10 things that they're looking for, and yes, we should see that
11 before we take action.

12 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, so we have additional
13 comments by the commissioners as well as some things
14 requested by the Office of Planning, requested by District
15 Department of Transportation that you find out about those
16 I think it's one or two conditions.

17 And we also need to find out a little more
18 background on HPRB as well as the drawings.

19 So Ms. Schellin, let me ask my colleagues so we
20 can be predictable. Does anyone see that this needs to come
21 off as a modification of consequence at this time?

22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: No.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So I want to make sure that
24 I'm very clear to the applicant that we try to be
25 predictable. Sometimes we're not.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I don't want to leave you on promised land. When
2 we get the information back we never know where we may end
3 up so I just wanted to put that out there so there won't be
4 no surprises.

5 Ms. Schellin, could you do some determination of
6 scheduling?

7 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. And just also I misspoke.
8 ANC was not the only party. There's also Foggy Bottom and
9 the DC Preservation League. So they also need to respond if
10 they're going to. So they would need an opportunity.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So let me just interrupt you. I
12 do see that the ANC letter is here in support.

13 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we do have that.

15 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. Okay. So if we could get the
16 applicant's follow-up from what the commission has asked for
17 for the applicant to follow up with by 3 p.m. on April 15.

18 And then if the ANC chooses to respond again and
19 the other two parties along with OP and DDOT, if they want
20 to file a supplemental report they would have until 3 p.m.
21 on the 22nd of April.

22 We can put this on for April 29.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we're good with that date
24 everyone? Whoever the counsel is. Is the counsel here for
25 this case?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So we're good. Anything else on
3 this, Ms. Schellin?

4 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Let's go to
6 final action. Zoning Commission Case No. 02-38J WFS2
7 Waterfront Station LLC Second-Stage PUD Planned Unit
8 Development at Square 542. Ms. Schellin.

9 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes. At exhibits 44 through 49 we
10 have the applicant's post hearing submissions. Exhibit 50
11 is a letter in support from Amidon-Bowen PTA.

12 Exhibit 51 is an OP supplemental report. Exhibit
13 52, the applicant requested to reopen the record to submit
14 a revised proffer which the chairman approved. And that
15 submission is at exhibit 53.

16 Exhibit 54 is an email from the SMD indicating the
17 ANC is in support of the revised proffer. I ask the
18 commission to consider final action.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, I think the
20 biggest thing was this issue about the proffer for me in this
21 case.

22 I think everything else unless I'm mistaken was
23 pretty straightforward. And I think we held a lot of it up
24 to continue to work with the PTA.

25 It seemed like we've come to at least something

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we can recommend. But I can still tell you that I still have
2 some reservations about how we're proceeding.

3 But I do understand it. But I've been around long
4 enough to know that that's the reason this commission doesn't
5 necessarily put the money out there. We look for results.

6 And in this case it causes a potential problem.
7 It's not necessarily that we have a trust factor with the PTA
8 or anyone, but I've been here long enough to see that things
9 -- I'm not going to say become -- I'm trying to phrase this
10 nicely so I won't throw any judgment, but sometimes things
11 do come up missing in these type of circumstances.

12 So I really think there needs to be some more
13 fine-tuning with this proffer, but I'll open it up to hear
14 what others may have -- may even have a suggestion that may
15 be able to help us out. As far as I'm concerned.

16 Commissioner May?

17 COMMISSIONER MAY: Mr. Chairman, I have the same
18 unease and frankly I'm not comfortable with the idea of doing
19 an escrow at all because I think that we have had issues with
20 that in the past.

21 And you know, this one, they might be able to come
22 up with a way to make it better than things that have
23 happened in the past, but it's going to get -- it's going to
24 come up again. There will be another PUD in the future
25 that's maybe not as good as this one in terms of the way they

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 handled the proposed escrow account.

2 But they'll point at this case and say well, you
3 made the exception here and you know, we'll just fall back
4 into the old trap. And I just think that it's -- I would
5 rather have greater certainty about where the money is going.

6 It doesn't seem to be a problem with other
7 projects when they're working with local PTAs to figure out
8 things that money can be spent on in a timely fashion, and
9 there are several years between now and when the building
10 actually gets to C of O and so I don't think it's
11 unreasonable to expect the funds to be expended by then.

12 I mean, they may not know what they need to do
13 right now, but I think coming up with a generalized list
14 probably would be sufficient for the purposes of our
15 decision-making, and if they need to tweak it at some point
16 later on they could do a minor mod to the condition. Or a
17 minor mod -- probably would be a modification of consequence
18 because it's a condition, but still.

19 I'm just not really enthusiastic about that.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Anybody else? Commissioner
21 Shapiro.

22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
23 share the concerns. I'm also as you noted I think we're also
24 respecting the work that's been done and the negotiation
25 between the PTA and the applicant.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And so I have a thought, a suggestion, a different
2 approach and it could be a bit of a precedent for us as we
3 hear these to give ourselves some measure of comfort and
4 security in a process like this that this is not something
5 that either the applicant or the PTA is obviously agreeing
6 to.

7 But one thought is that we designate or they
8 recommend some kind of fiduciary to manage the allocation of
9 the funds. An organization like the Community Foundation or
10 other non-profits that do that kind of work are perfectly
11 suited to do it.

12 From my perspective if there was a fiduciary such
13 as the Community Foundation it would give me comfort with the
14 money out there. The leadership of the PTA could change.
15 We really don't know.

16 And again there's the issue of this may not be the
17 first time that we are approached with this kind of strategy.

18 So that would give me the comfort to move forward
19 in this way, to have some kind of a formal fiduciary to help
20 manage the funds, manage the allocation of the funds.

21 I'm not quite sure how to approach it because I'd
22 be curious to see where the applicant and the PTA would be
23 with a strategy like this. And I don't know where my
24 colleagues are either. For me that would be a workable
25 solution.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's see, Mr. Turnbull.

2 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
3 would agree with Commissioner May that there's been problems
4 in the past. We've had issues and we've always been advised
5 not to do it.

6 I sort of like Commissioner Shapiro's thought on
7 this and I think this does need a mechanism of control for
8 me anyway too, that there should be some kind of procedure
9 or process -- put some process in place that there is an
10 accountability of the funds somehow.

11 And if it can be done that way I could possibly
12 go along with that.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I kind of like the idea of
14 -- that's new. I haven't heard of that. I don't have any
15 problems exploring it.

16 But let me ask Commissioner Shapiro, is there a
17 fee that would go against the fee that's being offered to the
18 PTA?

19 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: So I can't speak to a
20 specific situation. I can say generally when a community
21 foundation or this community foundation, when they take on
22 a responsibility like this as a fiduciary just to manage an
23 allocation process they usually do charge a small fee.

24 I would imagine in this case that the applicant
25 probably wouldn't be averse to having that 75 move up to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 whatever it is, 78. Because the fee is small for something
2 like this. It's just -- it's a few percentage points.

3 But again there is -- it just keeps the process
4 clean. So I'm sure the applicant won't be the obstacle on
5 something like that. And there would be a way to hold the
6 community harmless on the amount.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So Commissioner May, you've
8 heard the idea proposed by Commissioner Shapiro. Before we
9 shoot it back to the parties involved what are your thoughts
10 on that scheme?

11 Well, let me not say scheme. On that proposal.

12 COMMISSIONER MAY: On that proposal. I'm not
13 enthusiastic about it. I appreciate the notion that we would
14 get or that there would be greater control over it and we'd
15 have greater certainty about the benefits being delivered
16 over time.

17 But I do think it is something of a slippery
18 slope. Maybe that's something that we should be thinking
19 about, whether we need to change our standards or something
20 like this.

21 But we specifically have tried to avoid situations
22 like this and require that whatever benefits are delivered
23 be delivered before the completion of the project.

24 I'm not sure what's so special about this
25 circumstance that we should be going in a different

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 direction.

2 So I would -- well, regardless it doesn't sound
3 like we're going to make a decision on this today so I would
4 suggest that the applicant look at and work with the PTA
5 about trying to find some way to get certainty and to pay out
6 all the money before the C of O.

7 And if not, then certainly what Commissioner
8 Shapiro is suggesting is better than a standard escrow
9 account kind of situation.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. So what we're going to do
11 with this, colleagues, we're going to kick this back to the
12 parties and the applicant.

13 They've heard our discussion. I don't think we
14 need to prolong that anymore. They've heard our discussion
15 and our concern. And we'll look forward to seeing what they
16 come back with.

17 I hate to ask for some more work, but we're doing
18 this for good reason, very good reason actually.

19 So let's see if -- do we need to assign some more
20 dates? I don't know whose case this is. We can give them
21 as long as they need.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: So, the applicant needs a week and
23 then I'm assuming you'll want a response from the parties and
24 maybe OP.

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure. Everybody who responded.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. SCHELLIN: So that means it would come up at
2 the second meeting in April then.

3 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes, that's fine. As long as they
4 can make sure they --

5 MS. SCHELLIN: So then we'll give them a couple
6 of weeks.

7 CHAIRMAN HOOD: If they can shore it up with the
8 PTA. If they're sure they can shore it up with the PTA or
9 have a conversation with the PTA in these two or three weeks
10 then that's fine.

11 If they need more time ask for it now.

12 MS. SCHELLIN: All right. We'll just give them
13 until -- we'll just go ahead and give it to them now since
14 it won't come up until the second meeting, until April 15.

15 And if there's any responses from the parties --
16 actually the PTA even though they're not a party I'm assuming
17 you'll allow them to respond.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Sure, yes.

19 MS. SCHELLIN: They'll have till 3 p.m. on the
20 22nd and then we can put this on for 4/29.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Is that the only meeting
22 we have in April?

23 MS. SCHELLIN: No, we have one on the 8th, but
24 that's exactly two weeks from today so it doesn't leave much
25 time.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Was this the only --
2 go ahead.

3 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. The applicant is saying that
4 they can work it out and have everything submitted prior to
5 the 8th. So if they can -- between them and the PTA if they
6 want to file maybe a joint filing by April 1 then we can put
7 it on -- and they're going to work with the ANC also.

8 Then if all of those filings come in by April 1
9 we can put it on for April 8.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. That's fine. As long
11 as they have a level of confidence that we can make that
12 happen. Okay, so we'll look forward to dealing with that on
13 April 8.

14 Anything else for this, Ms. Schellin?

15 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Thank you everyone.
17 Let's move on to the next agenda item.

18 Okay, next Zoning Commission Case No. 04-13C 1210C
19 R Street LLC - PUD Modification of Consequence at Square 277.
20 Ms. Schellin.

21 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, sir. At exhibits 81 and 81A
22 the applicant filed a motion to waive the late filing of the
23 proffers and conditions which was approved.

24 And the applicant filed those proffers and
25 conditions at exhibit 79 and 80.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 However, the applicant did not request a waiver
2 for their late submittal of their post hearing submissions.
3 And those submissions are at 83 through 86.

4 And I'd ask the commission if they would waive
5 Subtitle Z Section 602.6 which requires staff to return the
6 documents. They came in when I was out and my staff did not
7 return those documents since a waiver was not requested. So
8 they are already in the record and I would ask the commission
9 if they want to waive that section in order to receive those
10 --

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any objections? Any objections?
12 No objections.

13 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. So they are in the record
14 at exhibits 83 through 86. The applicant's post hearing
15 submissions.

16 And exhibit 82 is OP's supplemental report. And
17 the commission would not be able to take final action this
18 evening because of the fact that the proffers and condition
19 process was filed late. There needs to be time for that
20 process to play out.

21 But I'd just ask the commission to consider what's
22 before them this evening.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Again, Commissioners, I
24 still think the issue about the offsite affordability being
25 on Kennedy Street is still at issue.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And I really want to thank whoever's report this
2 is from Office of Planning. Who did the supplemental report
3 for Office of Planning? Mr. Mordfin. Raise that hand up
4 high.

5 I want to thank you. I want to really thank you
6 because that helped me capture all in a nutshell the way it
7 was written. If you look at his supplemental report it
8 explains verbatim what the issue is.

9 And I actually, I know we have another issue, one
10 we can consider and final -- some other, the proffers. I
11 know all that came in late.

12 But if you look at the last, it says in an email
13 from DHCD, DHCD informed OP that it continues to request that
14 the affordable unit remain at the subject property.

15 And I think there were some concerns from my
16 colleagues as well. However, if the commission were to
17 permit the unit to be relocated to Kennedy Street DHCD
18 continues to recommend that the level of affordability of the
19 unit be 50 percent MFI.

20 A level of affordability of 70 percent MFI as now
21 proposed by the applicant would be difficult as DHCD does not
22 administer -- we've been through this before. And I have a
23 problem with us coming right back doing the exact same thing.

24 I know there are some other issues that we have
25 to deal with. I'm not certain why we can't -- if you want

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 us to do it and I think, Mr. Turnbull, I want to say that you
2 really pushed this about being up on Kennedy Street.

3 I can't remember exactly who it was. It's right
4 there. Mr. Mordfin captured this very well right there.
5 That's what the whole crux of some of the issues were, why
6 we're even here.

7 It seems like we're still here in the same spot
8 except for now we just dropped it down to 70 percent. I
9 think we need to -- and if we drop it to 70 it can't be
10 administered by DHCD. That makes no sense even for us even
11 to consider. I want to not even talk about it, just move it
12 to the next hearing, give them more time to deal with this.

13 Because it seems to me we're in the same place.
14 Let me hear from others.

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: No, I would agree
16 absolutely with you, Mr. Chair.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Anybody else? Okay.
18 So, we're going to send it back, Ms. Schellin, and then maybe
19 that will clarify that other issue about the lateness of the
20 proffers. But we've got to come up with something better
21 than this.

22 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: This is not working.

24 MS. SCHELLIN: Okay. I'll go ahead and first of
25 all set the deadlines for the proffer and condition process.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 The receipt of the -- you guys acknowledge receipt of the
2 March 19 filing, the proposed proffers.

3 The next deadline would be April 1 for the agency
4 comments pursuant to Subtitle X Section 308.11.

5 Then April 8 would be the deadline for the
6 applicant's response pursuant to Section 308.12.

7 And then April 15 is the deadline for agency
8 responses pursuant to 308.13.

9 And so the earliest that this case could come back
10 would be after April 22. So again we could put this case on
11 for April 29 also using those same dates.

12 I think that if we use -- give the applicant until
13 April 15 to submit -- respond to the commission's concerns
14 this evening and if the parties which I believe was only the
15 ANC, but of course OP and any other government agencies,
16 DHCD, want to respond thereto they can do so and then we can
17 put this on for April 29.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'm going to encourage the
19 applicant to look at in the record, the case record of
20 exhibit 82, the Office of Planning supplemental report, the
21 last paragraph.

22 Let's not come back with the same thing. Let's
23 come back with something so we can kind of get this off our
24 docket. Anything else?

25 MR. RITTING: Yes, I wanted to mention one other

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 thing and that's when I sort of suggested these dates I was
2 assuming that the applicant's proffer would remain unchanged
3 from what they submitted on the 19th.

4 And I was going to suggest that you leave the
5 possibility open that they submit a revised proffer that
6 addresses the issues you mentioned on the dais this evening.

7 And if they do so anytime before say March 28 that
8 I can still get the comments back to them by April 1.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So will that change Ms. Schellin's
10 schedule she just laid out?

11 MR. RITTING: No. I think it wouldn't change the
12 schedule she laid out, it just would open up the possibility
13 for the applicant to submit a revised proffer sometime this
14 week.

15 And if they did I'd get them their response in
16 time to keep with that schedule.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: So noted. So we will leave it
18 open for our counsel to do what he needs to do pertaining to
19 this case.

20 All right, anything else?

21 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right. Let's go to next case,
23 Zoning Commission Case No. 18-07 Lean Development LLC - Map
24 Amendment at Square 750. Ms. Schellin.

25 MS. SCHELLIN: Yes, the proposed rulemaking was

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 published in the register on February 15.

2 One comment was received at exhibit 66 from
3 Concerned Residents of Washington, DC known as -- they're
4 calling themselves CROW DC in opposition to the rezoning as
5 a rulemaking case because the three lots are owned by one
6 owner who will build a single apartment building.

7 And so we'd ask the commission to consider final
8 action this evening.

9 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay and if I'm not mistaken I
10 believe that the owner, the person who's asking for this map
11 amendment owns all of the lots, all three of the lots I
12 believe in this rulemaking.

13 Okay. Commissioners, let me open it up to
14 comments, questions, concerns about the letter which is our
15 exhibit 66. Mr. Shapiro, Commissioner Shapiro.

16 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
17 think it's just worth stressing that what's before us is a
18 map amendment and the only issue that we are considering is
19 the consistency with the comprehensive plan, that we're not
20 getting into more details around the specifics of prospective
21 projects and future.

22 I just wanted to make sure that that's out there.

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. Anything else
24 on this? I think the record pretty much speaks for itself.

25 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: And I have no objection to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 moving forward with this, Mr. Chair.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I do know that we had a letter
3 from -- was this a new letter from the ANC, Ms. Schellin?

4 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: It goes back to January
5 7.

6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right. And I think
7 they are -- they were in support, right?

8 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well, they appreciated
9 them not going to -- they appreciate them going to MU-4 but
10 I think they still would like MU-3B.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Commissioners, does any of
12 us believe that we need to do some further discovery on this
13 MU-3B? Even though the applicant I think has asked for MU-4.
14 Any comments from anybody? No?

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: The only other thing, I
16 mean it brings up map amendment questions which we're going
17 to talk about later on. That will come up later.

18 CHAIRMAN HOOD: But I mean for this case.

19 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: For this case I don't have
20 any other.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I don't think so. I think
22 we do have some support. I understand what they mean by MU-
23 3, cutting back some of the density more or less.

24 But I think that MU-4 will work for this
25 applicant. That's what is requested.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And I do know that we have the letter from CROW.
2 And as has already been stated we're not talking about
3 specific projects.

4 So I think this is ripe for us to go ahead and
5 move forward. I think we've vetted it out. I know you never
6 get 100 percent in agreeance, but I think we have more. And
7 I think we've vetted out all the merits of this case and the
8 changes to the zoning.

9 So with that I'll accept a motion if someone would
10 like to make one.

11 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chair, I move that we
12 take final action on Zoning Commission Case No. 18-07 Lean
13 Development LLC Map Amendment at Square 750. Look for a
14 second.

15 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second that and I think that
16 the merits of this case speak for themselves and I think it's
17 self-explanatory for the most part and the record, Office of
18 Planning's report, the pictures and the testimony provided
19 in the hearing.

20 Any further discussion? It's moved and properly
21 seconded. All in favor?

22 (Chorus of ayes)

23 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
24 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

25 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 approve final action in Zoning Commission Case No. 18-07.
2 Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,
3 Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, Commissioner
4 Miller not present, not voting.

5 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, next hearing action Zoning
6 Commission Case No. 19-01 Wesley Hawaii LLC - Consolidated
7 PUD and Related Map Amendment at Parcels 124/77. Mr.
8 Kirschenbaum.

9 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good evening, Chair Hood and
10 members of the commission. The Office of Planning recommends
11 set down of this PUD application and related map amendment
12 from RA-1 to RA-2 in Ward 5.

13 The proposal would be an all affordable multiple
14 dwelling building containing 78 dwelling units for families
15 with incomes between 30 percent and 80 percent MFI.

16 The existing two-story rent controlled multiple
17 dwelling building containing 34 dwelling units would be
18 demolished.

19 The proposal would be a 58 and one-half feet tall
20 building which would contain 68,238 square feet of
21 residential floor area and would not be inconsistent with the
22 comprehensive plan including the future land use map and the
23 generalized policy map.

24 Please let me know if you have any questions
25 regarding this application.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, thank you. Is this in Ward
2 5 or Ward 4?

3 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Ward 5.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: All right, we'll find out. So
5 Ward 5 is where it is now. Okay. All right. I don't know
6 why I thought that was Ward 4. Okay. All right.

7 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: I believe it's in Ward 5. It's
8 on the border with Ward 4 so the applicant submitted the
9 application to both ANCs. But it is in Ward 5.

10 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I shouldn't even say this,
11 but I was on the redistributing committee so I don't remember
12 us putting that, but anyway it is what it is. My memory must
13 be getting bad to some extent.

14 Okay. Let's open it up. Any questions or
15 comments?

16 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Mr. Chair, my major
17 comment is on the IZ. I noticed that there is a cluster of
18 IZ units. It seems that sometimes this always happens on
19 other projects we've had. They cluster them around the
20 loading dock and the trash area, especially at the ground
21 floor, the immediate areas.

22 And I really think they're doing -- I don't like
23 that, where they single out the IZ units and try to put it
24 in probably the worst area of the building that probably is
25 not going to sell very well. But that's just my feeling.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But I really think they need to really think about
2 that.

3 I just think that -- is this all affordable?

4 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: It's an all affordable
5 building, yes.

6 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I guess somehow when you
7 distinguish between affordable and IZ again I see the IZ
8 units seem to get singled out. And I'm not sure why. Did
9 you talk to the applicant about this?

10 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: We haven't discussed it, but
11 so the IZ units which are 11 units would be affordable for
12 perpetuity. The rest of the units would be affordable for
13 40 years.

14 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Well.

15 MR. LAWSON: Commissioner Turnbull, we'll pursue
16 this with the applicant.

17 (Simultaneous speaking)

18 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, I'm just curious how
19 that happens.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: That's an action question.
21 Commissioner Shapiro?

22 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: I actually agree. It would
23 be interesting to explore why the IZ units would be treated
24 differently in that context when it's an all affordable
25 project.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And there's one thing that stood out to me in
2 terms of areas of flexibility, Mr. Chair. With the
3 flexibility being asked for around the size of the loading
4 and asking for flexibility on the vertical clearance, 6 feet
5 rather than 14 feet. I don't know if you have any comments
6 about that.

7 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: We haven't analyzed that yet,
8 but we'll provide further analysis before the public hearing.

9 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Yes, that's -- not a lot
10 of trucks are going to be making their way into that, so.
11 Okay.

12 I just want to -- maybe I can channel Vice Chair
13 Miller, but it's nice to see this all affordable project and
14 I appreciate the design that's going into it. So it was nice
15 to see. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: And I'm sure Vice Chair Miller is
17 watching us so we hope he gets well quick and get back here
18 with us. And I'm sure he'll appreciate you mentioning that.

19 All right. Any other questions or comments?
20 Okay. With that can I get a motion to set down?

21 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Mr. Chairman, I move that
22 we set down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-01 Wesley Hawaii
23 LLC Consolidated PUD and Related Map Amendment at Parcel
24 124/77. Look for a second.

25 CHAIRMAN HOOD: I'll second that. It's been moved

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and properly seconded. Any further discussion? All in
2 favor?

3 (Chorus of ayes)

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
5 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

6 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to set
7 down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-01 as a contested case.
8 Commissioner Shapiro moving, Commissioner Hood seconding,
9 Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, Commissioner
10 Miller not present, not voting.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Next we have another
12 hearing action, Zoning Commission Case No. 19-05 Office of
13 Planning - Text Amendment for Practice and Procedure
14 Revisions for Subtitles X, Y and Z.

15 Mr. Kirschenbaum, is that you again?

16 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: That is correct.

17 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay.

18 MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: And good evening again, Chair
19 Hood and members of the commission.

20 The Office of Planning recommends set down of this
21 zoning text amendment to Subtitles X, Y and Z for revisions
22 to various rules, practices and procedures for the Board of
23 Zoning Adjustment and the Zoning Commission.

24 The Office of Attorney General and the Office of
25 Zoning in close coordination with the Office of Planning

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 proposes to provide additional clarity for existing rules and
2 practices, and also to create new rules for the processing,
3 notification and evaluation of a variety of applications.

4 Please let me know if you have any questions
5 regarding this proposed text amendment.

6 MS. STEINGASSER: Chairman Hood, I'd also like to
7 add you'll see on one of the amendments that we're also
8 bringing forward the issue of rulemaking and contested cases.

9 And we'll be working with OAG on the legal
10 confines of that and we're looking forward to hearing from
11 the public because we have followed along with you in
12 noticing that there's a lot of interest in that recently.

13 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's see if we have any
14 questions of Office of Planning? Commissioner Shapiro.

15 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16 Perhaps, Mr. Chair, as the newer member of this I'm more
17 sensitive to this, but there's a lot in this.

18 And so if there's a way to provide a summary of
19 the changes that are being proposed in some kind of a
20 matrixed format that -- it's a little bit easier to look at
21 with a snapshot about what's being proposed.

22 Some of this is duplicative for obvious reasons,
23 but that makes it even harder for me to grasp exactly what
24 specifically is being proposed.

25 And of course then the why becomes quite

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 important. So I've seen you do this before in ways that are
2 quite helpful and I would just encourage that assuming we set
3 this down. I'd like to see that at the hearing.

4 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, I think that sheet that
5 you're asking for, that matrix that you're asking for may be
6 a running matrix because as we get into the hearing we're
7 going to have more conversations. So I think that may be a
8 livable, workable document for us which would be very
9 helpful, especially after the hearing.

10 So if you want to start it now that's great, but
11 and I really agree with Commissioner Shapiro, but especially
12 let's just keep it moving. Let's keep it a living document.

13 Anything else? Any other questions? Mr.
14 Turnbull.

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes, thanks, Mr. Chair.
16 I just wanted to thank -- I understand we're going to make
17 map amendments as rulemaking. That's one of the proposals.
18 I'm fine.

19 But I'm glad that on page 2 and 3 you are
20 clarifying how we're going to -- you're going to be setting
21 up map amendments. And I think from the standpoint of some
22 of the cases we've had. I know OP has taken some heat on
23 some of these map amendment situations.

24 And I think that the clarity in trying to bring
25 transparency to it is -- I appreciate what you're trying to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 do, I really do.

2 I just think that, again, I think you're going to
3 -- before a map amendment comes toward the -- before the
4 Zoning Commission that you've fully vetted it with the ANC
5 and the neighborhoods in the area so that we're not surprised
6 that there's suddenly neighbors coming before us that say
7 they've never been -- they don't know what's going on.

8 I mean, that still may happen, but I mean I think
9 that trying to fully vet this. When you're talking about
10 going to the ANCs I don't know if you're also including civic
11 associations.

12 I'm not sure how when a map amendment -- it seems
13 like in a lot of cases there can be five or six zones that
14 can be not inconsistent with the comp plan.

15 And I think a lot of people get confused and
16 they're like well, wait a minute, now you're going to be 30
17 feet higher, or 20 feet higher.

18 I guess the Zoning Commission, I sometimes feel
19 like there's guns pointing at us that they're suddenly saying
20 you guys really haven't looked at this. You're thrusting
21 this down our throats and we've had no input.

22 So I appreciate what you're trying to do and lay
23 out a process here to get the map amendment in place before
24 it comes here.

25 It's not something that is unfamiliar with the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 neighbors. So I greatly appreciate that.

2 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Any other questions or
3 comments? Okay, I'm looking forward to this discussion. I'm
4 sure it will be quite interesting as we move forward and we
5 appreciate all the work that is going to be put into this
6 whole process.

7 So I would move that we set down Zoning Commission
8 Case No. 19-05 with the comments as noted and ask for a
9 second.

10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: It's been moved and properly
12 seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?

13 (Chorus of ayes)

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Not hearing any,
15 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

16 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to set
17 down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-05 as a rulemaking case.
18 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Turnbull seconding,
19 Commissioners May and Shapiro in support, Commissioner Miller
20 not present, not voting.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I do have one thing after
22 this, but Zoning Commission Case No. 19-06 Office of Planning
23 - Text Amendment to Subtitle X to Clarify Voluntary Design
24 Review FAR Aggregation. Ms. Vitale.

25 MS. VITALE: Sorry, I just wanted to slide down

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a little closer.

2 Good evening, Mr. Chair and members of the
3 commission. Elisa Vitale with the Office of Planning.

4 Based on the commission's March 11 request OP is
5 bringing forward a text amendment to Subtitle X Chapter 6 to
6 clarify the floor area ratio or FAR may be aggregated across
7 a voluntary design review project boundary.

8 OP is also recommending proposed language
9 regarding the limitations of the voluntary design review
10 process and a requirement that a covenant be recorded upon
11 approval of a voluntary design review application.

12 These last two provisions that are proposed that
13 I mentioned would actually track existing language that's in
14 the PUD section also in Subtitle X.

15 OP recommends that the proposed text amendment be
16 set down for a public hearing. We would also request
17 flexibility to work with OAG on the final language.

18 This concludes my report and I'm available to
19 answer any questions. Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. I do have one request, Ms.
21 Vitale, and maybe Ms. Steingasser.

22 On this particular text amendment if we could, if
23 we know of other areas who may potentially have these
24 voluntary design reviews let's really push.

25 I don't want it to be duplicate of what we had

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with -- everybody we hear from just around a certain
2 neighborhood of a certain case that we had.

3 I'd like for us to try our best effort to make
4 sure that we push and try to hear from other comments across
5 the city.

6 I know we're going to get a certain area which is
7 good, but I also want to make sure that we're inclusive in
8 our discussion and our thoughts so we can hear from others
9 as well. So that's kind of where I am.

10 I don't know, that may be a task that may be kind
11 of hard to do, but at least let's make the attempt.

12 MS. STEINGASSER: As you know every ANC gets
13 notification from the Office of Zoning and we'll follow up
14 with civic groups. We have a couple of listservs that we can
15 draw attention to this.

16 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, that would be very helpful.
17 Thank you. Commissioner Shapiro.

18 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
19 would agree, I think that proactive reaching out because this
20 obviously has citywide implications.

21 Also, this is essentially at our request and I
22 appreciate the -- how quickly you were able to get this back
23 to us. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

24 CHAIRMAN HOOD: We're going to need to go back to
25 the next case. We have some things we need to mention.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 So any other comments or questions? Okay, so we
2 kind of knew this was coming. So anyway I would move that
3 we set down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-05 Office of
4 Planning Text Amendment for Practice and Procedures Revisions
5 for Subtitle -- no, that's the wrong one. I'm sorry.

6 I move that we set down Zoning Commission Case No.
7 19-06 Office of Planning - Text Amendment to Subtitle X to
8 Clarify Voluntary Design Review FAR Aggregation and ask for
9 a second.

10 COMMISSIONER SHAPIRO: Second.

11 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, it's been moved and properly
12 seconded. Any further discussion? All in favor?

13 (Chorus of ayes)

14 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Any opposition? Any opposition?
15 Ms. Schellin, would you please record the vote.

16 MS. SCHELLIN: Staff records the vote 4-0-1 to set
17 down Zoning Commission Case No. 19-06 as a rulemaking case.
18 Commissioner Hood moving, Commissioner Shapiro seconding,
19 Commissioners May and Turnbull in support, Commissioner
20 Miller not present, not voting.

21 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Let's go back to Zoning
22 Commission Case No. 19-05. Mr. Turnbull.

23 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: I just had a question for
24 OP. If we're moving map amendments for rulemaking is there
25 a situation where some map amendments would still be

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 considered a contested case if properties by owners are being
2 changed against their wishes?

3 MS. STEINGASSER: Right now the regulations set
4 out the categories of what makes a rulemaking, what makes a
5 contested case and map amendments that further the
6 comprehensive plan are typically considered rulemakings in
7 furtherance of the comprehensive plan.

8 There's also ownership becomes a bit of an issue
9 also when that ownership is concentrated, when it's
10 dispersed. So we'll be looking at all of that.

11 The commission has the authority within its own
12 rules to make its own determination. So if somebody files
13 for a rulemaking or a contested case the commission can
14 decide otherwise at the time of set down.

15 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: So you'll be working with
16 OAG to clarify all that language.

17 MS. STEINGASSER: Absolutely, yes.

18 COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Okay, thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay, anything else on that?
20 Okay, Ms. Schellin, do we have anything else on our agenda?

21 MS. SCHELLIN: No, sir.

22 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Well, let me just ask the Office
23 of Planning. Can you give us a status of the short-term
24 rental?

25 MS. STEINGASSER: Yes, sir. We're still in the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 research phases of short-term rental. We're doing kind of
2 a comprehensive survey of other cities and jurisdictions to
3 see how they're regulating them, how they're licensing them.

4 We've had a couple of meetings with the DCRA staff
5 to see how it would fit in as well.

6 CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. All right, thank you. Ms.
7 Schellin, could you help me remember that tomorrow, please?
8 That exact answer.

9 Thank you, Ms. Steingasser, I appreciate it.

10 Okay. Anything else before -- does Office of
11 Planning have anything else? Okay. So with that I want to
12 thank everyone for their participation and this meeting is
13 adjourned.

14 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
15 record at 7:29 p.m.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Regular Meeting

Before: DC Zoning Commission

Date: 03-25-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Neal R Gross

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701